

A NOTE ON EXPANSIONS OF RATIONAL NUMBERS BY CERTAIN SERIES

SYMON SERBENYUK

Vinnytsia, UKRAINE

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with representations of rational numbers defined in terms of numeral systems that are certain generalizations of the classical q -ary numeral system.

In [1], expansions of the following form of real numbers from the interval $[0, 1]$ were considered:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{q_1 q_2} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k} + \cdots. \quad (1)$$

Here $Q \equiv (q_k)$ is a fixed sequence of positive integers, $q_k > 1$, and (Θ_k) is a sequence of the sets $\Theta_k \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, q_k - 1\}$, as well as $\varepsilon_k \in \Theta_k$.

Note that the last-mentioned expansion under the condition $q_k = \text{const} = q$ for all positive integers k , where $1 < q \in \mathbb{N}$ (\mathbb{N} is the set of all positive integers), is the q -ary expansion of real numbers from $[0, 1]$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{q^2} + \cdots + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q^k} + \cdots,$$

where $\varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$. In this case, a number is a rational number if and only if a sequence (ε_k) is periodic.

However, the problem of the rationality/irrationality of numbers defined in terms of generalizations of the q -ary numeral system is difficult. A version of this problem for expansions of form (1) was introduced in the paper [1] and has been studied by a number of researchers. For example, G. Cantor, P. A. Diananda, A. Oppenheim, P. Erdős, J. Hančl, E. G. Straus, P. Rucki, P. Kuhapatanakul, V. Laohakosol and other scientists studied this problem (see references). Known results include different conditions satisfied by (ε_k) and/or (q_k) .

In this paper, the main attention is given to calculating values of ε_k for an arbitrary rational number and a fixed sequence (q_k) . That is, the problem of the rationality is investigated for the case of a numeral system whose base is an infinite sequence of positive integers.

By $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k \dots}^Q$ denote a number $x \in [0, 1]$ represented by series (1). Suppose c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m is an ordered tuple of integers such that $c_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q_i - 1\}$ for $i = \overline{1, m}$. A cylinder $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q$ of rank m with base $c_1 c_2 \dots c_m$ is a set of the form

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q \equiv \{x : x = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m \varepsilon_{m+1} \varepsilon_{m+2} \dots \varepsilon_{m+k} \dots}^Q\}.$$

That is, any cylinder $\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q$ is a closed interval of the form

$$\left[\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m 000 \dots}^Q, \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [q_{m+1}-1][q_{m+2}-1][q_{m+3}-1] \dots}^Q \right].$$

Define the shift operator σ of expansion (1) as

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k \dots}^Q) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_2 q_3 \dots q_k} = q_1 \Delta_{0 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k \dots}^Q.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^n(x) &= \sigma^n(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k \dots}^Q) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2} \dots q_k} = q_1 \dots q_n \Delta_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_n \varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} \dots}^Q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_i} + \frac{1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \sigma^n(x). \quad (2)$$

LEMMA 1. Let $x \in (0, 1)$ be a rational number represented by series (1). If $x = \frac{p}{r} = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^Q$, then the equality

$$\varepsilon_n = \left\lceil \frac{\Delta_n}{r} \right\rceil \quad (3)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\Delta_n = p q_1 q_2 \dots q_n - r(\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_n + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \dots q_n + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n).$$

Proof. Let $\frac{p}{r}$ be a fixed number, where $(p, r) = 1$, $p < r$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\frac{p}{r} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}.$$

Remark 1. Note that, since $x \in \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m}^Q$ but

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_m [q_{m+1}-1][q_{m+2}-1] \dots}^Q = \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{m-1} [c_m+1] 000 \dots}^Q,$$

we assume that

$$\Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{m-1} [c_m] 000 \dots}^Q \leq x < \Delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{m-1} [c_m+1] 000 \dots}^Q.$$

Let us prove this lemma. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{p}{r} \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1}^Q = \left[\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 000 \dots}^Q, \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 [q_2-1][q_3-1] \dots}^Q \right] = \left[\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1}, \frac{\varepsilon_1 + 1}{q_1} \right].$$

