

## WEAK ISOMETRIES AND DIRECT DECOMPOSITIONS OF PARTIALLY ORDERED GROUPS

MILAN JASEM

*Dedicated to Professor J. Jakubík on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. In this paper the relations between weak isometries in a partially ordered group  $H$  and direct decompositions of  $H$  are investigated.

Isometries in an abelian lattice ordered group ( $l$ -group) have been introduced and investigated by Swamy [15], [16]. Jakubík [4] proved that for every stable isometry  $f$  in an  $l$ -group  $G$  there exists a direct decomposition  $G = A \times B$  of  $G$  such that  $f(x) = x(A) - x(B)$  for each  $x \in G$ . Isometries in non-abelian  $l$ -groups were also studied in [1] and [5]. Weak isometries in  $l$ -groups were introduced by Jakubík [6]. Račůnek [14] generalized the concept of the isometry for any partially ordered group (po-group). Isometries and weak isometries in some types of po-groups have been investigated in [7], [8], [9], [10], [12], [13], [14]. In [11] it was proved that each stable weak isometry in a directed group is an involutory group automorphism.

First we recall some notions and notations used in the paper.

Let  $G$  be a po-group. The group operation will be written additively. We denote  $G^+ = \{x \in G; x \geq 0\}$ . If  $A \subseteq G$ , then we denote by  $U(A)$  and  $L(A)$  the set of all upper bounds and the set of all lower bounds of the set  $A$  in  $G$ , respectively. For  $A = \{a, b\}$  we shall write  $U(a, b)$ ,  $(L(a, b))$  instead of  $U(\{a, b\})$ ,  $(L(\{a, b\}))$ . If for  $a, b \in G$  there exists the least upper bound (greatest lower bound) of the set  $\{a, b\}$  in  $G$ , then it will be denoted by  $a \vee b$  ( $a \wedge b$ ). For each  $a \in G$ ,  $|a| = U(a, -a)$ .

A po-group  $G$  is said to be the *direct product* of its posubgroups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  (notation  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ ) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) If  $a \in G_1$ ,  $b \in G_2$ , then  $a + b = b + a$ .

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 06F15.

Key words: weak isometry, partially ordered group, Riesz group, direct decomposition.

- (2) Each element  $c \in G$  can be uniquely represented in the form  $c = c_1 + c_2$ , where  $c_1 \in G_1$ ,  $c_2 \in G_2$ .
- (3) If  $g, h \in G$ ,  $g = g_1 + g_2$ ,  $h = h_1 + h_2$  where  $g_1, h_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_2, h_2 \in G_2$ , then  $g \leq h$  if and only if  $g_1 \leq h_1$ ,  $g_2 \leq h_2$ .

In this case it is also spoken about the *direct decomposition* of the po-group  $G$ . If  $G = P \times Q$  is a direct decomposition of  $G$ , then for  $x \in G$  we denote by  $x(P)$  and  $x(Q)$  the components of  $x$  in the direct factors  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively.

If  $G$  is a po-group, then a mapping  $f: G \rightarrow G$  is called a *weak isometry* if

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y| \quad \text{for each } x, y \in G.$$

A weak isometry  $f$  is called a *stable weak isometry* if  $f(O) = O$ . A weak isometry  $f$  is called an *isometry* if  $f$  is a bijection. Let  $a \in G$ , the mapping  $g: G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $g(x) = x + a$  for each  $x \in G$ , is called a *right translation* in  $G$ .

A po-group  $G$  is called *directed* if  $U(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $L(x, y) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x, y \in G$ .

A *Riesz group* is any po-group  $G$  which is directed and satisfies the Riesz interpolation property, i.e., for each  $a_i, b_j \in G$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) such that  $a_i \leq b_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) there exists  $c \in G$  such that  $a_i \leq c \leq b_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ).

A po-group  $G$  is called *isolated* if  $a \in G$  and  $a \geq O$  for some positive integer imply  $a \geq O$ .

The set of all positive integers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## 1. The sets $A_1$ , $B_1$ , $A$ and $B$

If  $g$  is a weak isometry in a po-group  $H$  and we put  $h(x) = g(x) - g(O)$  for each  $x \in H$ , then  $h$  is a stable weak isometry in  $H$ . So, every weak isometry in a po-group can be uniquely represented as a composition of a stable weak isometry and a right translation. Thus it suffices to examine only stable weak isometries.

Throughout this section we suppose that  $f$  is a stable weak isometry in a po-group  $G$ .

