

# SOME REMARKS ON METRIC PRESERVING FUNCTIONS

## IMRICH POKORNÝ

Dedicated to the memory of Tibor Neubrunn

ABSTRACT. We obtained a characterization of the class of metric preserving functions which are the sum of the identity function and a periodic function.

**DEFINITION.** We call a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  metric preserving iff  $f \circ d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a metric for every metric  $d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , where (M,d) is an arbitrary metric space and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denotes the set of nonnegative reals. We denote by  $\mathscr{M}$  the set of all metric preserving functions.

Some properties of metric preserving functions were investigated in the papers [1], [2] and [3].

The purpose of the paper is to characterize the class of metric preserving functions which have the following form f(x) = x + g(x), where g is a periodic function.

The following two functions are examples of such functions

$$f_1(x) = x + |\sin(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and

$$f_2(x) = [x] + \sqrt{x - [x]}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

where [x] denotes the integer part of x.

Throughout this paper we denote by id the identity function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (i.e.,  $\mathrm{id}(x) = x$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ) and by  $\mathscr{G}$  the class of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that the function  $f - \mathrm{id}$  is periodic and nonconstant.

**Observation 1.**  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is subadditive iff f – id is subadditive.

The proof is left as an exercise.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 26A99; Secondary 26A30. Key words: metric preserving function, periodic function.

## IMRICH POKORNÝ

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Then f-id has the smallest period.

Proof. By contradiction. Put  $g=f-\mathrm{id}$ . Suppose there does not exist the smallest period of g. Then there exists a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive periods of g such that  $T_n \to 0$ . In this case  $f(T_n) = T_n + g(T_n) = T_n$ , since  $g(0) = g(T_n) = 0$ . By [2, Lemma 2] there is a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of 0 on which f(x) = x and hence g(x) = 0 on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then from periodicity of g it follows that  $g \equiv 0$ , a contradiction.

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Then f is nondecreasing.

Proof. Put g = f - id. Denote by  $T_g$  the smallest period of g.

First we show that f is nondecreasing on  $(0,T_g)$ . We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that there are  $x_1,x_2\in(0,T_g)$  such that  $x_1< x_2$  and  $f(x_1)>f(x_2)$ . Let  $a=T_g+x_1$ ,  $b=T_g$  and  $c=x_2$ . Then (a,b,c) is a triangle tripled  $(a\leq b+c)$ ,  $b\leq a+c$  and  $c\leq a+b$  and by [3, Proposition 1]

$$f(a) = f(x_1 + T_g) \le f(b) + f(c) = f(T_g) + f(x_2) =$$

$$= T_g + f(x_2) < T_g + f(x_1) = T_g + x_1 + g(x_1) =$$

$$= x_1 + T_g + g(x_1 + T_g) = f(x_1 + T_g) = f(a).$$

We have a contradiction.

Since for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in (0, T_g)$  we have

$$f(x+k,T_g) = x + k \cdot T_g + g(x+k \cdot T_g) = x + k \cdot T_g + g(x) =$$

$$= k \cdot T_g + x + g(x) = k \cdot T_g + f(x),$$

the function f is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

According to [1, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 1.1] we obtain the following result as an immediate corollary.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  iff the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^+ : f(a) = 0 \iff a = 0$ ,
- (ii) f is subadditive,
- (iii) f is nondecreasing.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Put  $g = f - \mathrm{id}$ . Then  $g(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in (0, T_g)$ , where  $T_g$  is the smallest period of g.

Proof. By contradiction.

### SOME REMARKS ON METRIC PRESERVING FUNCTIONS

Suppose that there is  $a \in (0, T_g)$  such that g(a) < 0 and hence f(a) < a. Then a - f(a) > 0 and there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$k \cdot (a - f(a)) > T_g. \tag{1}$$

There is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\ell \cdot T_g \leq k \cdot a < (\ell+1) \cdot T_g$  and

$$0 \le k \cdot a - \ell \cdot T_q < T_q \,. \tag{2}$$

According to subadditivity of g and the inequalities (2), (1) we have:

$$\begin{split} f(k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) &= (k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) + g(k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) = \\ &= g(k \cdot a) + (k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) \le k \cdot g(a) + (k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) = \\ &= k \cdot \left( f(a) - a \right) + (k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) < -T_g + T_g \,, \end{split}$$

i.e.,  $f(k \cdot a - T_g \cdot \ell) < 0$ , what is a contradiction.

