

INNOVATIVE ELEMENTS IN THE 19TH CENTURY ARABIC LEXICON

Ladislav DROZDÍK

Institute of Oriental and African Studies, Slovak Academy of Sciences,
Klemensova 19, 813 64 Bratislava, Slovakia

The *nahḍa* movement, following the thirteenth-eighteenth centuries of cultural isolation and decadence (*ʿaṣr al-inḥiṭā*), may be interpreted as a process of re-evaluation of the Arab cultural heritage. The process has been started by the confrontation of traditional values with those coming from the newly discovered Europe. The study concentrates on the way the Arabic lexicon was being adapted to meet this tremendous cultural challenge.

... But simultaneously with the *nahḍa* movement, a challenge was facing the Arab world as great as it had encountered in the eighth century. Just as, then, the ancient bedouin Arabian culture was being profoundly modified by urbanization and the consequent demand for expression of new modes of thought, so in the nineteenth century the centuries-old Muslim-Arab culture had to face the challenge of European culture and new modes of thought demanding new modes of expression (A.F.L. Beeston 1970: 15).

1. The nineteenth century is a significant turning point in the historical and cultural evolution of the Arab world. It started the era currently known as Arab renaissance or revival (*an-nahḍa al-ʿarabīya*) which might perhaps more truthfully be termed as a national and cultural renaissance of the Arab world. For most of the nineteenth century, however, the inner value of the *nahḍa* movement was not 'the nation' in its modern sense as rather a variously defined conception of 'way of life' (Stetkevych 1970: xvi). In the atmosphere of fading supremacy of the Ottoman Empire, the influence of the European big powers is beginning to be felt also in this part of the world.

The Napoleonic expedition to Egypt (1798-1801), chronologically clearly identifiable as the most powerful stimulus to the Westernization process in the traditional Muslim society, was not the unique and not even the first stimulating force in this process. Sporadic contacts with Europe did occur in certain parts of the Arab world in previous centuries, as well. In the age of the Crusades, the Christian

Maronites of what is now Lebanon were brought into direct contact with the Church of Rome. As co-religionists, the Maronites welcomed the Crusaders. Burchard, a Dominican pilgrim and propagandist, writes in his report, in 1283, about the readiness of Maronites to send to the Holy Land 40 000 volunteers to fight with the Crusaders (Atiya 1938: 161). Somewhat later, Franciscan and Dominican missionaries came to Lebanon and Maronite students were invited to the Vatican. In 1584, the Maronite College (*Collegium Maronitarum*) was founded by the Pope Gregory XIII to facilitate their study in Rome. The most illustrious among its graduates was Joseph Simonijs Assemani (Yūsuf Samʿān as-Samʿānī), the well-known Orientalist and the author of the monumental bibliography *Bibliotheca Orientalis* (Atiya 1968: 399).

The Napoleonic expedition to Egypt, however, irrespective of its immediate political consequences, definitively closed the era of the Arab cultural and intellectual Middle Ages and proved to be a powerful impetus to a far-reaching reconceptualization of all basic constituents of the Arab cultural heritage to make it compatible with urgent needs of the modern society. The impact of this historical event, by far exceeding the geographical boundaries of Egypt, initiated systematic contacts of the Arab world with Europe. The process of 'awakening' progressed most rapidly in Egypt and Lebanon owing to a relatively great administrative and partly even political autonomy enjoyed by these countries within the Ottoman Empire.

The three-year presence of the Napoleon's army in Egypt, accompanied by various corps of advisers, engineers, physicians and a number of very various experts and scholars, created in the Egyptians a frustrating awareness of backwardness and inferiority, intermixed with a feeling of distrust and hostility towards the French. The implanted reforms and regulations, leading to the generally understandable positive results in the administration, educational system, sanitary services, etc., were gradually accepted despite the initial defiance and resistance.

Napoleon's attempt to subdue Egypt lead to the confrontation with Britain and ended with the defeat of the French marine at Abukir (1798). The Sublime Port, encouraged by this defeat, sent a military corps against Napoleon under the leadership of Muhammad Ali who, after a series of initial failures, consolidated his power and after the withdrawal of the French army became the absolute ruler of the whole of Egypt (1805-1848). This illustrious ruler understood that there is no other means to face the steadily growing political and cultural influence of the West than a tedious long-term work on balancing Eastern and Western levels of civilization. As an ingenious ruler and a ruthless despot, he set up an ambitious plan to transform Egypt into a modern state of European type. During his long rule, he really succeeded in implementing a good part of his ideas.

The continuous contact of the Egyptians with foreign experts, first with the Italians, later on mainly with the French, stimulated the study of foreign languages and led to intensive translation activities. In order to encourage these activities, in 1835 the Translation School (*Madrasat at-tarğama*), later renamed the School of Languages (*Madrasat al-'alsun*), was founded. Teachers and gradu-

ates of this school that has survived with some short-term breaks up to our days, largely contributed to the lexical and stylistic modernization of Arabic. Arabic, remodelled in this era, operates nowadays as a unique written standard, mostly known as Modern Standard Arabic (MSA),¹ in the whole Arabophone area. MSA stands very close to the true Classical Arabic, the linguistic medium of the earliest-known orally transmitted pre-Islamic poetry (6th century) as well as that of the Koran (*al-qur'ān*; 7th century). In its general typological characteristics, MSA is substantially identical with this language. This linguistic unity that has no parallel among European languages survives in a substantially unaltered form more than 1,500 years and is one of the determining factors of the present-day system of what is known as Arabic diglossia (*al-izdiwāḡiyya fi-l-luḡa*).

Journalism, especially the daily press service dealing with international, conceptually untraditional events, proved to be one of the most efficient means of the linguistic modernization of the 19th century Arabic. In 1828 the first official Egyptian newspaper, "Egyptian Events" (*al-Waqā'i' al-miṣriyya*) was founded, followed somewhat later, thanks to the Lebanese immigrants to Egypt, by a number of literally and culturally oriented magazines, such as "Selection" (*al-Muqtaṭaf*, 1885), "The Half-moon" (*al-Hilāl*), as well as the influential daily "Pyramids" (*al-Ahrām*, 1876).

The extensive translators' activities had a similar stimulative effect. Large numbers of hastily coined terms found their way into the lexicon only to disappear after an ephemeral existence. At least one example. The term for 'electricity' simultaneously appears in two coinages: Boethor's neologism *ḡāḍibīya* (Boethor 1828-1829) "attraction, attractiveness, ability of attracting", evidently motivated by the effects of static electricity and, similarly motivated, aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī's calque *kahrabā'* (< *kahramān* "amber"). While the first coinage has been finally accepted as a physical term "gravitation", the second is used in the originally proposed meaning.²

¹ In Arabic studies in English, mostly *Modern Written (Standard/Literary) Arabic*, *Modern Classical Arabic*, less unambiguously, simply *Classical Arabic*; less frequently *Contemporary Arabic* (Ferguson 1959: 1, 3 < Sa'id 1967: 4); Wehr: *heutiges Hocharabisch*, *Hocharabisch der Gegenwart*, *Neuhocharabisch*, *arabische Schriftsprache der Gegenwart*; Lecerf: *néo-arabe*, *néo-classique*; Monteil: *néo-arabe*, *arabe moderne*; Baranov: *sovremennyj arabskij literaturnyj jazyk*; in Arabic sources, most frequently *al-'arabiyya*; *al-luḡa al-'arabiyya*, *al-luḡa al-'arabiyya al-fuṣḥā* or, simply, *al-fuṣḥā*; in case of necessity, the attribute of modernity may be expressed by *luḡa ('arabiyya) ḥadīṭa / mu'āṣira*, etc. Terminological variation is not exceptional, e.g. Blau (1965) denotes by the term *Modern Arabic* the whole stock of modern colloquial variants of Arabic to distinguish them from Middle and Classical Arabic.

² A similar variation of neologisms, in their pre-codification stage, may be observed much more recently, as well. The first attempts at referring to the jet-propulsion, jet engine, jet plane, etc. brought into being several transient terms that have subsequently been rejected, like *mūtūr 'āryākisyūn* (French: *moteur à réaction*) "jet engine", paralleled by a home-made coinage: *muḥarrik ad-daḡ al-mutaqaṭṭi'* (lit. 'engine with an intermittent propulsion'). The attribute *naḡṭā'*, fem. *naḡṭā'a*, in the meaning of "reactive, jet" was not definitively accepted before 1944, when the Cairo magazine *al-Muḥtār* (Selection) published an article translated from English:

One of the most illustrious translators of this era was the chancellor of the School of Languages, Rifāʿa Rāfiʿ aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī (1801-1873), in the Arab world mainly known as the author of the highly interesting report that might be qualified as a culturally conceived travelbook "Refining gold or a summary description of Paris" (*Ṭaḥlīs al-ʿibriz fī ṭaḥlīs Bārīz*, Būlāq 1834; 2nd ed. 1849; followed by a series of modern editions (Cairo 1905; 1958 /ʿAllām & Lūqā/; 1974 /Ḥiḡāzī/)).

