

## ANOTHER PROOF OF HUREWICZ THEOREM

MIROSLAV REPICKÝ

ABSTRACT. A Hurewicz theorem says that every coanalytic non- $G_\delta$  set  $C$  in a Polish space contains a countable set  $Q$  without isolated points such that  $\overline{Q} \cap C = Q$ . We present another elementary proof of this theorem and generalize it for  $\kappa$ -Suslin sets. As a consequence, under Martin's Axiom, we obtain a characterization of  $\Sigma_2^1$  sets that are the unions of less than the continuum closed sets.

### 1. Hurewicz schemes

Several proofs of the Hurewicz theorem are known. The original proof by W. Hurewicz [2] is based on the notion of “Häufungssystem” (which we call Hurewicz scheme below). The proof presented by A. Kechris [3, Theorem 21.18 and Theorem 21.22] is based on the so called separation game. Recently Michal Staš [5] gave another simple and elementary proof of this theorem. Our proof is relative to the proof by M. Staš but, in addition, we introduce a notion of a  $D$ -proper mapping between metric spaces and obtain another variant of his characterization. We present a natural generalization to  $\kappa$ -Suslin sets.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a metric space.

- (1) A mapping  $\varphi: {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  is an  $H$ -scheme on  $X$  (i.e., *Hurewicz scheme*) if the following two conditions are satisfied:
  - (a)  $\varphi(s \frown n) \neq \varphi(s \frown m)$  for all  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and  $n \neq m$ ,
  - (b)  $\varphi(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s \frown n)$  for all  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ .
- (2) An  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi$  is *separated* if there is a system of open sets  $\{V_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\}$  such that for all  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,
  - (c)  $\varphi(s) \in V_s \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_{s \frown n}}$ , and
  - (d)  $\{\overline{V_{s \frown n}} : n \in \omega\}$  is a disjoint system of subsets of  $V_s$ .

---

© 2011 Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03E15; Secondary 03E17, 03E50.

Keywords: Hurewicz scheme,  $D$ -proper mapping, analytic set.

The work has been supported by grant of Slovak Grant Agency VEGA 1/0032/09.

We say that the system  $\{V_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\}$  is *normal* if

(e)  $\lim_{s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega} \text{diam}(V_s) = 0$ , i.e.,  $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\forall^\infty s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega) \text{diam}(V_s) < \varepsilon$ .

- (3) An  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  is *complete* (in  $X$ ), if for every  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$ , the sequence  $\{\varphi(g \upharpoonright n)\}_{n \in \omega}$  converges in  $X$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.** For an  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  we denote

$$H(\varphi) = \overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)} \setminus \text{rng}(\varphi),$$

$$G(\varphi) = \{x \in X : (\exists f \in {}^\omega\omega) x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(f \upharpoonright n)\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $H(\varphi)$  is a  $G_\delta$  set and, if  $X$  is a Polish space, then  $G(\varphi)$  is analytic. For every  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi$  we can define a (partial) function  $f_\varphi : {}^\omega\omega \rightarrow X$  by  $f_\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x \upharpoonright n)$ , if the limit exists. The set  $G(\varphi)$  is the range of  $f_\varphi$ . The function  $f_\varphi$  is total if and only if  $\varphi$  is a complete  $H$ -scheme. If  $\varphi$  is an  $H$ -scheme on a complete metric space separated by a normal system of open sets, then  $f_\varphi$  is total, injective, and continuous.

By Hurewicz [2], an  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi$  is *normal*, if it satisfies condition  $\overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)} = G(\varphi) \cup \text{rng}(\varphi)$ , i.e.,  $H(\varphi) \subseteq G(\varphi)$ . We need a stronger property because of the next lemma.

**LEMMA 1.3.** Let  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  be an  $H$ -scheme on a metric space  $X$  separated by a normal system of open sets  $\{V_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\}$ . If  $\langle s_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a sequence in  ${}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,  $T = \{s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega : \exists^\infty n s \subseteq s_n\}$ , and  $x \in X$ , then  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s_n)$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) there is  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  such that  $T = \{s \upharpoonright k : k \leq |s|\}$ ,  $\forall^\infty n s \subseteq s_n$ , and  $x = \varphi(s)$ ,  
or
- (ii) there is  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that  $T = \{g \upharpoonright k : k \in \omega\}$ ,  $\forall k \forall^\infty n g \upharpoonright k \subseteq s_n$ , and  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(g \upharpoonright n) \notin \text{rng}(\varphi)$ .

