

## RECENT RESULTS ON NULL-ADDITIVE SET FUNCTIONS

ENDRE PAP

**ABSTRACT.** Lebesgue decomposition type theorem is proved for null- $\sigma$ -additive set functions. Daniell–Greco–Stone type representation theorem of monotone and autocontinuous from above functional by Choquet integral with respect to special null-additive set function is presented.

### 1. Introduction

A wide class of non-additive set functions known as null-additive set functions includes many important fuzzy measures (as submeasures [7], capacities, belief function, possibility measure, decomposable measures [13], [14], [29],  $k$ -triangular set functions [15], [16], etc.). These set functions were earlier investigated in mathematics by I. Dobrakov [6] and L. Drownowski [7]. The relation with fuzzy measures and the name were given by Z. Wang [27]. Many interesting properties for these set functions were proved in [24], [17], [18], [19], [21]. The books of Wang and Klir [28] and Pap [22] contain a lot of properties and applications (for example in statistics, decision theory, game theory, functional analysis, potential theory, nonlinear differential equations) of null-additive set functions.

We shall first give some general definitions and properties of null-additive set functions.

V. Ficker's and P. Capek's algebraic approach by ideals enables us to obtain a Lebesgue decomposition theorem for a wide class of non-additive set functions called null-additive set functions ([4]). In special cases decomposition theorems for  $\oplus$ -decomposable measures and  $k$ -triangular set functions are obtained.

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 28A10.

Key words: null-additive set function, autocontinuous from above set function, autocontinuous from above functional, null- $\sigma$ -additive set function.

We shall present a Daniell–Greco–Stone type representation theorem of a monotone and autocontinuous from above functional by Choquet integral with respect to special null-additive set function.

## 2. Null-additive set functions

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a ring of subsets of the given set  $X$  such that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** A set function  $m, m: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  is called *null-additive*, if we have

$$m(A \cup B) = m(A),$$

whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}, A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $m(B) = 0$ .

For properties of null-additive set functions see E. Pap [17], [18], [22], H. Suzuki [24] and Z. Wang [27], Z. Wang and G. Klir [28].

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of the given set  $X$ . We have introduced in the paper [4] the following generalization of the notion of the countable additive set function.

**DEFINITION 2.** A set function  $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is *null- $\sigma$ -additive* if for any sequence  $(B_i)$  of pairwise disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $A \cap B_i = \emptyset$  and  $m(B_i) = 0$  we have

$$m\left(A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = m(A).$$

We have proved in [4] the following two propositions.

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $m$  is null- $\sigma$ -additive iff  $m$  is null-additive and  $m(B_i) = 0$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) implies  $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = 0$  for a sequence  $(B_i)$  of pairwise disjoint sets from  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** If  $m$  is null-additive and continuous from below, i.e.,  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$  imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right),$$

then it is null- $\sigma$ -additive.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $t$ -conorm, i.e., a binary operation on  $[0, 1]$  such that it is associative commutative and non-decreasing with a neutral element 0. A set function  $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -decomposable measure if

$$m(\emptyset) = 0$$

and

$$m(A \cup B) = m(A) \mathcal{S} m(B),$$

whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

The set function  $m$  is a monotone null-additive set function.

EXAMPLE 2. ( $\oplus$ -decomposable measure). The operation  $\oplus$  (*pseudo-addition*) is a function  $\oplus: [0, \infty] \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  which is commutative, nondecreasing, associative continuous and has a zero element 0.

A set function  $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a  $\oplus$ -decomposable measure if

$$\begin{aligned} m(\emptyset) &= 0, \\ m(A \cup B) &= m(A) \oplus m(B), \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . It is obvious that  $m$  is a null-additive set function. There are further generalizations for operations defined on  $[a, b] \subset [-\infty, +\infty]$ .