When disregarding medieval Arab geographers and travellers, aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī's *Ṭaḥlīs* is the first Arab travelbook offering detailed, trustworthy and authentic information about a foreign country. Despite the rhymed prose in its title and some short passages, the book is written in a simple and easily understandable language. Apart from its contribution to the enrichment of the lexical stock of Arabic by numerous neologisms the author had to coin to describe phenomena of an untraditional cultural environment (topography of Paris, manners and customs of its inhabitants, habitation, meals, dressing, divertissements; position of women in the society; sanitary installations and medical care; system of the government, etc.), the work has an invaluable cognitive import.³

During his stay in Paris, aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī met several French Orientalists. Relying on the following passage of his report (*Ṭaḥlīs* 1834/1905: 71-5), he was greatly

aṭ-Ṭāʾira an-naffāta ʾāʾirat al-mustaqbal ("The jet plane is a plane of the future"). The term *ʾāʾira naffāta* has been, in this application, almost immediately accepted and the attribute *naffāt* was soon generally used in related terms: *muḥarrrik naffāt* "jet engine", *daʿ naffāt / naffī* "jet propulsion". (Ṣarrūf 1963: 281-298). The adjective *naffāt*, derived from the verb *nafaṭ* "to spit, to expire", appears in substantive form in the Koran (113:4): *naffāta* "sorceress; woman who spits on the knots (in exercising a form of Arabian witchcraft in which women tie knots in a cord and spit upon them with an imprecation)" (Wehr 1979).

³ Certain generally acknowledged facts were, at that time, unacceptable for the cultural atmosphere in Egypt to such an extent that they were omitted in Ṭaḥṭāwī's manuscript and we know them only from the secondary sources. Such was the fate of the passage referring to the Copernican cosmology, published by Caussin de Perceval (1833: 245-251) prior to the Egyptian edition of the book: *wa qāla baʿḍ ʿulamāʾ al-ʿifrangʾinna l-qaul bi-dawarān al-ʿarḍ wa-stidāratiḥā lā yuḥālif mā waradat bihi l-kutub as-samāwīya wa ḡālika li-ʾanna l-kutub as-samāwīya qad ḡakarat ḥādīhi l-ʾašyāʾ fī maʿriḍ waʿz wa naḥwihi ḡaryan ʿalā mā yazhar li-l-ʾamma lā tadqīqan falsafīyan maṭalan warada fī-š-šarʿ ʾanna-llāh taʿālā waqafa š-šams fa-l-murād bi-waqf aš-šams taʿhīr ḡiyābiḥā ʿani-l-ʾaʿyun wa-ḥādā yaḥṣul bi-tauqīf al-ʿarḍ . . .* "A European scholar assumed that the assertion of the Earth's orbital motion and its spheric shape does not contradict the Holy Scriptures. It is so because the Scriptures mention these things merely in the form of an admonition, in harmony with what the common people see, with no aim at the scholarly precision. Thus, for instance, it occurs in the Scriptures that God stopped the motion of the Sun just to postpone its disappearance from the sight though, in fact, the same happens by stopping the motion of the Earth." Louca (1988: 332): "Un savant européen a prétendu que l'assertion du mouvement circulaire de la terre et de sa forme arrondie n'est point contraire aux saintes écritures. En effet, dit-il, les Livres saints, parlant de ces choses dans des passages où il s'agissait de donner aux hommes une instruction morale, ont employé des termes conformes à l'apparence des phénomènes et non à l'exactitude scientifique. Ainsi il est dit dans l'Écriture que Dieu arrêta le soleil, cela signifie qu'il retarda le moment où cet astre disparaît aux yeux, effet produit en réalité par la suspension du mouvement de la terre."

impressed by Silvestre de Sacy (1758-1838), one of the founders of modern Arabic studies in Europe:

"The assertion that the foreigners are unable to understand Arabic has no foundation. The conversation I had with one renowned and distinguished Frenchman, well-known in Europe for his knowledge of Oriental languages, especially Arabic and Persian, Mr. Silvestre de Sacy, proves the opposite. He learned Arabic, he says, with the aid of his own intelligence, receptiveness and culture, with no help of a teacher, an exception made perhaps for the very first beginnings. . . . while reading, however, he has a foreign accent and, without a book in hand, he is not able to say a word. When he analyses a sentence, he interprets in a very strange way its words he is unable to pronounce correctly. In spite of considerable difficulties, while speaking Arabic, he has a remarkably deep knowledge about Arabic. . . . The grammar "Valuable Gift for the Study of Arabic" (*at-Tuḥfa as-sanīya fī 'ilm al-ʿarabīya*) is one of his works, too. The author explains here the grammar in a very special method that no one has used before him. Apart from this, he published an anthology of Arabic texts."

Titles of books, translated by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī and some of his disciples and contemporaries clearly show how enormous was the challenge the Arabic lexicon had to face in the first half of the 19th century.⁴

The modernization movement was not a straightforward process. Towards the end of the nineteenth century many Arab leaders and intellectuals were alarmed by what was perceived by them as deculturalization so that they deemed necessary to undertake a struggle to recapture the sense of identity (Stetkevych 1970: xii). In the domain of language, as a reaction to Western penetration, a renewed interest in Classical, the Golden Age, authors emerged to counterbalance the ideals and activities of the modernizers. In religion, the Islamic revivalists, like Ḡamāl ad-Dīn al-Afḡānī and Muḥammad ʿAbduḥ, sought in a revived Islam their de-

⁴ *Kitāb al-ʿamīr fī ʿilm al-taʾrīḥ wa-s-siyāsa wa-t-tadbīr* > Machiavelli: *Il Principe*; Arabic translation by Rafāʿil Zāḥūr, available only in manuscript form (1823), designed for the personal use of Muḥammad ʿAlī. Recently, there are several modern translations: Nīqūlā Mākiyāvellī: *Kitāb al-ʿamīr wa-huwa taʾrīḥ al-ʿimārāt al-ḡarbiya fī-l-qurūn al-wusṭā*, translated by Muḥammad Luṭfī Gumʿa, Cairo 1912; *Al-ʿAmīr*, by Chairī Ḥammād, Bagdad 1988, and others;

Qalāʾid al-mafāḥir fī ḡarīb ʿawāʾid al-ʿawāʾil wa-l-ʿawāḥir > G.B. Depping: *Aperçu historique sur les mœurs et coutumes des nations*, Paris 1826; by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, Būlāq 1833; *al-Madāʾin an-nafisa* (or *Risālat al-madāʾin*) > Ferard: *Traité des mines*, Paris?; by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, Būlāq 1833;

Rauḍat al-ʿadkiyāʾ fī ʿilm al-fisiyulūḡiyyā > Lafarge: *Traité de la physiologie vétérinaire*, Paris?; by Yūsuf Firʿawn, Būlāq 1840;

Naẓm al-laʾālī fī sulūk li-man ḥakama Faransā mina-l-mulūk > ?, *Histoire des rois de France*, Paris?; by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, Būlāq?;

Maḡālīʿ šumūs as-sair fī waḡāʾiʿ Kārolus aṭ-tāniya ʿaṣar > Voltaire: *Histoire de Charles xii*, Paris 1731; by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, Būlāq 1841, and others (al-Šayyāl 1951: unpaginated statistical tables).

fence against the loss of cultural identity (Stetkevych 1970: xv). In this connection, it is worthwhile noting that the political scene witnessing the linguistic modernization of Arabic radically varied through the century, as well. In 1863 Arabic was declared the sole official language in Egypt, previously this position having been shared with Turkish. After the British occupation of Egypt, in 1882, English took up this position and the struggle for the restitution of Arabic to public life continued into the 20th century (Holes 1995: 36).