We denote

$$A_1 = \{x \in G^+; f(x) = x\}, \quad B_1 = \{x \in G^+, f(x) = -x\}, \\ A = A_1 - A_1, \quad B = B_1 - B_1.$$

### 1.1. THEOREM.

- (i) If  $x \in A_1$ , then  $f(-x) = -x$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in B_1$ , then  $f(-x) = x$ .

**P r o o f .** i) From  $U(x) = |x| = |-x| = |f(-x)|$ , we get  $x \geq f(-x)$ .  
Then from

$$U(2x) = |2x| = |x - (-x)| = |f(x) - f(-x)| = |x - f(-x)| = U(x - f(-x))$$

we obtain  $f(-x) = -x$ .

Analogously we can verify (ii). □

**1.2. THEOREM.** *Let  $x, y \in A_1$ . Then*

(i)  $f(x + y) = x + y,$

(ii)  $f(x - y) = x - y,$

(iii)  $f(-x + y) = -x + y.$

**P r o o f .** (i) From the relation

$$|x| = |x + y - y| = |f(x + y) - f(y)| = |f(x + y) - y|$$

we get  $x \geq y - f(x + y)$ . Thus  $x + f(x + y) \geq y \geq O$ . Then we obtain  $f(x + y) + x \geq O$ .

By 1.1 (i),

$$|x + y + x| = |f(x + y) - f(-x)| = |f(x + y) + x|.$$

Since  $x + y + x \geq O$ , we have  $f(x + y) = x + y$ .

The other propositions of the theorem can be verified analogously. □

**1.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $x, y \in B_1$ . Then*

(i)  $f(x + y) = -x - y,$

(ii)  $f(x - y) = -x + y.$

**P r o o f .** (i) Since

$$|x| = |x + y - y| = |f(x + y) - f(y)| = |f(x + y) + y|,$$

we have  $-f(x + y) + x \geq y \geq O$ . Then  $x - f(x + y) \geq O$ . According to 1.1(ii),

$$|x + y + x| = |f(x + y) - f(-x)| = |f(x + y) - x|.$$

This implies  $f(x + y) = -x - y$ .

Analogously we can verify (ii). □

**1.4. THEOREM.**

(i)  $A_1$  is a semigroup.

(ii)  $A$  is a convex subgroup of  $G$ .

(iii)  $A^+ = A_1$ .

*Proof.* (i) It is a consequence of 1.2.

(ii) Let  $a, b \in A$ . Hence  $a = a_1 - a_2$ ,  $b = b_1 - b_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A_1$ . Then we have

$$a + b = (a_1 + b_1) - (b_2 - b_1 + a_2 + b_1).$$

From (i) we get  $a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_1 \in A_1$ .

By 1.2,  $f(-b_1 + a_2 + b_1) = -b_1 + a_2 + b_1$ . Since  $-b_1 + a_2 + b_1 \geq O$ ,  $-b_1 + a_2 + b_1 \in A_1$ . Then from 1.2 we obtain

$$f(b_2 - b_1 + a_2 + b_1) = b_2 - b_1 + a_2 + b_1.$$

Clearly  $b_2 - b_1 + a_2 + b_1 \geq O$ . Hence  $b_2 - b_1 + a_2 + b_1 \in A_1$ .

Therefore  $a + b \in A$ . Then clearly  $A$  is a group. We now verify the convexity of  $A$ . Let  $x \in A^+$  and let  $O \leq y \leq x$  for some  $y \in G$ . Clearly  $y \geq f(y)$ .

By 1.2,  $f(x) = x$ . Then from the relation

$$|x - y| = |f(x) - f(y)| = |x - f(y)|$$

it follows that  $f(y) \geq y$ . Hence  $f(y) = y$ . Therefore  $y \in A_1 \subseteq A$ .

(iii) It follows from 1.2. □

### 1.5. THEOREM.

- (i)  $B_1$  is a commutative semigroup.
- (ii)  $B$  is an abelian convex subgroup of  $G$ .
- (iii)  $B^+ = B_1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x, y \in B_1$ . From 1.3 it follows that  $f(x + y) = -x - y \leq O$ .

Then from  $|x + y| = |f(x + y)|$  we get  $f(x + y) = -y - x$ . Thus  $B_1$  is a semigroup and  $x + y = y + x$ .

(ii) From (i) it follows that  $B$  is an abelian group. Thus it remains to prove the convexity of  $B$ . Let  $z \in B^+$  and let  $O \leq t \leq z$  for some  $t \in G$ .