EXAMPLE 3. ( $k$ -triangular set function). A set function  $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be  $k$ -triangular for  $k \geq 1$  if  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  and

$$m(A) - km(B) \leq m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + km(B),$$

whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . It is obvious that  $k$ -triangular set function is always null-additive, although it may not be monotone. Special 1-triangular set functions are submeasures.

DEFINITION 3. A set function  $m$  is called *autocontinuous from above* (resp. *from below*) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(A, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} m(A) - \varepsilon &\leq m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + \varepsilon \\ (\text{resp. } m(A) - \varepsilon &\leq m(A \setminus B) \leq m(A) + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  (resp.  $B \subset A$ ) and  $m(B) < \delta$  holds.

### 3. Lebesgue decomposition

DEFINITION 4. Let  $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a set function and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . We shall say that  $\mu$  is *order continuous* if for each sequence  $(E_n)$  such that  $E_n \searrow \emptyset$  we have

$$\lim \mu(E_n \cap Y) = 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $Y \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**DEFINITION 5.** Let  $m$  and  $v$  be two finite set functions defined on  $\mathcal{R}$ . The set function  $m$  is called *singular with respect to  $v$*  if there exists a set  $A$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$m(E \setminus A) = v(E \cap A) = 0 \quad (E \in \mathcal{R}).$$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $m$  be a null-additive set function, and  $\mu$  a finite null- $\sigma$ -additive set function. If  $\mu$  is exhaustive, then there exist two null-additive set functions  $m_c$  and  $m_s$ , such that

$$m_c(E) = m(E \setminus A) \quad \text{and} \quad m_s(E) = m(E \cap A)$$

for a set  $A \in \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ , where

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu) = \{A \in \mathcal{R}: B \subset A \Rightarrow \mu(B) = 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

and  $m_c$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and  $m_s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1 we shall also need the following.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $m: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is null-additive set function then the set

$$\mathcal{N}(m) = \{A \in \mathcal{R}: B \subset A \Rightarrow m(B) = 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

is an ideal in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**PROOF.** By the definition, for  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  such that  $B \subset A$  we have  $m(B) = 0$ , i.e.,  $B \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ .

For  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{N}(m)$  and for arbitrary but fixed subset  $B$  of  $A_1 \cup A_2$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{R}$  we have  $B \setminus A_1$  and  $B \cap A_1 \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ , and so

$$m(B \setminus A_1) = m(B \cap A_1) = 0.$$

Hence, since  $B \setminus A_1$  and  $B \cap A_1$  are disjoint sets and  $m$  is null-additive,

$$m(B) = m((B \setminus A_1) \cup (B \cap A_1)) = 0,$$

i.e.,  $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{N}(m)$ . □

**COROLLARY 1.** If  $m$  is null- $\sigma$ -additive then the set

$$\mathcal{N}(m) = \{A \in \mathcal{R}: B \subset A \Rightarrow m(B) = 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**PROOF.** Consequence of Theorem 2 and Proposition 1. □

In the proof of Theorem 1 we shall need the following consequence of Theorem 3.3 from [26].

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $m$  be a group valued function on  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(m)$  satisfies C.C.C., then there exists  $A \in M$  such that  $m^A(E) := m(E \cap A)$  is  $M$ -continuous and  $m_A(E) = m(E \setminus A)$  is  $M$ -singular.*

Note that C.C.C. means the *countable chain condition*. Further,  $m$  is called  $M$ -continuous if  $M \subset \mathcal{N}(m)$  and  $m$  is called  $M$ -singular if  $\mathcal{N}(m)$  is  $M$ -singular, i.e., if there exists

$$A \in M_* = \{E \in \mathcal{R} : E \cap B \in M \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{R}\}$$

such that  $\{B \in \mathcal{R} : B \setminus A \in M\} = \mathcal{R}$ .

We shall also need the following lemma from [4].