2. The work of lexicographers that was also able, in a sense, to follow the lexicographic tradition of the Arab Middle Ages markedly contributed to the modernization of the Arabic lexicon. Elliouš Bocthor's⁵ French-Arabic dictionary (1828-1829), by the reliability of data, currentness and neatness of arrangement by far surpasses the more voluminous lexicon by F. Cañes (1787), stigmatized by a great number of grammatical and factual errors, anachronisms and lexical inadequacies.⁶ By its evident currentness and modernity Bocthor's dictionary contrasts even with the excellent Arabic-Latin lexicon by G. Freytag (1830-1837) and with the monumental Arabic-English lexicon *Maddu-l-Kamoos*, by E.W. Lane (1863-1893; reprint. 1968). The last two lexicons, true masterworks of 19th century European lexicography, are drawing on renowned Classical authors with no aim, however, to contribute to the lexical modernization of the Arabic lexicon. As a matter of fact, Freytag's lexicon is only a rearranged translation of the voluminous lexicon *al-Qāmūs al-muḥīṭ* ('The Surrounding Ocean'; the term *al-qāmūs* /from the Greek *ōkeanos*/ is used to denote 'lexicon' for the first time in Arabic) by al-Firūzābādī (1326-1414). The lexicon is supplemented with some data from al-Ġawharī's (d. around 1007) *Šaḥāḥ* and from J. Golius' Arabic-Latin lexicon (1653). The principal source of Lane's lexicon is the multi-volume dictionary *Tāğ al-ʿarūs* ('The Crown of the Bride'), by Murtaḍā az-Zabīdī (d. 1791), the latter being an alphabetically rearranged commentary on al-Firūzābādī's *Qāmūs* (Haywood 1965: 123-126).

Bocthor's dictionary had played an uncommonly important part in the lexical modernization of Arabic. Bilingual dictionaries with Arabic in first place made it

⁵ Elliouš Bocthor (1784-1821), a native of the Upper Egypt and Christian Copt, did not conceal his admiration for the French. Having mastered the French language, he worked two years as an interpreter in the occupation administration. He left Egypt together with the withdrawing French army. During ten-years of his stay in Marseille, Bocthor worked on a great French-Arabic lexicon, independent of the medieval Arab lexicographers. Just before his appointment as professor of Colloquial Arabic at the Paris School of Oriental Languages (École des langues orientales), in 1821, he suddenly died, aged 37. Bocthor's two-volume *Dictionnaire français-arabe*, edited and enlarged by the prominent French Arabist Caussin de Perceval, appeared posthumously in 1828-1829.

⁶ Cf., e.g., the definition of the entry *sol* 'the Sun': *šams*: el principal de los siete planetas, y la antorcha mas brillante de los cielos, que nos alumbray vivifica ('the most important of all six planets and the most brilliant celestial body on the sky that illuminates us and keeps us alive'); or the definition of *bigamia* 'bigamy': *at-tazwiğ at-tānī baʿda mā yatarammal ar-rağul*: el segundo matrimonio que se contrae por el que sobrevive á la primera muger ('the second marriage of a widowed man').

possible to ignore, quite simply, culturally untraditional concepts with no Arabic equivalents available. The French-Arabic orientation of Bocthor's dictionary did not permit a similar escape solution and forced the author to seek for or rather to create Arabic equivalents for a great number of lexical units missing in the Arabic lexicons but, nevertheless, constituting an integral part of the lexical stock of modern French. Despite the fact that some of Bocthor's neologisms did not penetrate into the lexicon of MSA, the importance of Bocthor's dictionary is truly invaluable. It is the first successful attempt at breaking through the roughly millennium-old tradition of the medieval Arab lexicography, providing evidence of the vitality of Arabic and its capability for a rapid modernization. This dictionary, especially in its later editions (Paris 1848, 1882; Cairo, Bulaq, complemented by aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī's disciple ʿAbīd Ḡallāb /Ibed Gallab in the orthography of that time/, 1864), significantly contributed to the constitution of MSA and to its lexical maturation. To the same goal devoted his life another illustrious personage, the well-known Lebanese encyclopaedist and lexicographer Buṭrus al-Bustānī (1819-1883), the author of the huge and considerably innovated monolingual lexicon *Muḥīṭ al-muḥīṭ* (Beirut 1869-1870).

Kazimirski's Arabic-French dictionary (Paris 1860) betrays roughly the same source background, even if less methodically exploited, as that of Freytag and Lane. The Egyptian edition (Cairo 1875), largely expanded by Ḡallāb, reveals a strong influence of al-Bustānī's *Muḥīṭ al-muḥīṭ*. Wahrmund's 'neuarabisch', in fact, utterly Classical, Arabic-German lexicon (Giessen 1898), with only a limited number of isolated and haphazard colloquial additions, also displays a Lane-modelled architecture.

The two aš-Šidyāq brothers, Ṭannūs (d. 1861) and Aḥmad Fāris (d. 1887), living alternatively in Lebanon, Egypt and abroad, also distinguished themselves in the intellectual movement and positively contributed to the lexical and stylistic flexibility of Arabic as a medium of modern society. In Iraq, this movement is mainly associated with the name of Maḥmūd al-Ālūsī (1802-1854), the most prominent personage of a small group of Iraqi activists (Chejne 1969: 126-137).

Different points of view sometimes provoked controversies among the activists struggling for the common cause. The actual problems of journalism and the newspaper Arabic, its lexical and stylistic pre-requisites and perspectives aroused a lengthy and sharp dispute between Nāṣif al-Yāziḡī (1802-1854) and Fāris aš-Šidyāq (Chejne 1969: 135).

On the eve of ʿUrābī's rebellion (1880), intended as the opening of a revolution, a challenging political essay, *al-Kalīm at-ṭamān* ('Eight Words') appeared, and may have played a quite significant part in inspiring ʿUrābī and his fellow revolutionaries. Its author, Ḥusayn al-Marṣafī (d. 1890), called *šaiḥ al-'udabā'*, is generally regarded as one of the pioneers of the Egyptian cultural renaissance of the second half of the nineteenth century (Brugman 1984: 325). In his essay, he presented in a highly challenging and go-ahead way eight most fundamental notions of his days: *'umma*, *waṭan*, *ḥukūma*, *ʿadl*, *ẓulm*, *siyāsa*, *ḥurrīya*, and *tarbiya* (ibid. 327; cf. also Monteil 1960: 36; H. Pérès 1955: 16).

The comparison with Boethor's *Dictionnaire* (1828-1829) offers the following correspondences:

'*umma* "nation" ~ "nation : habitants d'un même pays": *milla, ṭāyifa*;⁷

waṭan (no specific equivalent that could correspond, as a true *Schlagwort*, to the Arabic *waṭan* or the Latin *patria*, is available in English; less straightforwardly perhaps "homeland, home country, native land", with undue connotations even "fatherland") ~ "*patrie*: pays, état où l'on est né": *maulid, bilād, milād, waṭan*, but in the expression "love of one's native land (*amor patriae*)" ~ "amour de la patrie", the term *waṭan* is used as a unique possible equivalent: *ḥubb, ma'azzat al-waṭan*; *ḥukūma* "government" ~ "*gouvernement* : manière de gouverner": *ḥukūma, ḥukm, tadbīr*;

'*adl* "justice" ~ "*justice*: vertu morale qui fait que l'on rend à chacun ce qui lui appartient": *istiḡāma, 'adl, 'adāla, 'inṣāf*;

ẓulm "injustice, wrongdoing" ~ "*injustice*: manque de justice, violation du droit d'autrui": *mazlama, ḡaur, ẓulm*;

siyāsa "politics; policy" ~ "*politique*: art de gouverner un état": *siyāsa, ḥukm al-mamālik, tadbīr al-mamālik*; the relative adjective "political" ~ "*politique*: qui concerne le gouvernement d'un état" is already derived from the substantive *siyāsa*: *siyāsī*;

ḥurrīya "freedom, liberty" ~ "*liberté*: pouvoir de faire ou de ne pas faire, indépendance des commandements de la volonté d'autrui": *'adam at-ta'alluq bi-n-nās, 'iftlāq*; Marṣafī's term *ḥurrīya*, integrated in the lexicon of MSA, occurs with Boethor only in the expression "freedom of religion" ~ "liberté de conscience, permission de confesser une religion quelconque": *ḥurrīyat al-'adyān*;

tarbiya "education" ~ "*éducation*": *tarbiya, 'adab, ta'dīb, tarbāya, ribāya* (?); in the expression "children's education" ~ "éducation des enfants" the recent MSA term is already used: *tarbiyat al-'aḡāl*.

