

APPROXIMATE TRAJECTORIES AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS FOR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

SERGEJ YU. PILYUGIN

ABSTRACT. The following problems arising in the investigation of dynamical systems by pseudotrajectories are discussed: shadowing and weak shadowing, approximate evaluation of Lyapunov exponents, approximation of the shape of attractors.

Let M be a compact, C^∞ -smooth n -dimensional manifold with Riemannian metric d . We consider the space $Z(M)$ of discrete dynamical systems generated by homeomorphisms $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ with the C^0 -topology induced by the metric

$$\rho_0(\phi, \psi) = \max_{x \in M} \left(d(\phi(x), \psi(x)), d(\phi^{-1}(x), \psi^{-1}(x)) \right).$$

It is well-known that $Z(M)$ is a complete metric space. We denote below by $O(x, \phi)$ the trajectory of a point $x \in M$ with respect to $\phi \in Z(M)$:

$$O(x, \phi) = \{\phi^k(x) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Fix $\delta > 0$. We say that a set of points $\xi = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ or $\xi = \{x_k : k \geq 0\}$ is a δ -trajectory (pseudotrajectory) of ϕ if

$$d(x_{k+1}, \phi(x_k)) < \delta, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \ (k \geq 0).$$

Pseudotrajectories are a common idealization of “locally accurate” numerical methods for dynamical systems. We can consider a numerical method of accuracy $\delta > 0$ for a system $\phi \in Z(M)$ as a map $\psi: M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$d(\phi(x), \psi(x)) < \delta, \quad x \in M. \tag{1}$$

Evidently, if (1) holds, then for any $x \in M$ the set $\xi = \{\psi^k(x) : k \geq 0\}$ is a δ -trajectory of ϕ .

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 58F10, 58F30.

Key words: pseudotrajectory, shadowing, Lyapunov exponent, attractor.

Research in part supported by Russian State Committee of Higher Education, grant 93-01-1717.

1. Shadowing

We say that $\phi \in Z(M)$ has the POTP (*pseudoorbit tracing property*) if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any δ -trajectory $\xi = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ there exists $x \in M$ with

$$d(\phi^k(x), x_k) < \varepsilon, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We say that $\phi \in Z(M)$ has the WSP (*weak shadowing property*) if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any δ -trajectory $\xi = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ there exists $x \in M$ with

$$\xi \subset N_\varepsilon(O(x, \phi)) \tag{2}$$

(here $N_\varepsilon(A)$ is the ε -neighborhood of a set $A \subset M$).

As usual we say that a subset of a topological space is residual if it contains a countable intersection of open and dense subsets. We say that a generic system $\phi \in Z(M)$ satisfies property \mathcal{R} if there is a residual subset of $Z(M)$ such that any system in this subset satisfies \mathcal{R} .

K. O d a n i in [1] showed that if $\dim M \leq 3$ then a generic $\phi \in Z(M)$ has the POTP.

THEOREM 1 [2]. *A generic system $\phi \in Z(M)$ (with arbitrary $\dim M$) has the WSP.*

Let us give an example of a system ϕ which has the WSP and does not have the POTP. Consider $M = S^1$ with coordinate $x \in \mathbb{R} \pmod{1}$. Let $\phi(x) = x + \alpha \pmod{1}$ where α is irrational, then for any $x \in M$ its trajectory is dense in M . Hence, for any δ -trajectory ξ , for any $x \in M$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ (1) holds, so that ϕ has the WSP. Take arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < |\alpha - \beta| < \delta$. Consider $\psi(x) = x + \beta \pmod{1}$. Evidently, (1) holds, and for any x, y there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$d(\phi^k(x), \psi^k(y)) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

hence ϕ does not have the POTP.

Now let ϕ be a diffeomorphism of class C^1 , and let $D\phi(x)$ be the derivative of ϕ at x . Denote by $T_x M$ the tangent space of M at x , and by $|v|$ the norm of $v \in T_x M$ generated by d . For $x \in M$ define

$$L^+(x) = \{v \in T_x M : |D\phi^k(x)v| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty\},$$

$$L^-(x) = \{v \in T_x M : |D\phi^k(x)v| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow -\infty\}.$$

We say that ϕ satisfies the STC (*strong transversality condition*) if for any $x \in M$ we have $L^+(x) + L^-(x) = T_x M$. It is well known (see [3]) that ϕ satisfies the STC if and only if ϕ is structurally stable.

THEOREM 2 [4]. *If a diffeomorphism ϕ satisfies the STC then there exist $L, \Delta > 0$ such that if $\xi = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a δ -trajectory with $\delta < \Delta$ then there is a point $x \in M$ with*

$$d(\phi^k(x), x_k) \leq L\delta.$$

This theorem is a generalization of results of C. Robinson [5], K. Sawada [6].

