

A CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS DEFINED BY GEGENBAUER POLYNOMIALS

Bolineni Venkateswarlu¹ — Pinninti Thirupathi Reddy² — Settipalli Sridevi¹ — Vaishnavy Sujatha¹

¹Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Doddaballapur-562163, Bengaluru, INDIA

 $^2\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal
-506009, Telangana, INDIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by Gegenbauer polynomials. We obtain coefficient bounds, growth and distortion properties, extreme points and radii of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity for functions belonging to the class $TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. Furthermore, we obtained the Fekete-Szego problem for this class.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of all functions u(z) of the form

$$u(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

in the open unit disc $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let S be a subclass of A consisting of univalent functions and let satisfy the following usual normalization condition u(0) = u'(0) - 1 = 0. We denote by S the subclass of A consisting of functions u(z) which are all univalent in E.

A function $u \in A$ is a starlike function of the order $v, 0 \leq v < 1$, if it satisfies

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)}\right\} > \upsilon, (z \in E).$$
⁽²⁾

This class will be denoted by $S^*(v)$.

^{© 2021} Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: analytic, coefficient bounds, extreme points, convolution, polynomial.

^{©©©©} Licensed under the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND 4.0 International Public License.

B. VENKATESWARLU, --- THIRUPATHI REDDY, --- S. SRIDEVI-V. SUJATHA

A function $u \in A$ is a convex function of the order $v, 0 \leq v < 1$, if it satisfies

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)}\right\} > \upsilon, (z \in E).$$
(3)

K(v) will denote this class.

Note that $S^*(0) = S^*$ and K(0) = K are usual classes of starlike and convex functions in E, respectively.

Let T denote the class of functions analytic in E that are of the form

$$u(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \ge 0, \ z \in E)$$
 (4)

and let $T^*(v) = T \cap S^*(v)$, $C(v) = T \cap K(v)$. The class $T^*(v)$ and the allied classes possess some interesting properties and have been extensively studied by Silverman [13].

The class $\mathcal{T}(\lambda), \lambda \geq 0$, was introduced and investigated by Szynal [16] as a subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions of the form

$$u(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} k(z,m) d\mu(m),$$
(5)

where

$$k(z,m) = \frac{z}{(1 - 2mz + z^2)^{\lambda}} \qquad (z \in E, m \in [-1,1])$$
(6)

and μ is a probability measure on the interval [-1, 1]. The collection of such measures on [a, b] is denoted by $P_{[a,b]}$.

The Taylor series expansion of the function in (6) gives

$$k(z,m) = z + c_1^{\lambda}(m)z^2 + c_2^{\lambda}(m)z^3 + \cdots$$
(7)

and the coefficients for (7) were given below:

$$c_0^{\lambda}(m) = 1; \quad c_1^{\lambda}(m) = 2\lambda m; \quad c_2^{\lambda}(m) = 2\lambda(\lambda+1)m^2 - \lambda;$$

$$c_3^{\lambda}(m) = \frac{4}{3}\lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)m^3 - 2\lambda(\lambda+1)m\dots,$$
(8)

where $c_n^{\lambda}(m)$ denotes the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree *n*. Varying the parameter λ in (7), we obtain the class of typically real functions studied by [1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14] and [17].

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^m : A \to A$ be defined in terms of convolution by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{m}u(z) = k(z,m) * u(z).$$

We have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{m}u(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\lambda, m, n)a_{n}z^{n}$$

$$\phi(\lambda, m, n) = c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(m).$$
(9)

where

A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GEGENBAUER POLYNOMIALS

Now, by making use of the linear operator $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{m}$, we define a new subclass of functions belonging to the class A.

DEFINITION 1.1. For $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, $\varrho \ge 1, k \ge 0$ and $0 \le \vartheta < 1$, a function $u \in A$ is said to be in the class $S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ if it satisfies condition

$$\Re\left\{\varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1)\right\} > k \left|\varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho\right| + \vartheta, \tag{10}$$

where

$$F(z) = (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{m}u(z) + \gamma z \left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{m}u(z)\right)'.$$
(11)

We also define

$$TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta) = S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta) \cap T.$$

By suitably specializing the parameters involved, the class $S_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ and if it satisfies the condition $TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ can be reduced to new or to known much simpler classes of functions which were studied in earlier works (see [3–5, 7,11,15]).