3. From the number of the 19th century key notions that have become the object of protracted interest of the lexicographers, translators and men of letters, just as of the wide circles of journalists, intellectuals and all sophisticated language users, a rather limited selection will be presented in what follows. Data from Boethor's *Dictionnaire* (1828-1829), at the starting point, will be confronted with modern MSA equivalents, as well as with the corresponding data from the lexicographical and textual sources of the epoch.

(1) "citizen" (MSA: *muwāṭṭin*; "citizenship" *muwāṭṭana*; "civil liberties", "civic, citizenship rights" *ḥuqūq al-muwāṭṭana*, etc., derived from *waṭan* "homeland, patria"); the term, in Boethor's (Bc.) definition, did not lose, as yet, its exclusive relation to the "city": *citoyen*, habitant d'une ville: *baladī, ibn al-balad*; the polysemy of the term *baladī*, however, does not exclude a more straightforward interpretation: "citizen, countryman";

⁷ Phonological and orthographical deviations from the norm of MSA are left uncorrected and the same applies to the archaic French orthography.

(2) also the term denoting “(human) society” underwent a rather complicated evolution (MSA: *muğtama*^c; originally used as *nomen loci* “gathering place, meeting place”, cf. E.W. Lane (1863-1893/1968): “a place in which a thing becomes collected, brought together, or the like; or in which things have become so; where they collect themselves, come together, or unite; or in which they are comprised, or contained; a place in which is a collection of things, etc.”); Bc.: *société*, assemblage d’hommes unis par la nature et les lois (“society, assembly of men united by the nature and laws”): *ğam*^c*īya*, *iğtimā*^c*īya*; in the adjective “social”, presented as a multiword term, Bc.: *social*, qui concerne la société – another term appears covering the same concept: *ğamā*^c*a* : *yaḥuṣṣ ğamā*^c*at an-nās* (“concerning the society of men”).

In al-Bustānī’s innovative monolingual lexicon *Muḥīṭ al-muḥīṭ* (al-Bustānī 1869-1870/1977; Mm in what follows) the concept of “(human) society” is most immediately covered by terms like *ğumhūr* (*al-ğumhūr bi-ma*^c*nā l-iğtimā*^c); *ğamhara* (*al-ğamhara iğtimā*^c *al-ğumhūr*) and *iğtimā*^c. On the other hand, the notion of “social organization” *al-hai*^c’a *al-iğtimā*^c*īya* (*hiya-l-ḥāla al-ḥāṣila min iğtimā*^c *qaum lahum ṣawālīḥ yaṣṭarikūn fihā* “the social organization is a state resulting from the assembly of people with common interests”) is already in harmony with the MSA lexicon.

Less ordinarily, the first generations of 19th century translators used to denote the “(human) society” by the term *ğam*^c*īya*, e.g. Ḥalīfa Maḥmūd in his translation of Robertson’s work *History of the Reign of Charles the Fifth* : *’Ithāf al-mulūk al-’alibbā’ bi-taqaddum al-ğam*^c*īyāt fī bilād ’urubbā*, with the title in rhymed prose: “The valuable contribution of intelligent kings to the social progress in European countries”, Būlāq 1842 (aṣ-Ṣayyāl 1951: 220).

It should be noted, however, that already in this early epoch, the term *ğam*^c*īya*, in tune with the recent usage, is also used in a narrower sense of “community, group of people, party”: Bc.: *société*, compagnie, réunion de personnes: *ğamā*^c*a*, *ğam*^c*īya*.

Mm: *al-ğam*^c*īya ’inda-l-muwalladīn ğamā*^c*a mina-n-nās muntaẓima ’aw ǧair muntaẓima yağtami*^c*ūn li-’ağl maqṣad mu*^c*ayyan* “*al-ğam*^c*īya*, with the postclassical authors, denotes an organized or unorganized community of people who unite for the sake of a certain goal”.

The archaic term *iğtimā*^c, used to denote “society, social organization”, may be found also with Ibn Ḥaldūn (d. 1406): *al-iğtimā*^c *al-’insānī ḍarūrī* “social organization of men is inevitable” (*Muq.*, 39).

The modern term *muğtama*^c, in the sense of “human society”, retaining also its original meaning of *nomen loci*, appears not before the 1930s (Stetkevych 1970: 25). Nevertheless, the term is not totally unknown to the medieval authors, as it may be corroborated by another passage of Ibn Ḥaldūn’s *Muqaddima* (365): *ṭumma-l-mu*^c*tadilūn al-muttaḥidūn li-l-ma*^c*wā qad yatakāṭarūn fī-l-basīṭ al-wāḥid bi-ḥaiṭu yatanākarūn wa-lā yata*^c*ārafūn fa-yaḥṣaun ṭurūq ba*^c*ḍihim ba*^c*ḍan fa-yaḥṭāğūn ’ilā ḥafẓ muğtama*^c*ihim bi-’idārat mā’ ’aw ’aswār taḥūṭuhum*.

(3) “the state” (MSA: *daula*, in some country names replaced by a more archaic *wilāya*, viz., *al-wilāyāt al-muttaḥida* “USA”) may be found in the Bc. lexi-

con (*état*, empire) in its recent MSA form, *daula*, nevertheless, still accompanied with a parallel term *mamlaka* (MSA “kingdom, monarchy”); another Bc. entry – *empire*, domination, monarchy – is translated by *salṭana* (MSA: “sultanate”).

In the first half of the 19th century, the concept of “the state” is rendered by the term *ḥukm*, plur. *ʾaḥkām*, in some Arabic translations. In the latter sense, the term is used by Anṭūn Rafāʾil Zāḥūr, the translator of Machiavelli’s *Il Principe* (1513) into Arabic: *ʾinna kull al-ʾaḥkām wa kull as-siyādāt tilka-llatī qad malakat wa tamlik sulṭatan ʿalā-n-nās kānat wa-lam tazal ʾimmā mašyahāt wa ʾimmā ʾamīriyāt* (al-ʾAmīr, the manuscript version of the translation, 1824-25) “All states, all dominions that have had and continue to have power over men were and still are either republics or principalities” (Machiavelli, *The Prince*, Oxford U.P. 1998: 7).

For the sake of comparison, Zāḥūr’s text is confronted with several recent Arabic translations and with the Italian original:

Muḥammad Luṭfi Ġumʿa, translator: *kānat al-ḥukūmāt allatī ḥakamat al-ʾumam fī-l-ʾazmān al-ġābira ʾiḥdā iṭnain ʾimmā ġumhūrīyāt ʿādila wa ʾimmā malakīyāt muʿtadila* (Machiavelli, *Kitāb al-ʾAmīr*, Cairo 1912: 54; aš-Šayyāl 1951: 216);

Hayrī Ḥammād, translator: *lā taḥruġ ġamīʿ al-ḥukūmāt wa-l-mamālik, allatī ḥakamat al-ġins al-bašarī fī-l-māḍī ʾaw allatī tatawallā ḥukmahu al-ʾān, ʿan ʾan takūn fī ʾaḥad šaklain, ʾimmā-š-šakl al-ġumhūrī ʾaw aš-šakl al-malakī* (Machiavelli, *al-ʾAmīr*, Baghdad 1988: 54-55);

Italian version: *Tutti gli stati, tutti i dominii che hanno avuto ed hanno imperio sopra gli uomini, sono stati e sono o repubbliche o principati* (aš-Šayyāl, 1951: 216; the editor is not indicated).

As it might be inferred from the above quotation, “republic”, in the early 19th century translations is denoted by the term *mašyaha*. The term *ḥukm*, in the sense of “the state”, may be found also with al-Bustānī: *wa-l-ʿamma tastaʿmil al-ḥukm bi-maʿnā-l-wilāya ʾaiḍan* “the common people uses the term *al-ḥukm* also in the sense of *al-wilāya*”.

The term *daula* is still more ambiguously defined with al-Bustānī: *wa tuṭlaq ad-daula ʿinda ʾarbāb as-siyāsa ʿalā-l-malik wa wuzarāʾihi* “with the politicians, the term *ad-daula* denotes “the king and his ministers”. The term *malik*, however, cannot be unambiguously identified with the modern concept of “king” or “monarch”: *wa-l-malik šāḥib al-mulk wa-man tawallā s-salṭana bi-l-istiʿlā ʿalā ʾumma ʾaw qabīla ʾaw bilād muṭlaqan ʾaw muqayyadatan* “*al-malik* is the possessor of supreme power (*mulk*) and who exercises an absolute or a restricted rule over a nation or a tribe or a country”;

(4) “republic” (MSA: *ġumhūrīya*) has been defined in the Bc. lexicon as *république*, *état gouverné par plusieurs* (“republic, a state ruled by several /representatives/”): *ġumhūr*, *mašyaha*; the relative adjective “republican” (Bc.: *républicain*, qui appartient à la république) is derived from a one-word basis: *ġumhūrī*, *mašyahī*, as against the substantive “republican”, covered by multiword terms: *yuhibb al-mašyaha*, *tābiʿ li-ḥukm al-ġumhūr* (“one who favours the republic, who relates to the republican rule”); it should be noted that the term *ḥukm al-*

ḡumhūr (“the rule exercised by the public, by the people”) already coincides, with Boethor, with the notion of “democracy”.