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\mu$  is a finite exhaustive set function and if  $\{E_\gamma : \gamma \in C\}$  is a disjoint family of subsets of  $X$  then the index set*

$$C_+ = \{\gamma \in C : \mu(E_\gamma) > 0\}$$

*is at most countable, i.e.,  $\mu$  satisfies C.C.C.*

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Apply Lemma 1 on  $M = \mathcal{N}(\mu)$ . Namely, by Corollary 1,  $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  and by Lemma 2  $\mu$  satisfies C.C.C.  $\square$

In [4] the following theorem has been proved.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let the suppositions be the same as in the previous theorem. Then  $m_c$  and  $m_s$  are independent of the choice of set  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ .*

*Furthermore, if  $m = m_c \oplus m_s$  holds, where  $\oplus$  is a pseudo-addition, then this is the unique decomposition of  $m$  by means of the sum of two null-additive functions where one is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and the other is singular with respect to  $\mu$ .*

## 4. Integral and functionals

In this section we shall present a representation theorem of a functional with Chouquet integral.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the properties:

$$af, f \wedge a, f - f \wedge a \in \mathcal{F} \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}, a \in [0, \infty)) \quad (\text{Stone condition}),$$

$$f \wedge g, f \vee g \in \mathcal{F} \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{F}) \quad (\text{Lattice condition}).$$

The family of all upper level sets of the function  $f$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_f$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{U}_f := \{\{x : f(x) > t\} : t \in [0, \infty)\} \cup \{\{x : f(x) \geq t\} : t \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

A class of functions  $\mathcal{F}_o \subset \mathcal{F}$  is *comonotonic* (*common monotonic*) if

$$\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_o} \mathcal{U}_f$$

is a chain. A class of functions  $\mathcal{F}_o$  is comonotonic iff each pair of functions from  $\mathcal{F}_o$  is comonotonic. The equivalent condition for a pair of functions  $f$  and  $g$  to be comonotonic is that there is no pair  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  and  $g(x_1) > g(x_2)$ .

Let  $m: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a monotone set function with the property  $m(\emptyset)=0$  and let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a function, then  $m(\{x: f(x) > t\})$  is a decreasing function on  $[0, \infty]$ . For a monotone set function  $m: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and an upper  $m$ -measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  the *Choquet integral* is defined by

$$(C) \int f dm := \int_0^\infty m(\{x: f(x) > t\}) dt.$$

Let  $M$  be a functional  $M: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . We list the following properties of  $M$  for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  and every  $a \in [0, \infty]$ :

- (F1)  $f \leq g \Rightarrow M(f) \leq M(g)$  (*monotonicity*);
- (F2)  $M(f+g) = M(f)+M(g)$  for comonotonic  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f+g \in \mathcal{F}$  (*comonotonic additivity*);
- (F3)  $\lim_{a \downarrow 0} M(f - f \wedge a) = M(f)$  (*lower marginal continuity*);
- (F4)  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} M(f \wedge a) = M(f)$  (*upper marginal continuity*);
- (F5)  $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall g \in \mathcal{F})(\forall f \in \mathcal{F})$   
 $(M(g) < \delta \Rightarrow M(f \vee g) < M(f) + \varepsilon)$  (*autocontinuity from above*);
- (F6)  $M(f \vee g) = M(f)$  whenever  $M(g) = 0$  (*null-additivity*);
- (F7)  $M(f \vee g) + M(f \wedge g) \leq M(f) + M(g)$  (*submodularity*);
- (F8)  $M(f \vee g) + M(f \wedge g) \geq M(f) + M(g)$  (*supermodularity*).

**DEFINITION 6.** For a given class of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , a monotone set function  $m: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and a functional  $M: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  we say that  $m$  *represents*  $M$  if we have

$$M(f) = (C) \int f dm \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}).$$

In [21] we have proved the following representation theorem.