The object of this paper is to study various properties for functions belonging to the class $S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ and $TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$, respectively.

2. Coefficient estimates

In order to prove our results from this section, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let ϑ be a real number and w be a complex number. Then, $\Re(w) \ge \vartheta$ if and only if

$$|w + (1 - \vartheta)| - |w - (1 + \vartheta)| \ge 0.$$

First, we give a sufficient coefficient bound for functions in the class

$$S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta).$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $u \in A$ be given by (1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)] A_n(\lambda,\gamma,m) |a_n| \le 1 - \vartheta$$
(12)

where

$$A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m) = [1 + \gamma(m-1)] \phi(\lambda, m, n).$$
(13)

Then, $u \in S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$.

B. VENKATESWARLU, --- THIRUPATHI REDDY, --- S. SRIDEVI---- V. SUJATHA

Proof. In virtue of Definition 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1) - k \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho \right| - (1 + \vartheta) \right| \leq \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1) - k \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho \right| + (1 + \vartheta) \right|.$$
(14)

For the right hand and left hand side of (14), respectively, we may write

$$R = \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1) - k \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho \right| + (1 - \vartheta) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F(z)|} \left| \varrho zF'(z) - (\varrho - 1)F(z) - ke^{i\theta} \left| \varrho zF'(z) - \varrho F(z) \right| + (1 - \vartheta)F(z) \right|$$

$$> \frac{|z|}{|F(z)|} \left[2 - \vartheta - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2 - \vartheta + \varrho(n - 1)(k + 1) \right] A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m) |a_n|$$

and similarly,

$$L = \left| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1) - k \right| \varrho \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho \left| - (1 + \vartheta) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{|F(z)|} \left| \varrho zF'(z) - (\varrho - 1)F(z) - ke^{i\theta} \left| \varrho zF'(z) - \varrho F(z) \right| - (1 + \vartheta)F(z) \right|$$

$$< \frac{|z|}{|F(z)|} \left[\vartheta + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \varrho(n - 1)(1 + k) - \vartheta \right| A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m) |a_n| \right]$$

since

$$R - L > \frac{|z|}{|F(z)|} \left[2(1 - \vartheta) - 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)] A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m) |a_n| \right] \ge 0,$$

the required condition (12) is satisfied.

In the next theorem, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $u \in T$ to be in the class $TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $u \in T$ be given by (4). Then, $u \in TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)] A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m) a_n \le 1 - \vartheta,$$
(15)

where $A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m)$ is defined by (13). The result is sharp.

A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GEGENBAUER POLYNOMIALS

Proof. Assume that inequality (15) holds true. In virtue of Theorem 2.2 and the definition of $TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$, choosing the values of z on the positive real axis, inequality (10) reduces to

$$\frac{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\varrho(n-1)]A_n(\lambda,\gamma,m)a_n z^{n-1}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n(\lambda,\gamma,m)a_n z^{n-1}} -\vartheta > k \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varrho(n-1)A_n(\lambda,\gamma,m)a_n z^{n-1}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n(\lambda,\gamma,m)a_n z^{n-1}} \right|.$$
(16)

Letting $z \to 1^-$, we obtain the desired inequality. Finally, equality holds for the function u defined by

$$u(z) = z - \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)]A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m)} z^n, \quad (n \ge 2).$$
(17)

COROLLARY 2.4. If $u \in TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ then,

$$a_n \le \frac{1 - \vartheta}{[1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)]A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m)}, \quad (n \ge 2).$$
(18)

Equality is obtained for the function u given by (17).