One of the rare occurrences of the recent term *al-ḡumhūrīya*, in the early 19th century sources, may be found in *aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī’s* report on the events provoked by the French revolution: *qad ṣaḥḥa bi-t-taḡriba ‘anna-l-ḡumhūrīya lā tunāsib bilād al-faransāwīya* (1834/1905: 208) “it was confirmed by the experience that the republic does not suit the French country.” Louca (1988: 251): “L’expérience à montré que la république ne convient pas au pays des Français”. (See also item (8) below);

(5) “democracy” (MSA: *dimuqrāṭīya*, transliter. *dīmūqrāṭīya*, *dimuqrāṭīya* and still other phonological and orthographical variants; unknown to the 19th century lexicographical and textual sources) cannot convincingly be separated from that of “republic”:

“democracy”: Bc.: *démocratie*, gouvernement populaire (“people’s government”): *qiyām al-ḡumhūr bi-l-ḥukm* (“government exercised by the people”); since the multiword terms cannot produce direct derivatives, they further multiply the number of multiword denominations:

“democrat”: Bc.: *démocrate*, attaché au gouvernement populaire (“related to the people’s government”): *tābi‘ li-ḥukm al-ḡumhūr*;

“democratic”: Bc.: *démocratique*: *yaḥuṣṣ ḥukm al-ḡumhūr* (“concerning people’s government”).

(6) Terminological coincidence of the exercise of political power with training, grooming, taking care of horses, characteristic of the Arab Middle Ages,⁸ continues, in a sense, also in the 19th century and can be attested in most lexicographical sources:

“politics, policy”: Bc.: *politique*, art de gouverner un état (“the art of governing a state”): *siyāsa*, *tadbīr al-mamālīk*, *ḥukm al-mamālīk* (“politics, management of the states, governing the states”);

“political”: Bc.: *politique*, qui concerne le gouvernement d’un état (“concerning the government of a state”): *siyāsī*.

The term *siyāsa* further occurs in the following Boethor’s entries:

pansage, action de panser un cheval (“grooming of horses”): *siyāsa*, *tatmīr ḥiṣān*;

pansement, soin qu’on prend d’un cheval (“grooming, taking care of horses”): *tatmīr*, *tatmīr al-ḥail*, *siyāsa*;

Mm, 440: *sāsa fulān ad-dawābb*, *yasūsuḥā siyāsatan*, *qāma ‘alaiḥā wa rāḍahā wa ‘addabahā*, *wa-s-sulṭān wa-l-wālī ar-ra‘īya*, *tawallā ‘amrahā wa ‘aḥsana n-nazar ‘ilaiḥā* “tending the beasts, taking care of them and training them, and the ruler in relation to the subjects, managing their affairs and taking care of them in the best possible way”;

⁸ The root *s-w-s, associated with the modern term for “politics, policy”, in medieval Arabic coinciding with the notion of “horse grooming; beast training or taming, etc.”, left some traces of this coincidence also in the MSA lexicon, viz. *sā’is* “stableman, groom”.

Mm, *ibid.*: *as-siyāsa al-madaniya, tadbīr al-maʿāš ʿalā-l-ʿumūm ʿalā sanan al-ʿadl wa-l-istiqāma* “*as-siyāsa* is civilization, in general, it is the management of the means of subsistence according to the principles of justice and equality.”

E.W. Lane (1863-74/1968): *sāsa d-dawābb siyāsatan* “he managed, or tended the beasts (*qāma ʿalaihā*) and trained them”; . . . *sāsa r-raʿīya* “he ruled, or governed the subjects; presided over their affairs as a commander, or governor, or the like” (vol. iv, 1465); etc.;

(7) “political economy” (MSA: *al-iqtisād as-siyāsī*), in the 19th century usage, mostly coincides with terms used for “politics”:

Bc.: *économie politique: tadbīr al-mamlaka* (lit.: “management of the state”);⁹

(8) Lexical means used to denote the notion of “revolution” (MSA: *ṭaura*) display a rather lengthy evolution, too. Owing to the bloody events in France and their aftermath, the set of tentative terms denoting “revolution” became quite current in the 19th century newspaper Arabic.

Rifāʿa aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī (1834/1905: 197) refers to the Great French Revolution as *fitna*: *wa-qad sabāqa l-firansāwīya ʾannahum qāmū sanata 1790 wa ḥakamū ʿalā malikihi wa zaʿgatihi bi-l-qatl, tumma šanaʿū ḡumhūrīya wa ʾaḥraḡū l-ʿaila s-sulṭānīya al-musammāh al-Burbōn min madīnat Bārīs wa ʾašharūhum miṭl al-ʾaʿdāʾ; wa-lā zālat al-fitna bāqiyat al-ʾaṭr ʾilā sanat 1810, tumma tasallāna Bonaparte al-musammā Napoleon wa talaqqaba bi-sulṭān salāṭīn* “The French revolted already in 1790 and sentenced their king and his wife to death, then they constituted the republic and expelled the royal family, the Bourbons, from Paris and proclaimed its members enemies. Repercussions of this revolution (*al-fitna*, MSA: revolt, riot) continued until 1810. Then Bonaparte, named Napoleon, came to power and adopted the title of emperor.”¹⁰

With his neologism *ḡumhūrīya*, aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī outran his contemporaries by several decades.¹¹

The verb “to rise, to revolt”, in the context of revolutionary events 1790, tends to be translated as *qāma*, which offered the 19th century lexicographers and journalists a number of significant derivatives:

“revolutionary” (MSA: *ṭaurī, ṭaurawī*): Bc.: *révolutionnaire, de la révolution: yaḥuṣṣ taḡyīr al-ḥukm, qaumnī* (“concerning the revolution” (lit.: “the change of government”));

“revolutionary” (MSA: *ṭaurī*, plur. *tuwwār; ṭaurawī*): Bc.: *révolutionnaire, qui est partisan d’une révolution: min ʾaṣḥāb taḡyīr al-ḥukm, min ʾaṣḥāb al-qauma* (“revolutionary, a supporter, advocate of revolution”);

⁹ The importance of this term for the social and political scene of that epoch is confirmed also by Cañes (1787): *gobierno* (“government”): *tadbīr; gobernador* (“ruler”): *mudabbir*.

¹⁰ Bc. “guillotine”: *guillotine*, machine pour trancher la tête – associated with the idea of the French revolution – is tentatively denoted by the term *miḥraṣa* (MSA: “(turning) lathe”) that cannot be attested in the 19th century sources.

¹¹ Bc.: *ḡumhūr, mašyaḥa*; Mm: *al-ḥukm al-ḡumhūrī; ḡumhūrī* also used in the sense of “vulgar”: *al-ʾallāz al-ḡumhūrīja* “vulgar expressions”; Kazimirski/Ġallāb (1875): *ḡumhūr*, etc.

“revolution”(MSA: *ṭaura*) : Bc.: *révolution*, changement dans les choses du monde (“the change in the world’s affairs”) is devoid of the *qāma*-derived verbal abstract *qauma*, viz. *inqilāb*, *taqallub*, *taḡyīr*; nevertheless, when taking into account the previous two entries, the term *qauma* has, no doubt, to be added to the set of the early tentative coinages, all the more so that it can further operate as a basis for the relative adjective *qaumī*;

It is worthwhile noting that the recent MSA term *ṭaura* is not unknown to Bocthor, it is used, however, with a quite different meaning:

Bc.: *explosion*, éclat (“explosion, flash”): *ṭalqa*, *ṭaura*;

Bc.: *détonation*, inflammation subite avec éclat (“detonation, unexpected inflammation accompanied with a flash”): *ṭaura*;

Mm, 87: the verb *ṭāra* with the verb *hāḡa*: *ṭāra ṭā’iruhu ‘ay hāḡa ḡaḡabuhu* (“he flew into a rage, he flared up”) and the substantive is rather unusually translated as “many, much, lot of”: *aṭ-ṭaura al-kaṭīr* “*aṭ-ṭaura* means *many, much*”: *ṭaurat māl wa riḡāl* “lot of money, many men”.