By 1.3,  $f(z) = -z$ . Then from the relation

$$|z - t| = |f(z) - f(t)| = |-z - f(t)|$$

we obtain  $O \geq z - t - z \geq f(t)$ . Then  $|t| = |f(t)|$  implies  $f(t) = -t$ . Therefore  $t \in B_1 \subseteq B$ .

(iii) This is obvious from (ii) and 1.3. □

### 1.6. THEOREM. Let $x \in A_1, y \in B_1$ .

- (i)  $f(x + y) = x - y$ ,
- (ii)  $f(-x - y) = -x + y$ ,
- (iii)  $f(x - y) = x + y$ ,
- (iv)  $f(-x + y) = -x - y$ .

Proof. (i) Since

$$|x| = |x + y - y| = |f(x + y) - f(y)| = |f(x + y) + y|,$$

we obtain  $x - y \geq f(x + y)$ . From the relation

$$|x + y - x| = |f(x + y) - f(x)| = |f(x + y) - x|$$

we get  $f(x + y) \geq x - y$ . Thus  $f(x + y) = x - y$ .

The other propositions of the theorem can be proved analogously.  $\square$

**1.7. THEOREM.** *Let  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B_1$ . Then  $f(x - y) = x + y$ .*

Proof. If  $x \in A$ , then  $x = a_1 - a_2$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in A_1$ . According to 1.6 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1 + a_2 - a_1| &= |(a_1 - a_2 - y) - (a_1 - y)| = |f(a_1 - a_2 - y) - f(a_1 - y)| = \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 - y) - (a_1 + y)|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $f(a_1 - a_2 - y) \geq a_1 - a_2 + y$ .

By 1.6 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1| &= |(a_1 - a_2 - y) - (-a_2 - y)| = |f(a_1 - a_2 - y) - f(-a_2 - y)| = \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 - y) - y + a_2|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get  $a_1 - a_2 + y \geq f(a_1 - a_2 - y)$ . Therefore  $f(x - y) = x + y$ .  $\square$

**1.8. THEOREM.** *Let  $x \in A_1$ ,  $y \in B$ . Then  $f(-x + y) = -x - y$ .*

Proof. If  $y \in B$ , then  $y = b_1 - b_2$  where  $b_1, b_2 \in B_1$ . In view of 1.6 (ii) from the relation

$$\begin{aligned} |-x + b_1 + x| &= |(-x + b_1 - b_2) - (-x - b_2)| \\ &= |f(-x + b_1 - b_2) - f(-x - b_2)| \\ &= |f(-x + b_1 - b_2) - (-x + b_2)| \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $f(-x + b_1 - b_2) \geq -x - b_1 + b_2$ .

According to 1.5 and 1.6 (iv),

$$\begin{aligned} |-x - b_1 + b_2 + b_1 + x| &= |(-x + b_1 - b_2) - (x + b_1)| \\ &= |f(-x + b_1 - b_2) - f(-x + b_1)| \\ &= |f(-x + b_1 - b_2) + b_1 + x|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get  $-x - b_1 + b_2 \geq f(-x + b_1 - b_2)$ . Finally, in view of 1.5 we have  $f(-x + b_1 - b_2) = -x + b_2 - b_1$ .  $\square$

**1.9. THEOREM.** *Let  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$ . Then  $f(x + y) = x - y$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$ , then  $x = a_1 - a_2$ ,  $y = b_1 - b_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in A_1$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B_1$ .

By 1.8,  $f(-a_2 + b_1 - b_2) = -a_2 + b_2 - b_1$ . Then from the relation

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1| &= |(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) - (-a_2 + b_1 - b_2)| \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) - f(-a_2 + b_1 - b_2)| \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) + b_1 - b_2 + a_2| \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $a_1 - a_2 + b_2 - b_1 \geq f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2)$ .

In view of 1.7 we have  $f(a_1 - a_2 - b_2) = a_1 - a_2 + b_2$ .

Then from

$$\begin{aligned} |a_1 - a_2 + b_1 + a_2 - a_1| &= |(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) - (a_1 - a_2 - b_2)| \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) - f(a_1 - a_2 - b_2)| \\ &= |f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) - b_2 + a_2 - a_1| \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) \geq a_1 - a_2 - b_1 + b_2$ .