3. Growth and Distortion theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let $u \in TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. Then, for |z| = r < 1

$$r - \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}r^2 \le |u(z)| \le r + \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}r^2$$
(19)

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}r^2 \le |u'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}r,$$
(20)

where

$$B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta) = [1 - \vartheta + \varrho(n-1)(1+k)]A_n(\lambda, \gamma, m), \quad (n \ge 2).$$
(21)

Inequalities (19) and (20) are sharp for the function u given by

$$u(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} z^2.$$

B. VENKATESWARLU, --- P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, --- S. SRIDEVI---- V. SUJATHA

 $\mathbf{P}\,\mathbf{r}\,\mathbf{o}\,\mathbf{o}\,\mathbf{f}.$ Since $u\in TS^m_\lambda(\gamma,\varrho,k,\vartheta)$ and from Theorem 2.3, it follows

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta) a_n \le (1 - \vartheta),$$

where $B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ is given by (21), we have

$$B_{2}(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_{n} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}B_{2}(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)a_{n}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}B_{n}(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)a_{n} \leq 1-\vartheta$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \le \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}.$$
(22)

Since u is given by (3), we obtain

$$|u(z)| \le |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-2} \le r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \le r + \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} r^2$$

and

$$|u(z)| \ge |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-2} \ge r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \ge r - \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} r^2.$$

In view of Theorem 2.3, we also have

$$\frac{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)}{2} na_n$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (B_n, \lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta) a_n \leq (1 - \vartheta)$$

which yields

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \le \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}$$

Thus,

$$|u'(z)| \le 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1} \le 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \le 1 + \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} r$$

and

$$|u'(z)| \ge 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1} \ge 1 - r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \ge 1 - \frac{2(1-\vartheta)}{B_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} r.$$

Now, the proof of our theorem is completed.

4. Extreme points

Next, we examine the extreme points for the function class $TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$.

THEOREM 4.1. Let the functions $u_1(z) = z$ and

$$u_n(z) = z - \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} z^n,$$
(23)

where

$$\begin{split} & 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad m \in N, \quad \varrho \geq 1, \quad k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta < 1, \quad n \geq 2. \end{split}$$
 Then, $u \in TS^m_\lambda(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ if and only if

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n u_n(z), \ (z \in E),$$
(24)

where

$$\lambda_n \ge 0 \ (n \ge 1) \quad and \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1.$$
 (25)

Proof. Assume that u can be written as in (24). Then,

$$\begin{split} u(z) &= \lambda_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \bigg[z - \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)} z^n \bigg] = \\ &z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)} z^n. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta) \lambda_n \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)} = (1-\vartheta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = (1-\vartheta)(1-\lambda_1) \le (1-\vartheta),$$

in virtue of Theorem 2.3 it follows that

$$u \in TS^m_\lambda(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta).$$

Conversely, suppose $u\in TS^m_\lambda(\gamma,\varrho,k,\vartheta)$ and consider

$$\lambda_n = \frac{B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)}{(1 - \vartheta)} a_n, \quad (n \ge 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n.$$
$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n u_n(z).$$

Then,

Hence, the proof is completed.

79

5. Radii of starlikeness, convexity and close to convexity

We begin this section with the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. Let the function u given by (4) be in the class $TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. Then, u is starlike of order $\rho(0 \le \rho < 1)$ in $|z| < r_{1}(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$, where

$$r_1(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta) = \inf_{n \ge 2} \left[\frac{(1-\rho)B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}{(n-\rho)(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

Proof. To prove the theorem, we must show that

$$\left|\frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1\right| \le 1 - \rho, \quad \text{for } z \in E, \ 0 \le \rho < 1 \text{ with } |z| < r_1(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta).$$

We have

$$\frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \bigg| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \le \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left|\frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1\right| \le 1 - \rho \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\rho)}{(1-\rho)} a_n |z|^{n-1} \le 1.$$
 (26)

In virtue of (15), we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)}{1 - \vartheta} a_n \le 1.$$

Hence, inequality (26) will be true if

$$\frac{(n-\rho)}{(1-\rho)}|z|^{n-1} \le \frac{B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}{1-\vartheta}$$
$$|z| \le \left[\frac{(1-\rho)B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}{(n-\rho)(1-\vartheta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \qquad (n\ge 2).$$

Thus, the proof of the theorem is completed.