(9) Even the 19th century diplomacy is hesitatingly looking for its terminological rendering:

“diplomacy” (MSA: *diblōmāsīya*; translit. *diblūmāsīya*¹²): Bc.: *diplomatie*, science des rapports, des intérêts de puissance à puissance (“science of the relations, interests between powers”): *‘ilm istilāḡāt al-mamālik baina ba‘ḏihā*.

As in many other cases, a multiword term stimulates the occurrence of similar descriptive coinages:

“diplomatic” (MSA: *diblōmāsī*): Bc.: *diplomatique*: *yaḡuṣṣ istilāḡāt al-mamālik baina ba‘ḏihā* (“concerning mutual relations between states”);

“diplomatic corps” (MSA: *as-silk as-siyāsī*, *al-ha’i’a ad-diblōmāsīya*): Bc.: *le corps diplomatique, les ambassadeurs*: *wukalā al-mamālik* (“/accredited/ representatives of the states”).

(10) Aṭ-Ṭaḡṭāwī’s description of the French parliamentary system (1834/1905: 79) operates with terms too specifically reflecting the French political reality of the epoch to enter the Arabic lexicon or to stimulate the emergence of usable coinages: ... *‘aṣl al-qūwa fī tadbīr al-mamlaka li-malik Faransā ṭumma li-ḡamā‘at ‘ahl Chambre des pairs* ... *ya‘nī dīwān al-bēr*, *‘ay ‘ahl al-mašūra al-‘ulā, ṭumma li-dīwān rusul al-‘amālāt* “the essential power in governing the state belongs to the king of France, then to the members the House of Lords ... that is *dīwān al-bēr*; i.e., members of the first consultative /body/, then to the members of the House of Representatives (liter.: House of Provincial Representatives).”

The French translation by Anouar Louca (1988: 132): “Le pouvoir fondamental dans le gouvernement appartient au roi de France, en second lieu aux membres de

¹² A patently negative attitude of the 20th century innovators and language codifiers towards lexical borrowings is reflected in a series of unsuccessful one-word coinages having to replace these loanwords, viz., e.g., al-Karmalī’s neologism (Syrian Academy of Sciences) *‘ihāda* “diplomacy”, derived from *‘ahd* “pact, treaty”, modelled on the pattern *fī‘āla* generating terms with the general meaning of “profession” or “trade” (Hamzaoui 1965: 63).

la Chambre des pairs – ce qui signifie le divan des pairs, soit les gens de la première consultation –, ensuite au divan des députés.”

That is, in approximative correspondences:

dīwān al-bēr: le divan des pairs, Chambre des pairs (Louca), House of Lords;

dīwān rusul al'amālāt: le divan des députés (Louca), House of Representatives.

The institution of *parliament* in a more general terminological representation: “parliament” (MSA: *al-barlamān*, *mağlis (al-'umma /aš-ša'b) an-nuwwāb*, and a number of other regionally and notionally differentiated terms ¹³):

Bc.: *parlement*, assemblée des grands de l'état pour juger une affaire considérable (“assembly of the prominent representatives of a state gathered to pass judgement on an important affair”): *dīwān 'a'cān ad-daula*;

Bc.: *parlement*, assemblée de pairs et de députés en Angleterre (“assembly of lords and representatives in England”): *dīwān al-'umarā wa wukalā aš-ša'b* ¹⁴ (“assembly of lords and people’s representatives”, i.e. “House of Lords and House of Representatives”);

Mm, 301: *ad-dīwān*: *wa yuṭlaq ad-dīwān 'aiḍan 'alā mağlis al-wālī alladī yuğtama' fihi li-l-mufāwaḍa fī-l-'umūr as-siyāsīya* “By *dīwān* is designed also the council of the ruler where one gathers for consultation on political affairs”;

Mm, *ibid.*: *ad-dīwān*: *wa rubbamā 'uṭliqa d-dīwān 'alā kull mağlis yuğtama' fihi li-'iqāmat al-mašāliḥ 'aw li-n-nazar fihā* “By *dīwān* one frequently denotes any congregation where one gathers to put in order (people’s) affairs or to estimate them”.

(11) In the cultural and economic conditions of the 19th century Arab world *industry* is not yet clearly separated from crafts and craftsmanship, neither from an institutional nor a terminological point of view. The recent term for “industry” denoting “the commercial production and sale of goods and services” (Webster’s II: 1984 (MSA: *šinā'a*)), appears in several Bocthor’s entries, most frequently interpreted as “skill, art, creative method, craft, etc.”:

Bc.: *industrie*, adresse à savoir faire, travail (“skill, work”): *šinā'a, šaṭāra, ma'rifa, ḥirfa, kadd*;

Bc.: *métier*, profession (“craft, profession”): *ḥirfa, šan'a, šinā'a, kār, mihna*; proverb: un métier est un préservatif contre la misère (“a craft is a protection from poverty”): *šinā'a fī-l-yad 'amāna mina-l-faqr*;

¹³ A number of apparently fully established lexical borrowings tend, up to our days, to provoke discussions about their suitability for the Arabic lexicon and, what has an even more negative impact on the process of linguistic modernization, they incite the production of alternative, mostly unsuccessful coinages as those proposed by Maḥmūd Taimūr (d. 1973), leading Egyptian novelist and dramatist, and member of the Cairo Academy of Arabic Language: *ḥazīra* (lit.: ‘enclosure’, ‘sheepfold’), for *garāž*, (“garage”); *dār an-niyāba* (lit.: ‘house of representatives’) instead of *al-barlamān* (“parliament”), etc. (Taimūr 1956: 124-125).

¹⁴ see note 7.

Bc.: *art*, méthode pour faire un ouvrage selon certaines règles (“art, creative method, way of producing a piece of work according to certain rules”): *fann*, *šinā’a*;

Bc.: *art*, adresse (“art, creative method, skill”): *šinā’a*, *šan’a*, *ḥusn ma’rifā*;

Mm, 520: *aṣ-šinā’a* : *aṣ-šinā’a fī ḥiraf al-‘amma hiya-l-‘ilm al-ḥāṣil bi-muzāwalat al-‘amal ka-l-ḥiyā’a wa-l-ḥiyā’a wa naḥwihā mimmā yatawaqqaf ‘alā-l-muzāwala*

wa-l-mumārāsa wa ‘inda-l-ḥāṣṣa hiya-l-‘ilm al-muta‘alliq bi-kaifiyat al-‘amal wa yakūn minhu ḡālik al-‘amal sawā’an ḥaṣal bi-muzāwalat al-‘amal ka-l-ḥiyā’a wa naḥwihā ‘aw lā ka-‘ilm al-fiqh wa-l-mantiq wa-n-naḥw . . . “*aṣ-šinā’a*, among people’s crafts, denotes a certain knowledge/skill/practice (*‘ilm*) acquired by pursuing a work, such as tailoring or weaving, or anything else depending upon carrying on a work and practical application; with educated persons, it denotes a science/knowledge (*‘ilm*) concerning the method of performing a work (*kaifiyat al-‘amal*), and peculiar to it, irrespective of whether it is acquired by pursuing the work, such as tailoring or the like, or not, such as jurisprudence, logic or grammar . . .”

Ibn Haldūn (1332-1400) (*Muq.*, 524): *aṣ-šinā’a*: “branch of knowledge, scholarly discipline, science”: *al-‘ināya bi-lisān Muḍar . . . ṣāra ‘ilman ḡā fuṣūl wa ‘abwāb wa muqaddimāt wa masā’il, sammāhu ‘ahluhu bi-‘ilm an-naḥw wa ṣinā’at al-‘arabiya wa ‘aṣbaḥa fannan maḥfūẓan wa ‘ilman maktūban sullaman ‘ilā fahm kitāb allāh wa sunnat rasūlihi wāfiyan* “the concern for the Muḍar language . . . has become science with particular paragraphs, chapters, premises and problems. The concerned scholars referred to it as the grammar (*‘ilm an-naḥw*) and science/craft of Arabic (*ṣinā’at al-‘arabiya*). It has become a memorized branch of knowledge and a written scholarly discipline, it has become a reliable instrument (lit.: ladder) for understanding the Book of God and the Sunna of His Messenger.”