Thus according to 1.5, we have  $f(a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2) = a_1 - a_2 - (b_1 - b_2)$ .  $\square$

## 2. Stable weak isometries and direct decompositions

In [12] the above mentioned Jakubík's result concerning stable isometries and direct decompositions of  $l$ -groups was extended to distributive multilattice groups. But this result cannot be extended to all directed groups [13, p. 3].

In [13] the following Theorem 2 was proved which establishes necessary and sufficient conditions under which to a stable weak isometry  $f$  in a directed group  $G$  there exists a direct decomposition.

**THEOREM 2** [13]. *Let  $f$  be a stable weak isometry in a directed group  $G$ . Let*

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{x \in G^+, f(x) = x\}, & B_1 &= \{x \in G^+, f(x) = -x\}, \\ A &= A_1 - A_1, & B &= B_1 - B_1. \end{aligned}$$

*Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *For each  $x \in G^+$  there exists the least upper bound of  $\{O, f(x)\}$  in  $G^+$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $x \in G^+$  there exists  $x_1 \in G^+$  such that*

$$O \leq x_1 \leq x, \quad f(x) \leq x_1 \leq f(x) + x.$$

- (iii)  $G$  is the direct product of the po-group  $A$  and the abelian po-group  $B$  and  $f(z) = z(A) - z(B)$  for each  $z \in G$ .

□

Now we shall show that the relation concerning weak isometries and direct decompositions is also valid in Riesz groups.

**2.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a Riesz group and  $f$  be a stable weak isometry in  $G$ . Then there exists a direct decomposition  $G = A \times B$  of  $G$  with  $B$  abelian such that  $f(x) = x(A) - x(B)$  for each  $x \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G^+$ . Then from  $|x| = |f(x)|$  it follows that  $x \geq f(x)$ ,  $x \geq -f(x)$ . Then

$$f(x) + x \geq O, \quad f(x) + x \geq f(x).$$

Since  $G$  is a Riesz group, there exists  $x_1 \in G$  such that

$$O \leq x_1 \leq x, \quad f(x) \leq x_1 \leq f(x) + x.$$

Applying Theorem 2 [13] we obtain the desired result. □

The notation from Theorem 2.1 will be also adopted in the following two theorems.

**2.2. THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a Riesz group and let  $f$  be a stable weak isometry in  $G$ .*

- (i) *If  $x, y \in G$ ,  $y \leq x$ , then  $f([y, x]) = [y(A) - x(B), x(A) - y(B)]$ .*  
 (ii) *If  $x, y \in G$ ,  $f(y) \leq f(x)$ , then*

$$[f(y), f(x)] = f([y(A) + x(B), x(A) + y(B)]).$$

- (iii) *A non-void subset  $H$  of  $G$  is a directed convex subset of  $G$  if and only if  $f(H)$  is a directed convex subset of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* (i) It is a routine computation to verify that

$$x(A) - y(B) = f(x) \vee f(y), \quad y(A) - x(B) = f(x) \wedge f(y),$$

and

$$y(A) - x(B) \leq f(a) \leq x(A) - y(B) \quad \text{for each } a \in [y, x].$$

Thus

$$f([y, x]) \subseteq [y(A) - x(B), x(A) - y(B)].$$

Let  $b \in G$ ,  $y(A) - x(B) \leq b \leq x(A) - y(B)$ . Then  $x \leq b(A) - b(B) \leq y$ ,  $f(b(A) - b(B)) = b$ .

Therefore  $[y(A) - x(B), x(A) - y(B)] \subseteq f([y, x])$ .

Analogously we can verify (ii).

(iii) Let  $H$  be a directed convex subset of  $G$ . First we show that  $f(H)$  is a convex subset of  $G$ . Let  $z' \in G$  such that

$$f(y) \leq z' \leq f(x) \quad \text{for some } x, y \in H.$$

It is easy to show that

$$x(A) + y(B) = x \vee y, \quad y(A) + x(B) = x \wedge y.$$

According to (ii) there exists

$$z \in [y(A) + x(B), x(A) + y(B)]$$

such that  $f(z) = z'$ . Since  $H$  is a directed convex subset of  $G$ , we obtain  $y(A) + x(B), x(A) + y(B) \in H$ . Then from the convexity of  $H$  it follows that  $z \in H$ . Thus  $z' \in f(H)$ .