## RECENT RESULTS ON NULL-ADDITIVE SET FUNCTIONS

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $M$  is a functional  $M: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the properties (F1)–(F5), then there exists a monotone autocontinuous from above set function  $m: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  which represents  $M$ .*

Many interesting results on representation theorems of non-additive functionals by integrals can be found in the papers of B. Anger [1], R. C. Bassanezi and G. H. Greco [2], G. H. Greco [8], in the book of D. Denneberg [5] and in the paper of D. Schmeidler [23]. Further results on comonotonicity and Choquet-like integrals can be found in the papers of J. Šipoš [25] and R. Mesiar [12].

### REFERENCES

- [1] ANGER, B.: *Representation of Capacities*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), 245–258.
- [2] BASSANEZI, R. C.—GRECO, G. H.: *Sull'additività dell'integrale*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **72** (1984), 249–275.
- [3] CHOQUET, G.: *Theory of capacities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (1953–1954), 131–292.
- [4] de LUCIA, P.—PAP, E.: *Lebesgue decomposition by null- $\sigma$ -additive set functions using ideals*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (to appear).
- [5] DENNEBERG, D.: *Lectures on Non-Additive Measure and Integral*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [6] DOBRAKOV, I.: *On submeasures I*, Dissertationes Math. **CXIII** (1974), 1–35.
- [7] DREWNOWSKI, L.: *On the continuity of certain non-additive set functions*, Colloq. Math. **38** (1978), 243–253.
- [8] GRECO, G. H.: *Sulla rappresentazione di funzionali mediante integrali*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **66** (1982), 21–42.
- [9] HARDY, G. H.—LITTLEWOOD, J. E.—POLYAG.: *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [10] HUBER, P. J.: *Robust Statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [11] KINDLER, J.: *A simple proof of the Daniell–Stone representation theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **90** (1983), 396–397.
- [12] MESIAR, R.: *Choquet-like integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
- [13] MUROFUSHI, T.—SUGENO, M.: *Pseudo-additive measures and integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **122** (1987), 197–222.
- [14] PAP, E.: *Lebesgue and Saks decompositions of  $\perp$ -decomposable measures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **38** (1990), 345–353.
- [15] PAP, E.: *A generalization of a theorem Dieudonné for  $k$ -triangular set functions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **50** (1986), 159–167.
- [16] PAP, E.: *The Vitali–Hahn–Saks theorems for  $k$ -triangular set functions*, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **26** (1987), 21–32.
- [17] PAP, E.: *The range of null-additive fuzzy and non-fuzzy measures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **65** (1994), 105–115.
- [18] PAP, E.: *Lebesgue decomposition of null-additive set functions*, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.–Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. (to appear).

ENDRE PAP

- [19] PAP, E.: *Regular null-additive monotone set functions*, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. Mat.-Fak. Ser. Mat. (to appear).
- [20] PAP, E.: *On null-additive fuzzy measures*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **42** (1994), 232–332.
- [21] PAP, E.: *Daniell–Greco–Stone representation type theorem for autocontinuous from above functionals*, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. Mat.-Fak. Ser. Mat. (to appear).
- [22] PAP, E.: *Null-Additive Set Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers and Ister Science Press (to appear).
- [23] SCHMEIDLER, D.: *Integral representation without additivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), 255–261.
- [24] SUZUKI, H.: *Atoms of fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **41** (1991), 329–342.
- [25] ŠIPOŠ, J.: *Integral representation of non-linear functionals*, Math. Slovaca **29** (1979), 333–345.
- [26] TARANTINO, C.: *Decomposition theorems for finitely additive functions*, Ricerche Mat. **37** (1988), 137–148.
- [27] WANG, Z.: *The autocontinuity of set function and the fuzzy integral*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **99** (1984), 195–218.
- [28] WANG, Z.—KLIR, G.: *Fuzzy Measure Theory*, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [29] WEBER, S.:  *$\perp$ -decomposable measures and integrals for Archimedean  $t$ -conorms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **101** (1984), 114–138.

Received May 11, 1994

*Institute of Mathematics  
University of Novi Sad  
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4  
YU-21000 Novi Sad  
YUGOSLAVIA*