Muq., 527: *faṣl fī ‘anna malakat ḥāḡā-l-lisān ḡair ṣinā’at al-‘arabiya wa mustaḡniya ‘anhā fī-t-ta’līm . . . fa-huwa ‘ilm bi-kaifiya lā nafs al-kaifiya fa-laisat nafs al-malaka* “chapter on the habit of speaking this language (*malakat ḥāḡā-l-lisān*) as something different from Arabic philology (lit.: ‘craft’ of Arabic: *ṣinā’at al-‘arabiya*) which can dispense with it in teaching . . . it is a science of quality (*kaifiya*) and not a quality itself and not a habit (of speaking this language).”

This distinction is the basis of the Haldūnian dichotomy between:

metalanguage: the science/craft of Arabic, Arabic philology (*ṣinā’at al-‘arabiya*), and

language: habit, ability of speaking this language (*malaka*).

Exceptionally, in some special contexts, the 19th century term *šinā’a* seems to stand close to the modern concept of ‘industry’, as defined above. Aṭ-Ṭaḡāwī’s description of the royal Botanical Garden in Paris may serve as an example:

wa ‘anfa’ al-‘aṣyā bi-n-nisba li-ṭ-ṭabī‘iyāt bi-madīnat Bāris al-bustān as-sulṭānī al-musammāh bi-bustān an-nabātāt wa fīhi sā’ir mā ta’rifuhu l-baṣār mina-l-‘umūr al-ḡarīḡa mina-l-‘arḡ al-ḡariba yuzra’ bi-‘arḡihi sā’ir an-nabātāt al-‘ahliya allatī yu’ālīḡun taṭabbu’ahā ‘indahum bi-qūwat aṣ-šinā’a wa-l-ḡikma: “From the point of view of the natural sciences, the royal Botanical Garden (Jardin des Plantes) in Paris is the most useful institution. It shelters all known things (plants?) originat-

ing in exotic countries. On its soil are cultivated all (sorts of) indigenous plants that they make to adapt themselves to the local conditions owing to the industry (*aṣ-ṣinā'a*) and inventiveness (*al-ḥikma*)."

The French translation by Anouar Louca (1988: 190):

"L'établissement le plus utile aux science naturelles est le Jardin royal dit le Jardin des Plantes. Il renferme tout le savoir des homme sur les plantes provenant de terre exotique. Dans son sol, poussent toutes les cultures qu'ils acclimatent localement par l'effet de l'industrie et de la médecine."

(12) "Typography", in the sense of "book printing" is gradually getting free from medieval connotations of "(sword) forging; (pottery) modelling, etc.":

"printing" (MSA: *ṭibā'a*): Bc.: *imprimerie*, art d'imprimer ("typography, art of printing"): *ṭibā'a*, *ṣinā'at aṭ-ṭab'*;

"printing house" (MSA: *maṭba'a*, *maṭba'a*): Bc.: *imprimerie*, lieu où l'on imprime ("the place where one prints"): *maṭba'a*, *dār aṭ-ṭibā'a*;

"press, printing press" (MSA: *miṭba'a*): Bc.: *presse*, machine pour imprimer: *miṭba'a*;

"printer" (MSA: *ṭabbā'*): Bc.: *imprimeur*: *ṭabbā'*, *maṭba'gī*;

Mm., 544: *ṭaba'a* "to seal, stamp": *ṭaba'a* > *ḥatama*; *ṭaba'a* "to print" *ṭaba'a l-kutub wa-l-'aḳmīša wa naḥwahā li-rasm kalimātihā wa ṣuwarihā bi-l-'āla al-ma'rūfa bi-l-miṭba'a* "to print (books, fabrics) or the like, to reproduce their words or pictures by means of a device known as printing machine (printing press)"; *ṭaba'a* "to model (an earthenware jar)": *ṭaba'a l-ḡarra mina-ṭ-ṭīn 'amalāhā* "to model a jar from the clay, to fabricate it"; *ṭaba'a* "to forge, to hammer (a sword)": *yaṭba' as-saif 'idā kaṭura ṣ-ṣada' 'alaihi* "to hammer a sword when the rust accumulates on it";

Mm., ibid.: *aṭ-ṭabbā'*: *aṭ-ṭabbā' fa'cāl li-l-mubālaḡa wa ṣāni' as-suyūf*: "*aṭ-ṭabbā'* is an intensive stem (of the type) *fa'cāl* and it denotes a sword-maker."

Kazimirski (1875) adopts almost literally Bustānī's entries:

ṭaba'a: *imprimer* (un livre) ("to print /a book/"); *forger, fabriquer* (un sabre) ("to forge, to make /a sword/"); *former, façonner* (un vase de terre) ("to model /an earthenware jar/");¹⁵

(13) Journalism, one of the most efficient stimuli of the 19th century linguistic modernization of Arabic, brings into focus another set of key notions and related neologisms:

"newspaper" (MSA: *ḡarīda*, pl. *ḡarā'id*, *ṣaḥīfa*, pl. *ṣaḥā'if*, *ṣuḥuf*): Bc.: *journal*, note de ce qui arrive chaque jour, écrit périodique jour par jour ("record of daily events, periodical paper published every day"): *'aḥbār yaumīya*, *'awraq yaumīya* (lit.: 'daily news, daily papers');

¹⁵ These terms have an archaic ring also in Freytag's lexicon (1830-1837):

ṭabbā': *gladiatorum fabricator* "sword producer";
ṭibā'a: *ars enses fabricandi* "trade, craftsmanship of sword production", *dār aṭ-ṭibā'a*: *domus, in qua libri typis imprimuntur*, "printing house";
maṭba' "id."

Bc.: *gazette: waraqa ḥabarīya* (lit. ‘news paper’);

“journalist, newspaperman” (MSA: *ṣuḥufī, ṣaḥafī*): Bc.: *journaliste*, qui fait un journal (“newspaperman, newspapermaker”): *kātib ‘aḥbār yaumīya* (lit.: ‘one who records daily news’);¹⁶

“daily news”: aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī (1834/1905: 109-110): *at-taḍākir al-yaumīya* (cf. item (15) in what follows);

al-Bustānī (1869-1870/1977) does not offer, as yet, acceptable definitions:

Mm, 100: *ḡarīda, ṣaḥīfa*: *al-ḡarīda sa‘afa ṭawīla raṭība ‘aw yābisa tuqšar min ḥūṣihā... wa-ṣ-ṣaḥīfa yuktab ‘alaihā wa ḥiya-ṣtilāḥ ‘ummāl al-ḥarāḡ daftar tuktab fihi maqādīr al-‘arāḍī al-mamsūḥa... “al-ḡarīda is a long palm-leaf stalk, fresh or dry, devoid of its leaves... also a leaf one writes on; in the terminology of the land-tax officials: register to contain the cadastral survey of an estate”;*

Mm, 500: *ṣaḥīfa*: *aṣ-ṣaḥīfa qirṭās maktūb “aṣ-ṣaḥīfa is a sheet of paper written on”;*

Kazimirski (1875): *ḡarīda*: branche de palmier vert dépouillée de feuilles... rouleau sur lequel on écrit (“a fresh palm-leaf stalk stripped from its leaves... a roll (of paper) one writes on”); *ṣaḥīfa*: page ou feuillet (d’un livre) (“page or leaf /of a book/”).

Even aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī’s text (1834/1905: 168-9) points to the terminological problems:

“newspaper”: aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī: *taḍākir yaumīya; ḡurnāl*, plur. *ḡurnālāt, ḡurnō*: *wa mina-l-‘ašyā’ allatī yastafīd minhā l-‘insān al-fawā’id aṣ-ṣārīda at-taḍākir al-yaumīya al-musammāh al-ḡurnālāt ḡam‘ ḡurnāl wa huwa yuḡma‘ fī-l-luḡa al-faransīya ‘alā ḡurnō wa ḥiya waraqāt tuṭba‘ kull yaum wa taḍkur kull mā waṣal ‘ilaihi fī ḡalik al-yaum wa yantašir fī madīna wa tubā‘ li-sā’ir an-nās “the daily papers (at-taḍākir al-yaumīya), known as ḡurnālāt (‘journals’) which is the plural of ḡurnāl, the French plural being ḡurnō, are of those things from which one derives constant benefit; they are daily published papers reporting everything which happened on that day; they are distributed over the whole city and are sold to everybody”;*

“journalists” (MSA: *ṣuḥufīyūn, ṣaḥafīyūn*; aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī: *kuttāb al-ḡurnō* (lit.: ‘those writing to the newspapers’): *fā-kuttāb al-ḡurnō ‘aswa’ ḥālan ‘ani-š-šu‘arā’ ‘inda taḥāmulihiḥim ‘aw maḥabbatihiḥim “the journalists in their partiality and (exaggerated manifestations of) sympathy are even worse than the poets”;*

¹⁶ The term *ṣaḥīfa*, in its recent meaning, was made familiar in Arabic by Rašīd ad-Daḥḍaḥ (d. 1894) and Naḡīb al-Ḥaddād coined from this basis *ṣiḥāfa* “journalism”, and the respective *nomen agentis* was derived from both these bases:

ṣiḥāfa > *ṣiḥāfi* “newspaperman, journalist”,

ṣaḥīfa > *ṣaḥafī* “idem”, inclusive of the plural-based derivative:

ṣuḥuf (plur. of *ṣaḥīfa*) > *ṣuḥufī* “idem” (Adīb Murūwa 1961: 13-14; < Stetkevych 1970: 19).