In particular,  $G(\varphi) = H(\varphi)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s_n)$ . For every  $s \in T$  we have  $x \in \overline{V_s}$ . By condition (d) it follows that  $T$  does not contain any two incomparable elements. If  $T$  is finite, let  $s$  be its maximal element. There are increasing sequences  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \omega}$  and  $\{m_k\}_{k \in \omega}$  such that  $s \frown m_k \subseteq s_{n_k}$  for all  $k$ . Then

$$d(\varphi(s), \varphi(s_{n_k})) \leq d(\varphi(s), \varphi(s \frown m_k)) + \text{diam}(V_{s \frown m_k})$$

and hence

$$\varphi(s) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s_{n_k}) = x.$$

If  $t$  is a proper subsequence of  $s$ , then  $\varphi(t) \in V_t \setminus \overline{V_s}$  and so  $\varphi(t) \neq x$ . Hence we cannot apply the same argument for any  $t$  below  $s$  which means that  $\forall^\infty n s \subseteq s_n$ .

## ANOTHER PROOF OF HUREWICZ THEOREM

If  $T$  is infinite, then there must be  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$  such that  $T = \{g \upharpoonright k : k \in \omega\}$ . Then for every  $k$ ,  $\varphi(g \upharpoonright k) \in V_{g \upharpoonright k} \setminus \overline{V_{g \upharpoonright (k+1)}}$ , hence  $\varphi(g \upharpoonright k) \neq x$  and, like in the previous case,  $\forall k \forall^\infty n \ g \upharpoonright k \subseteq s_n$ . Then  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(g \upharpoonright n)$  because  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_{g \upharpoonright n}}$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 1.4.** The Cantor space  ${}^\omega 2$  can be represented as a topological closure of an  $H$ -scheme separated by a normal system of open sets. By induction let us define  $t_s \in {}^{<\omega} 2$  for  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ :  $t_\emptyset = \emptyset$  and  $t_{s \frown n} = t_s \frown 0^n 1$ . Then  $\varphi_C : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow {}^\omega 2$  defined by  $\varphi_C(s) = t_s \frown \overline{0}$  ( $\overline{0}$  is the infinite sequence of 0's) is an  $H$ -scheme separated by the normal system of clopen sets  $\{[t_s] : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\}$ . Clearly,  $\overline{\text{rng}(\varphi_C)} = {}^\omega 2$  and  $G(\varphi_C) = H(\varphi_C) = {}^\omega 2 \setminus \text{rng}(\varphi_C) \simeq {}^\omega\omega$ .

**THEOREM 1.5.** *If  $X$  is a metric space and  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  is a complete  $H$ -scheme separated by a normal system of open sets, then  $H(\varphi) = G(\varphi) \simeq {}^\omega\omega$  and  $\overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)}$  is a compact perfect set homeomorphic to the Cantor space.*

**Proof.** Let  $\psi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow Y$  be any other complete  $H$ -scheme on a metric space  $Y$  separated by a normal system of open sets. There is a homeomorphism  $f : \overline{\text{rng}(\varphi)} \rightarrow \overline{\text{rng}(\psi)}$  such that  $f(\varphi(s)) = \psi(s)$  and  $f(f_\varphi(g)) = f_\psi(g)$  for all  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$ . This is possible because the convergence of  $\{\varphi(s_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$  and  $\{\psi(s_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$  depends on the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  in the way described by Lemma 1.3. Apply this fact to the  $H$ -scheme on the Cantor space from Example 1.4.  $\square$

## 2. Hurewicz theorem

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a metric space. An  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi' : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$  is a *subscheme* of an  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X$ , if there is an injective mapping  $h : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega$  such that  $|h(s)| = |s|$ ,  $t \subseteq s$  implies  $h(t) \subseteq h(s)$ , and  $\varphi'(s) = \varphi(h(s))$  for all  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$ .

Subschemes of  $H$ -schemes preserve normality, separateness, and completeness. If  $\varphi'$  is a subscheme of an  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi$ , then  $\text{rng}(\varphi') \subseteq \text{rng}(\varphi)$  and  $G(\varphi') \subseteq G(\varphi)$ . The notion of a subscheme is similar to the notion of “Restsystem” in [2].