Proofs of the following Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 are analogous to that of Theorem 5.1, so we omit them.

or if

THEOREM 5.2. Let the function u given by (4) be in the class $TS^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. Then, u is convex of order ρ ($0 \le \rho < 1$) in $|z| < r_2(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$, where

$$r_2(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta) = \inf_{n \ge 2} \left[\frac{(1-\rho)B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}{n(n-\rho)(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

THEOREM 5.3. Let the function u given by (4) be in the class $TS_{\lambda}^{m}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. Then, u in close-to-convex of order $\rho(0 \leq \rho < 1)$ in $|z| < r_{3}(\lambda, \gamma, m, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$, where

$$r_3(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta) = \inf_{n \ge 2} \left[\frac{(1-\rho)B_n(\lambda,\gamma,m,\varrho,k,\vartheta)}{n(1-\vartheta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

6. The Fekete-Szego problem for the function class $S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$

In this section, we obtain the Fekete-Szego inequality for the functions in the class $S^m_{\lambda}(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$. In the order to prove our main result, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.1 ([8]). If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z + c_3 z^2 + \cdots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in E, then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| = \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \nu \le 0, \\ 2, & 0 \le \nu \le 1, \\ 4\nu - 2, & \nu \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

when $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$ the inequality holds if and only if $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$$

or one of rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\delta}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\delta}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad (0 \le \delta \le 1) \text{ or one of its rotations.}$$

If $\nu = 1$, the equality holds if and only if p(z) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of $\nu = 0$.

B. VENKATESWARLU, --- THIRUPATHI REDDY, --- S. SRIDEVI---- V. SUJATHA

Theorem 6.2. Let $\varrho \ge 1$, $0 \le k \le \vartheta < 1$. If $u \in S^m_\lambda(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ is given by (1), then

$$|a_3-\mu a_2^2| = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{\varrho^2(1-k)^2A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \Big[\varrho(1-k) + 2(1-\vartheta) - 4\mu(1-\vartheta) \frac{A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)}{A_2^2(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \Big], & \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{\varrho(1-k)A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)}, & \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{-(1-\vartheta)}{\varrho^2(1-k)^2A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \Big[\varrho(1-k) + 2(1-\vartheta) - 4\mu(1-\vartheta) \frac{A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)}{A_2^2(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \Big], & \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{A_2^2(\lambda, \gamma, m)}{2A_3(\lambda, \gamma, m)} \qquad and \qquad \sigma_2 = \frac{A_2^2(\lambda, \gamma, m)[1 - \vartheta + \varrho(1 - k)]}{2A_3(\lambda, \gamma, m)(1 - \vartheta)}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $\Re(w) \le |w|$ for any complex numbers, $u \in S^m_\lambda(\gamma, \varrho, k, \vartheta)$ implies that

$$\Re\left[\varrho\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - (\varrho - 1)\right] > k\Re\left[\varrho\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \varrho\right] + \vartheta$$

or that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}\right) > \frac{\vartheta - 1 + \varrho(1-k)}{\varrho(1-k)}.$$

Hence,

$$G \in S^*\left(\frac{\vartheta - 1 + \varrho(1 - k)}{\varrho(1 - k)}\right).$$

Let

$$p(z) = \frac{\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \frac{\vartheta - 1 + \varrho(1-k)}{\varrho(1-k)}}{\frac{1-\vartheta}{\varrho(1-k)}} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

Then, by virtue of (9) and (11), we have

$$a_2 = \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{\varrho(1-k)A_2(\lambda,\gamma,m)}c_1$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{2\varrho(1-k)A_2(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \Big[c_2 + \frac{1-\vartheta}{\varrho(1-k)}c_1^2\Big].$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} = \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{2\varrho(1-k)A_{3}(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \left[c_{2} - \frac{1-\vartheta}{\varrho(1-k)}c_{1}^{2} \right] - \mu \frac{(1-\vartheta)^{2}}{\varrho^{2}(1-k)^{2}A_{2}^{2}(\lambda,\gamma,m)}c_{1}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{2\varrho(1-k)A_{3}(\lambda,\gamma,m)} \left[c_{2} - \frac{1-\vartheta}{\varrho(1-k)}c_{1}^{2} \left(2\mu \frac{A_{3}(\lambda,\gamma,m)}{A_{1}^{2}(\lambda,\gamma,m)} - 1 \right) \right].$$

We write

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{(1 - \vartheta)}{2\varrho(1 - k)A_3(\lambda, \gamma, m)}(c_2 - \rho c_1^2),$$

where,

$$\rho = \frac{(1-\vartheta)}{\varrho(1-k)} \left[2\mu \frac{A_3(\lambda,\gamma,m)}{A_2^2(\lambda,\gamma,m)} - 1 \right].$$

