

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR SEMILINEAR DAMPED WAVE EQUATIONS ON \mathbb{R}^N

EDUARD FEIREISL

ABSTRACT. Large time asymptotic behavior of solutions to the problem

$$u_{tt} + du_t - \Delta u + f(x, u) = 0, \quad u = u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \quad d > 0,$$

is considered with respect to various structural properties of the nonlinearity f .

We shall discuss the long time behavior of solutions of the problem

$$u_{tt} + du_t - \Delta u + f(x, u) = 0, \quad u = u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \quad d > 0, \quad (\text{E})$$

$$(u, u_t)(\cdot, 0) \in X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (\text{I})$$

Two rather different situations are considered :

(A) If the nonlinearity f is *coercive for large x* , the dynamics is asymptotically compact like for the corresponding problem on a bounded spatial domain. More specifically, we report the following result :

PROPOSITION 1. [2, Theorem 1]. Let $N = 3$. Under the hypotheses

$$f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^4), \quad f(\cdot, 0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad |f_z(x, 0)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1)$$

$$|f_{zz}(x, z)| \leq C(1 + |z|) \quad \text{for all } x, z, \quad (2)$$

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, z)}{z} \geq 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3)$$

$$(f(x, z) - f(x, 0))z \geq Cz^2, \quad C > 0, \quad \text{for all } x \text{ large}, \quad (4)$$

there exists a unique global attractor \mathcal{A} of the semigroup

$$S_t : (u, u_t)(0) \rightarrow (u, u_t)(t)$$

on X , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A} \subset X \quad \text{is compact}, \quad (5)$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 35B40, 35L05.

Key words: semilinear wave equation, asymptotic behavior, attractor.

$$S_t(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\text{dist}(S_t(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}$$

for any bounded $\mathcal{B} \subset X$,

where

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \equiv \sup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \|c - d\|_X.$$

R e m a r k. Though the result is formulated for $N = 3$, there are no essential difficulties to prove the same for a general N the growth condition (2) being modified properly.

(B) For a general *noncoercive* f , i.e., when $F(z) = \int_0^z f(s) ds$ is allowed to be negative for certain values of the argument z , the dynamics exhibits truly infinite-dimensional character though some compactness results are still possible. We assume that $f = f(u)$ along with the following hypotheses

$$f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = a > 0, \tag{8}$$

$$f(u)u \geq -Cu^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9}$$

$$|f'(u)| \leq C(1 + |z|^q) \quad \text{with } 2(q + 1) < \frac{2N}{N - 2} \tag{10}$$

if $N > 2$, q arbitrary finite otherwise.

According to the recent state of affairs, the main features of the problem may be characterized as follows :

1. If $F(w) = \int_0^w f(s) ds < 0$ for certain w and $N \geq 3$, then there is a sequence $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ of finite energy stationary states, i.e., \bar{u}_n solve

$$-\Delta v + f(v) = 0, \quad v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{11}$$

such that

$$T(\bar{u}_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad T(v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int |\nabla v|^2 + 2F(v) dx \right)$$

(see B e r e s t y c k i - L i o n s [1]).

2. The zero solution $\bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$ is the only stable steady state in X (see K e l l e r [5]).

3. The solution semigroup $\{S_t\}$ is not dissipative in X , in other words, the damping term du_t is not strong enough to ensure boundedness of the trajectories in X ([4, Corollary 5])

In this case, we claim the following :

PROPOSITION 2. [4, Theorem 1]. *Under the above hypotheses, let*

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^1), \quad u_t \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2)$$

be a (weak) solution to (E) such that there is a sequence $\{t_n\}$, $t_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|(u, u_t)(t_n)\|_X \leq C < \infty. \quad (12)$$

Then (passing to a subsequence if necessary) we have

$$\|(u, u_t)(t_n) - \sum_{j=1}^k (\bar{u}_j(\cdot + x_j^n), 0)\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (13)$$

where k is a finite integer, \bar{u}_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, are (not necessarily distinct) solutions of (11) and $x_j^n, x_i^n \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\text{dist}(x_j^n, x_i^n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } i \neq j, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

Proposition 2 is proved by means of the concentration compactness theory due to Lions [6].

Finally, it may be shown that even in case (B) there is a chance to obtain compactness changing the phase space appropriately. In addition to the above hypotheses, we shall assume

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{z} \geq b > 0, \quad f'(z) \geq -C \quad \text{for all } z. \quad (15)$$

Next, we introduce the norm

$$\|v\|_{L_B^2}^2 = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} v^2 dx \quad (16)$$

along with the corresponding space L_B^2 defined as a completion of the set of all smooth and bounded functions on \mathbb{R}^N with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{L_B^2}$. In a similar way, the space H_B^1 is defined by means of the norm

$$\|v\|_{H_B^1}^2 = \|\nabla v\|_{L_B^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L_B^2}^2. \quad (17)$$

Finally, we write

$$X_B = H_B^1 \times L_B^2. \quad (18)$$

It may be shown (see [3, Section 2]) that the Cauchy problem for (E) is well posed on X_B , and that the solution operator $\{S_t\}$ forms a group of locally Lipschitz continuous mappings on X_B .

Our final result reads as follows :

PROPOSITION 3. [3, Theorem 1]

There is a set $\mathcal{A} \subset X_B$ enjoying the following properties :

(A1) \mathcal{A} attracts bounded sets in X_B , i.e., for any $\mathcal{B}(u, u_t) \subset X_B$ bounded, we have

$$\text{dist}(S_t(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

(A2) \mathcal{A} is time invariant, i.e.,

$$S_t(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

(A3) \mathcal{A} is locally compact in the sense that \mathcal{A} is bounded in X_B and compact in X_{loc} , where

$$X_{loc} = H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Remark. It is clear that \mathcal{A} is uniquely determined by the conditions (A1)–(A3). Moreover, any set satisfying (A1), (A2) contains \mathcal{A} . This justifies the denomination global attractor for \mathcal{A} .

The proof of Proposition 3 does not use the conclusion of Proposition 2. The main idea is to work in weighted Sobolev spaces with weights polynomially decreasing for large values of $|x|$.

Acknowledgement. A substantial part of this work has been done during author's sabbatical leave at the Universidad Complutense, Madrid. He is grateful to E. Z u a z u a for many stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] BERESTYCKI, H.—LIONS, P. L.: *Nonlinear scalar field equations II. Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 347–375.
- [2] FEIREISL, E.: *Attractors for semilinear damped wave equations on \mathbb{R}^3* , (to appear).
- [3] FEIREISL, E.: *Locally compact global attractors for semilinear damped wave equations on \mathbb{R}^n* , preprint.
- [4] FEIREISL, E.: *On the dynamics of semilinear damped wave equations on \mathbb{R}^N* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 1981–1999.
- [5] KELLER, C.: *Stable and unstable manifolds for the nonlinear wave equation with dissipation*, J. Differential Equations **50** (1983), 330–347.
- [6] LIONS, P. L.: *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the locally compact case*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **1** (1984), 109–145, 223–283.

Received September 29, 1993

Institute of Mathematics AVČR
 Žitná 25
 115 67 Praha 1
 CZECH REPUBLIC
 E-mail: feireisl@csearn.bitnet