That is

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1} \leq \frac{p}{r} < \frac{\varepsilon_1 + 1}{q_1}, \quad \varepsilon_1 r \leq p q_1 < r(\varepsilon_1 + 1), \quad \varepsilon_1 \leq \frac{p q_1}{r} < \varepsilon_1 + 1.$$

So,

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{r} q_1 \right\rfloor, \quad \text{where } [x] \text{ is the integer part of } x.$$

Now we get

$$\frac{p}{r} \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}^Q = \left[\frac{q_2 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{q_1 q_2}, \frac{q_2 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 1}{q_1 q_2} \right].$$

Whence,

$$\frac{q_2 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{q_1 q_2} \leq \frac{p}{r} < \frac{q_2 \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 1}{q_1 q_2}, \quad \varepsilon_2 \leq \frac{p q_1 q_2 - r q_2 \varepsilon_1}{r} < \varepsilon_2 + 1.$$

So,

$$\varepsilon_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{p q_1 q_2 - r q_2 \varepsilon_1}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

In the third step, we have

$$\frac{p}{r} \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3}^Q = \left[\frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 + \varepsilon_2 q_3 + \varepsilon_3}{q_1 q_2 q_3}, \frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 + \varepsilon_2 q_3 + \varepsilon_3 + 1}{q_1 q_2 q_3} \right]$$

and

$$\varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{p q_1 q_2 q_3 - r(\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 + \varepsilon_2 q_3)}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

In the n -th step, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{r} \in \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_n}^Q &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_i} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_i} + \frac{\varepsilon_n + 1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_n + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \dots q_n + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n + \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_n + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n + \varepsilon_n + 1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let ς_n denote the sum

$$\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_n + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \dots q_n + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n.$$

Then

$$\frac{\varsigma_n + \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \leq \frac{p}{r} < \frac{\varsigma_n + \varepsilon_n + 1}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}, \quad \varepsilon_n \leq \frac{p q_1 q_2 \dots q_n - r \varsigma_n}{r} < \varepsilon_n + 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Denoting by $\Delta_n = pq_1q_2 \cdots q_n - r\varsigma_n$, we get

$$\varepsilon_n = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_n}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

Also, for $n \geq 2$ the condition $\varsigma_n = \varsigma_{n-1}q_n + \varepsilon_{n-1}q_n$ holds and

$$\Delta_n = q_n(\Delta_{n-1} - r\varepsilon_{n-1}).$$

So, the following statement is true.

LEMMA 2. *Let $x \in (0, 1)$ be a rational number represented by series (1). If $x = \frac{p}{r} = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_n\ldots}^Q$, then the equality*

$$\varepsilon_n = \left\lfloor \frac{q_n(\Delta_{n-1} - r\varepsilon_{n-1})}{r} \right\rfloor$$

holds for all $1 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta_1 = pq_1$ and $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_1}{r} \right\rfloor$.

THEOREM. *A number $x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_n\ldots}^Q \in (0, 1)$ is a rational number $\frac{p}{r}$, where $p, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $(p, r) = 1$, and $p < r$, if and only if the condition*

$$\varepsilon_n = \left\lfloor \frac{q_n(\Delta_{n-1} - r\varepsilon_{n-1})}{r} \right\rfloor$$

holds for all $1 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta_1 = pq_1$, $\varepsilon_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_1}{r} \right\rfloor$, and $[a]$ is the integer part of a .

Proof. *The necessity follows from previous two lemmas.*

Let us prove that *the sufficiency* is true. Suppose that the following sequence of conditions is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \left\lfloor \frac{p}{r}q_1 \right\rfloor, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{pq_1q_2 - rq_2\varepsilon_1}{r} \right\rfloor, \quad \varepsilon_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{pq_1q_2q_3 - r(\varepsilon_1q_2q_3 + \varepsilon_2q_3)}{r} \right\rfloor, \dots \\ \varepsilon_n &= \left\lfloor \frac{pq_1q_2 \cdots q_n}{r} - (\varepsilon_1q_2q_3 \cdots q_n + \varepsilon_2q_3q_4 \cdots q_n + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n-1}q_n) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_n}{r} \right\rfloor, \dots \end{aligned}$$