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Every  $H$ -scheme has a subscheme separated by a normal system of open sets.*

**Proof.** We define open balls  $V_s$  and a function  $h : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow {}^{<\omega}\omega$  by induction on  $|s|$  for  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  so that

$$\varphi(h(s)) \in V_s \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(V_s) < 2^{-(n+s(n))} \quad \text{for} \quad s \in {}^{n+1}\omega.$$

Set  $h(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and let  $V_\emptyset$  be an open ball with center  $\varphi(h(\emptyset))$ . Let  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  be arbitrary and let us assume that  $h(s)$  and  $V_s$  are defined and  $\varphi(h(s)) \in V_s$ . Let  $\{k_n^s\}_{n \in \omega}$  be an increasing sequence such that

$$\varphi(h(s) \frown k_n^s) \in V_s \quad \text{and} \quad d\left(\varphi(h(s) \frown k_{n+1}^s), \varphi(h(s))\right) < d\left(\varphi(h(s) \frown k_n^s), \varphi(h(s))\right).$$

Set  $h(s \frown n) = h(s) \frown k_n^s$  and choose open balls  $V_{s \frown n} \subseteq V_s \setminus \{\varphi(s)\}$  with centers  $\varphi(h(s \frown n))$  for  $n \in \omega$  so that their closures are pairwise disjoint, do not contain  $\varphi(h(s))$ , and their diameters are sufficiently small.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $f(Y) \subseteq D \subseteq X$ . The function  $f$  is said to be *D-proper*, if for every nonempty open set  $U \subseteq Y$  the set  $\overline{f(U)} \setminus D$  is nonempty and has no isolated points.

**LEMMA 2.4.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces, let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be continuous, and let  $f(Y) \subseteq D \subseteq X$ . If  $Y$  is complete and  $f$  is *D-proper*, then there exists a complete *H-scheme*  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X \setminus D$  such that  $G(\varphi) \subseteq f(Y)$ .

**PROOF.** By induction on  $|s|$  for  $s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega$  we define a sequence  $\langle V_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega \rangle$  of nonempty open balls in  $Y$  and an *H-scheme*  $\varphi : {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X \setminus D$  such that

- (1)  $\text{diam}(V_s) < 2^{-|s|}$  and  $\text{diam}(\overline{f(V_s)}) < 2^{-|s|}$ ,
- (2)  $\{\overline{V_{s \frown n}} : n \in \omega\}$  is a disjoint system of subsets of  $V_s$ ,
- (3)  $\varphi(s) \in \overline{f(V_s)} \setminus D$ .

Let  $V_\emptyset \subseteq Y$  be any open ball such that  $\text{diam}(V_\emptyset) < 1$  and  $\text{diam}(\overline{f(V_\emptyset)}) < 1$ , and let  $\varphi(\emptyset) \in \overline{f(V_\emptyset)} \setminus D$ . This is possible because  $f$  is continuous and *D-proper*.

Let us assume that  $V_s$  and  $\varphi(s) \in \overline{f(V_s)} \setminus D$  have been constructed for a given  $s \in {}^m\omega$ . Since  $\varphi(s)$  is not an isolated point of  $\overline{f(V_s)} \setminus D$ , we can choose a disjoint sequence  $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of open balls in  $X$  such that  $U_n \cap (\overline{f(V_s)} \setminus D) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U_n \subseteq B(\varphi(s), 2^{-m-1})$ , and  $\varphi(s) \notin \overline{U_n}$ ; hence  $\text{diam}(U_n) < 2^{-m-1}$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  let us choose an open ball  $V_{s \frown n} \subseteq f^{-1}(U_n) \cap V_s$  in  $Y$  with diameter  $< 2^{-m-1}$  such that  $\overline{f(V_{s \frown n})} \subseteq U_n$  and let  $\varphi(s \frown n) \in \overline{f(V_{s \frown n})} \setminus D$ .