Now we show that  $f(H)$  is a directed subset of  $G$ . Let  $x'_1, y'_1 \in f(H)$ . Let  $x_1, y_1$  be elements of  $H$  such that

$$f(x_1) = x'_1, \quad f(y_1) = y'_1.$$

Then there exist elements  $u, v \in H$  such that

$$u \in L(x_1, y_1), \quad v \in U(x_1, y_1).$$

Since

$$u \leq v(A) + u(B) \leq v, \quad u \leq u(A) + v(B) \leq v,$$

from the convexity of  $H$  it follows that

$$v(A) + u(B), \quad u(A) + v(B) \in H.$$

By (i),

$$f([u, v]) = [f(u(A) + v(B)), f(v(A) + u(B))].$$

Since  $x_1, y_1 \in [u, v]$ , we obtain

$$f(v(A) + u(B)) \in U(f(x_1), f(y_1)), \quad f(u(A) + v(B)) \in L(f(x_1), f(y_1)).$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection, we can consider stable weak isometry  $f^{-1}$  and analogously prove the sufficiency of the condition.  $\square$

In [14] Rač ů nek proved that for every isometry  $f$  in a 2-isolated abelian Riesz group  $H$  the relation

$$f(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))) = U(L(f(x), f(y))) \cap L(U(f(x), f(y)))$$

is valid for each  $x, y \in H$  and raised the question whether the assumption of commutativity of  $H$  can be cancelled in this assertion. The following Theorem generalizes Rač ů nek's result and gives the positive answer to his question.



**2.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $f$  be a weak isometry in a Riesz group  $G$ . Then*

$$f\left(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))\right) = U\left(L(f(x), f(y))\right) \cap L\left(U(f(x), f(y))\right)$$

for each  $x, y \in G$ .

*P r o o f.* If  $f$  is a translation, the assertion obviously holds. Since each weak isometry in  $G$  is a composition of a stable weak isometry and a right translation, it suffices to consider the case when  $f$  is a stable weak isometry in  $G$ .

Let

$$x, y \in G, \quad a \in U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y)),$$

let

$$v \in U(f(x), f(y)), \quad u \in L(f(x), f(y)).$$

By 2.2 (i),

$$f([u(A) - v(B), v(A) - u(B)]) = [u, v].$$

Since  $f$  is a bijection and  $f(x), f(y) \in [u, v]$ , we obtain

$$u(A) - v(B) \in L(x, y), \quad v(A) - u(B) \in U(x, y).$$

Then

$$u(A) \leq a(A) \leq v(A), \quad -v(B) \leq a(B) \leq -u(B).$$

From this we get  $u \leq a(A) - a(B) = f(a) \leq v$ . Thus

$$f(a) \in U\left(L(f(x), f(y))\right) \cap L\left(U(f(x), f(y))\right).$$

Therefore

$$f\left(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))\right) \subseteq U\left(L(f(x), f(y))\right) \cap L\left(U(f(x), f(y))\right).$$

Now we can consider stable weak isometry  $f^{-1}$  instead of  $f$ . Let

$$x' = f(x), \quad y' = f(y).$$

Then for  $x', y'$  we have

$$f^{-1}\left(U(L(x', y')) \cap L(U(x', y'))\right) \subseteq U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y)).$$

Therefore

$$U\left(L(f(x), f(y))\right) \cap L\left(U(f(x), f(y))\right) \subseteq f\left(U(L(x, y)) \cap L(U(x, y))\right). \quad \square$$

By Theorem 3 [13], to every stable weak isometry in a divisible directed group  $G$  there exists the above mentioned direct decomposition of  $G$ .

Now we shall show that an analogous result may be obtained for isolated abelian directed groups.

It is easy to verify (cf. e.g., [3, Section 1.3]) that if  $G$  is an isolated abelian po-group, then there exists an isolated divisible abelian po-group  $Z(G)$  such that  $G$  is a po-subgroup of  $Z(G)$  and if  $z \in Z(G)$ , then there exist  $x \in G$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $mz = x$ .