**OBSERVATION 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ ,  $g = f - \mathrm{id}$  and g(a) = 0 for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $g(k \cdot a) = 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ ,  $g = f - \mathrm{id}$  and m, n be relatively prime positive integers such that  $g\left(\frac{m}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = 0$ , where  $T_g$  is the smallest period of g. Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$   $g\left(\frac{i}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = 0$ .

Proof. Let  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$k \cdot m = \ell \cdot n + 1. \tag{3}$$

Then by Observation 2  $g\left(k \cdot \frac{m}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = 0$  and from (3) we have

$$g\left(\frac{k \cdot m}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = g\left(\frac{\ell \cdot n + 1}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = g\left(\ell \cdot T_g + \frac{1}{n} \cdot T_g\right) =$$
$$= g\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = 0.$$

By Observation 1  $g\left(\frac{i}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = 0$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Put  $g = f - \mathrm{id}$ . Then g(x) > 0 for every  $x \in (0 \cdot T_g)$ , where  $T_g$  is the smallest period of g.

Proof. By contradiction.

Suppose that there is  $a \in (0, T_q)$  such that g(a) = 0.

## IMRICH POKORNÝ

1) Let  $\frac{a}{T_g}$  be a rational number. Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a = \frac{m}{n} \cdot T_g$ . By Lemma 3 we obtain that  $g\left(\frac{1}{n}, T_g\right) = 0$ . Let  $x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n} \cdot T_g\right)$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap (1, n)$ . Then from subadditivity of g

$$g(x) = g(x) + g\left(\frac{k}{n} \cdot T_g\right) \ge g\left(x + \frac{k}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = g\left(x + \frac{k}{n} \cdot T_g\right) + g\left(\frac{n-k}{n} \cdot T_g\right) \ge g\left(x + \frac{k}{n} \cdot T_g + \frac{n-k}{n} \cdot T_g\right) = g(x + T_g) = g(x).$$

Therefore  $g\left(x+\frac{k}{n}\cdot T_g\right)=g(x)$  which shows that  $T=\frac{1}{n}\cdot T_g$  is a period of g. This contradicts the definition of  $T_g$ .

2) Let  $\frac{a}{T_g}$  be an irrational number. It is well-known that the set  $\{k \cdot x - [k \cdot x]; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a dense set on [0, 1] for arbitrary irrational x. Put  $A = \left\{k \cdot \frac{a}{T_g} - \left[k \cdot \frac{a}{T_g}\right]; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ .

The set  $B = T_g \cdot A = \{T_g \cdot x ; x \in A\}$  is a dense set on  $[0, T_g]$ . From Observation 2 it follows that g(x) = 0 for every  $x \in B$  (since  $x = k \cdot a - \ell \cdot T_g$  for suitable  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Hence there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x_n \in B$  and  $x_n \to 0$ . Therefore  $f(x_n) = x_n$  and by [2. Lemma 2] there is a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of 0 such that f = id on  $\mathcal{U}$  and hence g(x) = 0 on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b = \frac{1}{m} \cdot T_g$  and  $b \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then g(b) = 0 and  $\frac{b}{T_g} = \frac{1}{m}$  is a rational number. This case was discussed in the previous part of this proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BORSÍK, J.—DOBOŠ, J.: Functions whose composition with every metric is a metric (in Russian), Math. Slovaca 31 (1981), 3-12.
- [2] BORSÍK, J.—DOBOŠ, J.: On metric preserving functions, Real Anal. Exchange 13 (1987–88), 285–293.
- [3] TERPE, F: Metric preserving functions, Proc. Conf. Topology and Measure IV, Greifswald, 1984, 189-197.

Received October 5, 1992

Department of Metallforming Faculty of Metallurgy Technical University Vysokoškolská 4 040 01 Košice SLOVAKIA