Clearly,  $\varphi$  is an *H-scheme* and conditions (1)–(3) are satisfied. We prove that  $G(\varphi) \subseteq f(Y)$ . Let  $g \in {}^\omega\omega$ . Since  $Y$  is complete there is a unique  $y \in \bigcap_{m \in \omega} \overline{V_{g \upharpoonright m}}$ . Then  $f(y) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(g \upharpoonright m)$  because  $f(y) \in \overline{f(V_{g \upharpoonright m})} \subseteq \overline{f(V_{g \upharpoonright m})}$  and hence  $d(f(y), \varphi(g \upharpoonright m)) < 2^{-m}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal number. For a set  $D \subseteq X$  let  $I_{<\kappa}(D)$  denote the ideal over  $D$  generated by unions of  $< \kappa$  closed sets in  $X$  which are subsets of  $D$  and let  $I_\kappa(D) = I_{<\kappa^+}(D)$ . Let us recall that  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$  denotes the least cardinality of a family of meager sets covering the real line.

ANOTHER PROOF OF HUREWICZ THEOREM

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\omega \leq \kappa < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ . Let  $X$  be a metric space, let  $A \subseteq D \subseteq X$ , and let  $A$  be a  $\kappa$ -Suslin set. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \notin I_{<\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})}(D)$ .
- (2)  $A \notin I_\kappa(D)$ .
- (3) *There is a continuous  $D$ -proper mapping  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  for some complete metric space  $Y$  such that  $f(Y) \subseteq A$  and the weight of  $Y$  is less or equal to  $\kappa$ .*
- (4) *There is a continuous  $D$ -proper mapping  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  for some complete metric space  $Y$  such that  $f(Y) \subseteq A$ .*
- (5) *There is a complete  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi: {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X \setminus D$  such that  $G(\varphi) \subseteq A$ .*
- (6) *There is a complete  $H$ -scheme  $\varphi: {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X \setminus D$  separated by a normal system of open sets such that  $G(\varphi) \subseteq A$ .*
- (7) *There is a compact perfect set  $P \subseteq X$  such that  $P \cap D \subseteq A$ ,  $P \setminus D$  is countable, and  $\overline{P \setminus D} = P$ .*

*Proof.* The implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are trivial, the implication (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) is Lemma 2.4 and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) follows by Lemma 2.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $g: {}^\omega\kappa \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function such that  $g({}^\omega\kappa) = A$ . As  ${}^\omega\kappa$  has a base of open sets of size  $\kappa$ , there is a maximal open set  $U_0$  in  ${}^\omega\kappa$  such that  $g(U_0) \in I_\kappa(D)$ . Denote  $Y = {}^\omega\kappa \setminus U_0$  and  $f = g|_Y$ . The function  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is continuous,  $Y$  is a complete metric space, and  $f(Y) \subseteq A$ . We verify that  $f$  is  $D$ -proper.

Let  $V$  be an open set in  $Y$ , i.e.,  $V = U \setminus U_0$  for some open set  $U \subseteq {}^\omega\kappa$ . If  $\overline{f(V)} \subseteq D$ , then  $g(U) = (g(U) \setminus g(U_0)) \cup (g(U) \cap g(U_0)) \subseteq g(V) \cup g(U_0) \subseteq \overline{f(V)} \cup g(U_0) \in I_\kappa(D)$  and hence  $V \subseteq U \subseteq U_0$ . It follows that if  $V$  is a nonempty open set in  $Y$ , then  $\overline{f(V)} \setminus D \neq \emptyset$ . We prove that  $\overline{f(V)} \setminus D$  has no isolated points. On the contrary, assume that  $x \in \overline{f(V)} \setminus D$  is an isolated point and  $B$  is an open ball in  $X$  such that  $B \cap \overline{f(V)} \setminus D = \{x\}$ . The set  $F = \overline{f(V)} \cap B \setminus \{x\}$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set in  $X$  contained in  $D$ , the set  $V' = V \cap f^{-1}(B \setminus \{x\})$  is open in  $Y$ , and  $g(V') \subseteq f(V) \cap B \setminus \{x\} \subseteq F \in I_\kappa(D)$ . Let  $U' \subseteq {}^\omega\kappa$  be such an open set that  $V' = U' \setminus U_0$ . Then  $g(U') = (g(U') \setminus g(U_0)) \cup (g(U') \cap g(U_0)) \subseteq g(V') \cup g(U_0) \in I_\kappa(D)$ . It follows that  $V' = \emptyset$  because  $V' \subseteq U' \subseteq U_0$ . Then  $f(V) \cap B \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$  and  $x \in f(V) \subseteq D$  because  $x \in \overline{f(V)}$ . This is a contradiction.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) If  $\varphi: {}^{<\omega}\omega \rightarrow X \setminus D$  is a complete  $H$ -scheme separated by a normal system of open sets, then by Theorem 1.5,  $P = \text{rng}(\varphi)$  is a compact perfect set, and since  $G(\varphi) = H(\varphi) \subseteq A \subseteq D$ , then  $P \cap D = G(\varphi)$  and  $P \setminus D = \text{rng}(\varphi)$ .