In the present paper  $Z(G)$  has the same meaning as in [3, Section 1.3], i.e.,  $Z(G)$  is the set of all expressions of the form  $\frac{x}{n}$ , where  $x \in G$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , subject to the rules of:

a) equality:  $\frac{x}{n} = \frac{t}{k}$  if and only if  $kx = nt$ ,

b) addition:  $\frac{x}{n} + \frac{t}{k} = \frac{kx + nt}{nk}$ ,

c) partial order: for  $z \in Z(G)$  we have  $z > O$  if and only if there exists  $x \in G$ ,  $x > O$  such that  $z = \frac{x}{n}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From this it follows that

$$-\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{-x}{n}, \quad k\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{kx}{n} \quad \text{for each } \frac{x}{n} \in Z(G), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**2.4. THEOREM.** *Let  $H$  be an isolated abelian directed group and  $g$  a stable weak isometry in  $H$ . Let*

$$\bar{g}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{g(x)}{n} \quad \text{for each } \frac{x}{n} \in Z(H).$$

Then  $\bar{g}$  is a stable weak isometry in  $Z(H)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\frac{x}{n}, \frac{t}{k} \in Z(H)$ . Let

$$a \in \left| \bar{g}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \bar{g}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{g(x)}{n} - \frac{g(t)}{k} \right| = \left| \frac{kg(x) - ng(t)}{nk} \right|.$$

By Theorem 3 [11],  $g$  is a group homomorphism. Then we have  $nka \in |g(kx) - g(nt)| = |kx - nt|$ . Since  $H$  is isolated, we get  $a \in \left| \frac{x}{n} - \frac{t}{k} \right|$ . The converse inclusion can be proved analogously.  $\square$

**2.5 THEOREM.** *Let  $g$  be a stable weak isometry in an isolated abelian directed group  $H$ . Then there exists a direct decomposition  $Z(H) = A \times B$  of  $Z(H)$  with  $B$  abelian such that  $g(x) = x(A) - x(B)$  for each  $x \in H$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from 2.4 and Theorem 3 [13].  $\square$

On the other hand, the following Theorem shows that certain direct decompositions of a po-group give rise to stable isometries.

**2.6. THEOREM.** *Let  $H$  be a po-group and  $H = P \times Q$  a direct decomposition of  $H$  with  $Q$  abelian. For each  $x \in H$  define  $g(x) = x(P) - x(Q)$ . Then  $g$  is a stable isometry in  $H$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly  $g$  is a bijection and  $g(O) = O$ . Further, it is easy to verify that  $|z| = |z(P)| + |z(Q)|$  for each  $z \in H$ . Obviously

$$(x - y)(P) = x(P) - y(P), \quad (x - y)(Q) = x(Q) - y(Q).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - g(y)| &= |x(P) - y(P) + [-(x(Q) - y(Q))]| \\ &= |x(P) - y(P)| + |x(Q) - y(Q)| \\ &= |(x - y)(P)| + |(x - y)(Q)| = |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] HOLLAND, CH.: *Intrinsic metrics for lattice ordered groups*, Algebra Universals **19** (1984), 142–150.
- [2] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Direct decompositions of partially ordered groups II*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **11** (1961), 490–515. (Russian)
- [3] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Representation and extension of  $l$ -groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **13** (1963), 267–283. (Russian)
- [4] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Isometries of lattice ordered groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **30** (1980), 142–152.
- [5] JAKUBÍK, J.: *On isometries of non-abelian lattice ordered groups*, Math. Slovaca **31** (1981), 171–175.
- [6] JAKUBÍK, J.: *Weak isometries of lattice ordered groups*, Math. Slovaca **38** (1988), 133–138.
- [7] JAKUBÍK, J.—KOLIBIAR, M.: *Isometries of multilattice groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **33** (1983), 602–612.
- [8] JASEM, M.: *Isometries in Riesz groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **36** (1986), 35–43.
- [9] JASEM, M.: *On weak isometries in multilattice groups*, Math. Slovaca **40** (1990), 337–340.
- [10] JASEM, M.: *On isometries in partially ordered groups*, Math. Slovaca, **43** (1993), 21–29.
- [11] JASEM, M.: *Weak isometries in directed groups*, Math. Slovaca **44** (1994), 39–43.
- [12] JASEM, M.: *Isometries in non-abelian multilattice groups*, (submitted).
- [13] JASEM, M.: *Weak isometries in partially ordered groups*, Acta Math. Univ. Comen. **63** (1994), 259–265.
- [14] RACHŮNEK, J.: *Isometries in ordered groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **34** (1984), 334–341.
- [15] SWAMY, K. L. N.: *Isometries in autometrized lattice ordered groups*, Algebra Universalis **8** (1978), 59–64.

MILAN JASEM

- [16] SWAMY, K. L. N.: *Isometries in autometrized lattice ordered groups II*, Seminar Notes Kobe Univ. **5** (1977), 211–214.

Received February 25, 1994

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Chemical Technology  
Slovak Technical University  
Radlinského 9  
SK-812 37 Bratislava  
SLOVAKIA*