It follows from equality (2) that

$$x = \frac{p}{r} = \frac{\varepsilon_1q_2q_3 \cdots q_n + \varepsilon_2q_3q_4 \cdots q_n + \cdots + \varepsilon_{n-1}q_n + \varepsilon_n}{q_1q_2 \cdots q_n} + \frac{\sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right)}{q_1q_2 \cdots q_n},$$

$$\sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right) = \frac{\Delta_n - r\varepsilon_n}{r} = \frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \varepsilon_n,$$

and

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right).$$

It follows from the last-mentioned relationship and relationship (3) that

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_n = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_n}{r} \right\rfloor = \frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right) \right\rfloor.$$

That is,

$$\sigma^n\left(\frac{p}{r}\right) = \left\{\frac{\Delta_n}{r}\right\},$$

where $\{a\}$ is the fractional part of a .

Remark 2. Clearly,

$$0 \leq \sigma^n(x) \leq 1$$

for an arbitrary $x \in [0, 1]$. However, for any number of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x = x_1 &= \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_n 000 \dots}^Q \\ &= \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} [\varepsilon_n - 1][q_{n+1} - 1][q_{n+2} - 1][q_{n+3} - 1] \dots}^Q = x_2 \end{aligned}$$

the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^n(x) &= \sigma^n\left(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} \varepsilon_n 000 \dots}^Q\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{0}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2} \dots q_k} = 0, \\ \sigma^n(x) &= \sigma^n\left(\Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} [\varepsilon_n - 1][q_{n+1} - 1][q_{n+2} - 1][q_{n+3} - 1] \dots}^Q\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k - 1}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2} \dots q_k} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the condition $\sigma^n(x_2) = 1$ holds, we can use only the first representation of number x , i.e., the form x_1 , and for these numbers the condition

$$\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^n(x_1) = 0 \quad \text{holds.}$$

In addition, note that

$$\varsigma_n = \frac{pq_1 q_2 \dots q_n - \Delta_n}{r}.$$

Whence for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_k} + \frac{\sigma^n(x)}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_n + \varepsilon_2 q_3 q_4 \dots q_n + \dots + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n + \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} + \frac{\sigma^n(x)}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \\ &= \frac{\varsigma_n + \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} + \frac{\left\{\frac{\Delta_n}{r}\right\}}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} = \frac{\frac{pq_1 q_2 \dots q_n - \Delta_n}{r} + \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} + \frac{\frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \left[\frac{\Delta_n}{r}\right]}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} \\ &= \frac{pq_1 q_2 \dots q_n - \Delta_n + r\varepsilon_n}{r q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} + \frac{\frac{\Delta_n}{r} - \varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} = \frac{p}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Let us consider certain examples. Suppose

$$x = \Delta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n \dots}^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+1)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{4} = \Delta_{035229[11]4\dots}^{(2n+1)}, \quad \frac{3}{8} = \Delta_{104341967\dots}^{(2n+1)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] CANTOR, G.: *Ueber die einfachen Zahlensysteme*, Z. Math. Phys. **14** (1869), 121–128. (In German)
- [2] DIANANDA, P. H.—OPPENHEIM, A.: *Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), no. 4, 222–225.
- [3] ERDŐS, P.—STRAUS, E. G.: *On the irrationality of certain series*, Pacific J. Math. **55** (1974), no. 1, 85–92.
- [4] HANČL, J.: *A note to the rationality of infinite series I*, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav. **5** (1997), no. 1, 5–11.
- [5] HANČL, J.—RUCKI, P.: *A note to the transcendence of special infinite series*, Math. Slovaca **56** (2006), no. 4, 409–414.
- [6] KUHA PATANAKUL, P.—LAOHAKOSOL, V.: *Irrationality of some series with rational terms*, Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.) **35** (2001), 205–209.
- [7] OPPENHEIM, A.: *Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **61** (1954), no. 4, 235–241.

Received September 18, 2019

45 Shchukina St.
21012–Vinnytsia
UKRAINE
E-mail: simon6@ukr.net