Our result follows by application of the above lemma. Denote

$$\xi = \frac{\vartheta - 1 + \varrho(1 - k)}{\varrho(1 - k)}.$$

If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality holds true if and only if

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\theta}z)^{2(1-\xi)}}, \quad (\theta \in R).$$

When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, the equality holds true if and only if

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\theta} z^2)^{(1-\xi)}}, \quad (\theta \in R).$$

If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds true if and only if

$$F(z) = \left[\frac{z}{(1-e^{i\theta}z)^{2(1-\xi)}}\right]^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \left[\frac{z}{(1+e^{i\theta}z)^{2(1-\xi)}}\right]^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} = \frac{z}{\left[(1-e^{i\theta}z)^{1+\delta}(1+e^{i\theta}z)^{1-\delta}\right]^{1-\xi}}, \quad (0 \le \delta \le 1, \ \theta \in R).$$

Finally, when $\mu = \sigma_2$, the equality holds true if and only if p(z) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds true in the case of $\mu = \sigma_2$. \Box

Acknowledgement. The authors warmly thank the referees for the careful reading of the paper and their comments.

REFERENCES

- COHL, H. S.: On a generalization of the generating function for Gegenbauer polynomials, Int. Transf. Spec. Funct. 24 (2013), no. 10, 807–816.
- [2] DUREN, P.L.: Univalent Functions, A series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 259. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [3] GOODMAN, A. W.: On uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 56 (1991), no. 1, 87–92.
- [4] GOODMAN, A. W.: On uniformly starlike functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 155 (1991), no. 2, 364–370.
- KANAS, S.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.: Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions, Int. Transf. Spec. Funct. 9(2000), no. 2, 121–132.

B. VENKATESWARLU, --- P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, --- S. SRIDEVI --- V. SUJATHA

- [6] KIEPIELA, K.—NARANIECKA, I.—SZYNAL, J.: The Gegenbauer polynomials and typically real functions, J. of Comput. and Appl. Math. 153 (2003), 273–282.
- [7] MA, W.—MINDA, D.: Uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 57 (1992), 165–175.
- [8] MA, W.C.—MINDA, D.: A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, (Tianjin, 1992, Peoples Republic of China); June (1992) pp. 19–23; (Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang and S. Zhang, eds.), Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal. I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, pp. 157–169.
- [9] OLATUNJI, S.O.—GBOLAGADE, A.M.: On certain subclass of analytic functions associated with Gegenbauer polynomials, J. Fract. Calc. Appl. 9 (2018), no. 2, 127–132.
- [10] POMMERENKE, C.: Univalent Functions. Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
- [11] RONNING, F.: Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), no 1, 189–196.
- [12] SCHOBER, G.: Univalent Functions-Selected topics. In: Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 478, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [13] SILVERMAN, H.: Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109–116.
- [14] SOBCZAK-KNEČ, M.—ZAPRAWA, P.: Covering domains for classes of functions with real coefficients, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 52 (2007), no. 6, 519–535.
- [15] SWAPNA, G.—VENKATESWARLU, B.—REDDY, P. THIRUPATHI: Subclass of analytic functions defined by generalized differential operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis, Math. Inform. 62 (2020), 57–70.
- [16] SZYNAL, J.: An extension of typically real functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A. 48 (1994), 193–201.
- [17] ZAPRAWA, P.—FIGIEL, M.—FUTA, A.: On coefficients problems for typically real functions related to Gegenbauer polynomials, Mediterr. J. Math. 14 (2017), no. 2, Paper no. 99.

Received November 11, 2020

Bolineni Venkateswarlu Settipallii Sridevi Vaishnavy Sujatha Department of Mathematics School of Sciences GITAM University Doddaballapural - 562 163 Bengaluru Rural INDIA E-mail: bvlmaths@gmail.com siri_settipalli@yahoo.co.in sujathavaishnavy@gmail.com

Pinninti Thirupathi Reddy Department of Mathematics Kakatiya Univeristy Warangal - 506 009 Telangana INDIA E-mail: reddypt2@gmail.com