2. Lyapunov exponents

Let ϕ be a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider two maps $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ (\mathcal{L}_n is the space of $n \times n$ matrices). For a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we consider the upper Lyapunov exponent of $O(x, \phi)$:

$$\mu(x) = \max_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |v|=1}} \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log |D\phi^m(x)v|,$$

and the approximate exponent

$$\tilde{\mu}(x) = \max_{\substack{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |v|=1}} \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log |\Phi(x_{m-1}) \cdots \Phi(x_0)v|,$$

here $x_k = \psi^k(x)$. Assume that for some $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|\phi(x) - \psi(x)| < \delta, \quad \|\Phi(x) - D\phi(x)\| < \delta, \quad (3)$$

in this case the pair (ψ, Ψ) is a model of a numerical method with accuracy δ of evaluating of Lyapunov exponents.

THEOREM 3 [7]. *Assume that $\phi \in C^2$, and that Λ is a hyperbolic attractor of ϕ with one-dimensional unstable foliation. Then there exist $L, \Delta > 0$ such that if (3) holds with $\delta < \Delta$ then for any point $x \in N_\delta(\Lambda)$ there is a point $y \in \Lambda$ with*

$$|\tilde{\mu}(x) - \mu(y)| \leq L\delta.$$

3. Shape of attractors

Let I be an attractor of $\phi \in Z(M)$, denote by J the boundary of I and by $D(I)$ its basin of attraction. There exists a neighborhood V of I such that

$$I \subset V \subset \bar{V} \subset D(I), \quad \phi(\bar{V}) \subset V.$$

In this case V is called an absorbing neighborhood of I . P. Kloeden and J. Lorenz [8] showed (in a slightly different situation — for one-step discretizations of systems of differential equations) that if V is an absorbing neighborhood of an attractor I and $\xi = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a δ -trajectory with small δ then V absorbs ξ , that is $x_k \in V$ implies $x_{k+1} \in V$.

We say that $\Xi = \{\xi(p) : p \in M\}$ is a $CF(\delta, \phi)$ (complete family of δ -trajectories for ϕ) if any $\xi(p) = \{x_k(p) : k \geq 0\}$ is a δ -trajectory with $x_0(p) = p$.

Denote by $R(A, B)$ the Hausdorff distance between two compact sets A, B . Let V be an absorbing neighborhood of an attractor I , consider the set

$$F = \bar{V} \setminus \phi(V).$$

Let for natural T and for a $CF(\delta, \phi)$ $\Xi = \{\xi(p)\}$ where $\xi(p) = \{x_k(p) : k \geq 0\}$,

$$\Xi(T, F) = \bigcup_{p \in F} x_T(p), \quad \Xi(T, \infty, F) = \bigcup_{k \geq T} \Xi(k, F).$$

THEOREM 4. Consider arbitrary $\phi \in Z(M)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T(\varepsilon)$ such that for any $T \geq T(\varepsilon)$ we can find $\delta(T) > 0$ with the property: if Ξ is a $CF(\delta, \phi)$ with $\delta \in (0, \delta(T))$ then

$$R(\Xi(T, F), J) < \varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 5. Assume that either $I = J$ or ϕ has the WSP. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T(\varepsilon)$ such that for any $T \geq T(\varepsilon)$ we can find $\delta(T) > 0$ with the property: if Ξ is a $CF(\delta, \phi)$ with $\delta \in (0, \delta(T))$ then

$$R(\Xi(T, \infty, F), J) < \varepsilon.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] ODANI, K.: *Generic homeomorphisms have the pseudo-orbit tracing property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** N1 (1990), 281–284.
- [2] CORLESS, R. M.—PILYUGIN, S. Yu.: *Approximate and real trajectories for generic dynamical systems* (to appear).
- [3] PILYUGIN, S. Yu.: *Introduction to Structurally Stable Systems of Differential Equations*, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [4] PILYUGIN, S. Yu.: *The Space of Dynamical Systems with the C^0 Topology*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1571, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1994.
- [5] ROBINSON, C.: *Stability theorems and hyperbolicity in dynamical systems*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **7** (1977), 425–437.
- [6] SAWADA, K.: *Extended f -orbits are approximated by orbits*, Nagoya Math. J. **79** (1980), 33–45.
- [7] CORLESS, R. M.—PILYUGIN, S. Yu.: *Evaluation of upper Lyapunov exponents on hyperbolic sets* (to appear).
- [8] KLOEDEN, P. E.—LORENZ, J.: *Stable attracting sets in dynamical systems and their one-step discretizations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **23** (1986), 986–995.

Received October 28, 1993

*Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics
St.-Petersburg University
Bibliotechnaya pl., 2, Petrodvorets
198904, St.-Petersburg
RUSSIA
E-mail: syp@hq.math.lgu.spb.su*