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Assume that  $P$  is a compact perfect set satisfying (7). Then  $P \setminus A = P \setminus D$  is a countable dense subset of  $P$ . So, if  $A \in I_{<\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})}(D)$ , then  $P \cap A$  and

hence also  $P$  is the union of  $< \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$  nowhere dense subsets of  $P$ . This is impossible and therefore  $A \notin I_{<\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})}(D)$ .  $\square$

Let us note that the proof of the theorem requires neither that the metric space  $X$  is complete nor that  $X$  has a countable base. If we use another definition of a  $\kappa$ -Suslin set, then there may be some restrictions (for example, a set in a metric space of weight  $\leq \kappa$  is a result of the Suslin operation  $\mathcal{A}^\kappa$  applied to closed sets if and only if it is a continuous image of  ${}^\omega\kappa$ , see [4, Proof of Theorem 2B.1]).

Condition (3) as a variant of (4) was suggested by the referee.

Michal Staš [5] has proved the equivalence of conditions closely related to (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (7) for analytic sets (i.e.,  $\omega_1$ -Suslin sets). His proof of the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) contains a direct construction of an  $H$ -scheme by induction using the following lemma:

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a space with a countable base of open sets. Let  $A \subseteq D \subseteq X$ . Then for every open set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $A \cap U \notin I_\omega(D)$  there are infinitely many points  $p \in U \setminus D$  such that  $A \cap V \notin I_\omega(D)$  for all neighbourhoods  $V$  of  $p$ .*  $\square$

Let us look at the meaning of the clause “infinitely many points  $p \in U$ ” in the lemma. Let us note that the set  $A$  in the lemma need not be analytic. But if  $A$  is analytic and  $A \cap U \notin I_\omega(D)$ , then by Theorem 2.5 there is a continuous  $D$ -proper mapping  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  defined on a Polish space  $Y$  such that  $f(Y) \subseteq A \cap U$ . For every open set  $V \subseteq X$  such that  $f(Y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  the function  $f \upharpoonright (f^{-1}(V))$  is again  $D$ -proper. Hence for every  $p \in \overline{f(Y)} \setminus D$  and for every neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  we have  $A \cap V \notin I_\omega(D)$ .

It is well-known that every  $\Sigma_2^1$  set is  $\omega_1$ -Suslin. By Theorem 2.5, if  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) > \omega_1$ , then a  $\Sigma_2^1$  set  $A$  in a (possibly non-complete) metric space is the union of  $\leq \omega_1$  closed sets if and only if there is no compact perfect set  $P$  such that  $P \setminus A$  is countable dense in  $P$ . (Take  $D = A$  in the theorem.) In particular, we have:

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *If Martin’s Axiom holds, then a  $\Sigma_2^1$  set  $A$  is the union of  $< 2^\omega$  closed sets if and only if there is no compact perfect set  $P$  such that  $P \setminus A$  is countable dense in  $P$ .*  $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] BARTOSZYŃSKI, T.—JUDAH, H.: *Set Theory. On the Structure of the Real Line*. A. K. Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.  
 [2] HUREWICZ, W.: *Relativ perfekte Teile von Punktmengen und Mengen (A)*, Fund. Math. **12** (1928), 78–109.

## ANOTHER PROOF OF HUREWICZ THEOREM

- [3] KECHRIS, A. S.: *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [4] MOSCHOVAKIS, Y. N.: *Descriptive Set Theory*, in: Stud. Logic Found. Math., Vol. 100, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1980.
- [5] STAŠ, M.: *Hurewicz scheme*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), 75–78.

Received February 25, 2010

*Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Jesenná 5  
SK-041-54 Košice  
SLOVAKIA*

*Department of Computer Science  
Faculty of Science  
P. J. Šafárik University  
Jesenná 5  
SK-041-54 Košice  
SLOVAKIA  
E-mail: repicky@kosice.upjs.sk*