(14) Paradoxically, the modern term for “culture” (MSA: *ṭaqāfa*), in the era of cultural awakening through most of the 19th century, is no more than one of the verbal abstracts of the verb *ṭaqafa*:

Mm, 82: *ṭaqufa yatqufu ṭaqfan wa ṭaqafan wa ṭaqāfatan šāra ḥādīqan ḥafīfan faṭīnan* “*ṭaqufa* (u), verbal abstracts: *ṭaqf, ṭaqaf, ṭaqāfa*: ‘to (be or) become clever, smart, intelligent’”;

Substantially the same presentation is adopted by Kazimirski (1875): *ṭaqāfa*, as a verbal abstract of *ṭaqafa* “être très-intelligent, ingénieux” (“to be very intelligent, ingenious”);

Bc.: *culture*, culture d’esprit (“spiritual culture”): *ʿadab*.

(15) In dealing with the cultural life in Paris, under the heading of ‘entertainment facilities’ (*muntazahāt madīnat Bārīs*), aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī avows that he knows of no suitable Arabic word for the ‘theatre’: *walā ʿaʿrif isman ʿarabīyan yalīq maʿnā s-sbektākl ʿaw at-tiyātr ġair ʿanna maʿnā sbektākl maʿnāhu manẓar ʿaw muntazah ʿaw naḥwa ḍālik wa lafẓ tiyātr maʿnāhu al-ʿaṣli kaḍālik tumma summiya bihā l-laʿb wa maḥalluhu* (1834/1905: 111) “I do not know any Arabic word suitable to render the meaning of ‘spectacle’ or ‘théâtre’; the word ‘spectacle’ has a meaning of ‘scene, place of entertainment’, or the like, and the word ‘théâtre’ had originally the similar meaning, then it came to denote also ‘the play’ and ‘the place of its performance’”; Louca (1988: 156-7): “Je ne connais pas de nom arabe qui rende le sens de ‘spectacle’ ou ‘théâtre’, mais le mot ‘spectacle’ veut dire scène ou divertissement, ou quelque chose de ce genre, et le mot ‘théâtre’, qui avait à l’origine un sens analogue, a désigné par la suite le jeu et l’endroit où il a lieu.”

“theatre” (MSA: *masraḥ*): Bc.: *théâtre*, lieu où l’on représente les pièces dramatiques (“place of dramatic performances”): *malʿab*, plur. *malāʿib*; ¹⁷

“theatrical” (MSA: *masraḥī*): Bc.: *théâtral*, qui appartient au théâtre, ne convient qu’à lui: *yaṣluḥ li-laʿb al-kūmidīya; malʿabī* “pertaining to dramatic (lit. comedic) performance; theatrical”.

It sounds somewhat strange that in the era of rapidly progressing cultural awakening in the second half of the 19th century, inclusive of the promising start of theatrical activities (Salīm an-Naqqāš, ʿUṣmān Ḡalāl), al-Bustānī (1869-1870/1977) defines the term *malʿab*, apparently most closely associated with the concept of ‘theatre’, as a further unspecified ‘place of play, game’ which has later established itself in the MSA lexicon with the predominant meaning of ‘playground, stadium’; the medieval interpretation of *masraḥ* in terms of ‘grassland, pasture’ is still in force:

Mm, 817: *al-malʿab: al-malʿab mauḍiʿ al-laʿb*: “*al-malʿab* is a place of play (game)”;

Mm, 405: *al-masraḥ: al-masraḥ al-marʿā*: “*al-masraḥ* means ‘grassland, pasture’”;

¹⁷ The latter application of this term seems to be attested already by Alcalá (1505/Corriente 1988: 247): *malʿab*: teatro do hazian juegos (“theatre/playground (?) where plays/games (?) are presented”).

Kazimirski (1875): *masrah*: pré, pâturage où on laisse aller librement le troupeau ("grassland where one can leave a herd of animals to graze"); the term *mal'ab*, however, already includes 'theatre': lieu où l'on se livre à un jeu; théâtre "place of game; theatre".¹⁸

"(stage) play, drama" (MSA: *masrahīya*): Bc.: *comédie*, pièce de théâtre: *la'ab, taqlīd, kūmidīya - nau' min al-la'ab 'inda-l-'ifrang wa fihi tuḡkar ar-raḡāyil wa-l-qabāyih min 'ahlāq an-nās wa ḡāyatuhu 'annahum yartaddūn 'anhā* "kūmidīya – with the Europeans, is a sort of (stage) play presenting human vices and depravities with the aim of making men to abandon them";

"(stage) play, drama": aṭ-Ṭaḡṭāwī (1834/1905: 109-110): *la'ba: wa-l-la'ba allatī tuzhar tuktab fī warāqa wa tuḡṣaq fī ḡiḡān al-madīna wa tuktab fī-t-taḡākir al-yaumīya* "The play that is just presented is quoted on a poster attached to the city walls and is (also) published in daily papers"; Louca (1988: 155): "Le titre du jeu qu'on présente est écrit sur un papier affiché aux murs de la ville, et il est publié dans les feuilles quotidiennes."

"drama": Bc.: *drame*, poème pour le théâtre ("poem for the stage performance").

(16) In the era of a general cultural revival also physical training, body culture and sport gradually come into prominence. It is interesting to note that the beginnings of activities which could be, in a sense, associated with these terms, appear under quite a modern heading in a rather traditionally conceived Spanish-Latin-Arabic dictionary by Francisco Cañes (1787):

"sport", in Webster's II (1984) definition: 'an active pastime: recreation'; Cañes: *deporte*: diversion, holgadura, pasatiempo; *relaxatio animi, recreatio* ("diversion, recreation, pastime"): *al-inšīrāḡ, at-tanazzuh*.

The generally accepted MSA term for 'sport', *ar-riyāḡa*, maintains, all over the 19th and partially even in 20th centuries, a number of connotations inherited from the Arab Middle Ages: adoption of austere habits and practices, observance of prescribed religious duties, cultivating and refining one's moral qualities, etc.

In aṭ-Ṭaḡṭāwī's description of the hygienic conditions in Paris, in the beginning of the 19th century, the term *riyāḡāt* (plur. of *riyāḡa*) is directly related to care for health: *wa min 'umūr ar-riyāḡāt an-nāfi'a li-ṣiḡḡat al-badan madāris yata'allam fihā 'ilm as-sibāḡa wa ḡiya ṭalāt makātib 'alā nahr as-sēn wa minhā madāris li-taḡfīf al-badan wa ḡa'lihi qābilan li-l-'aṣyā' al-'aḡība ka-l-bahlawānīya wa-l-muṣāra'a wa naḡwa ḡālik* (1834/1905: 117) "For the physical training, beneficial to the body's health, there are schools where swimming is taught. There are three such schools on the river Seine, in still others where one is taught how to make one's body flexible and springy, to make it capable of amazing performances,

¹⁸ The term *masrah*, in Arabic sources, bears witness of a rather involved evolution: Mārūn an-Naqqāš, one of the pioneers of the Arabic theatre, refers to the "theatre" as *marṣaḡ*, considered by Ya'qūb Ṣarrūf and Šakīb Arslān to be merely a distortion of the word *marṣaḡ* "valley, depression, sort of natural amphitheater", the frequent place of popular performances (wrestling, various races, etc.); the modern form is believed to be due to the process of desonorization: *z>s* and metathesis: *rs>sr* (al-Maḡribī 1947: 81, 124 < Stetkevych 1970: 47). The assumption of a direct semantic extension: *masrah* "meadow, pasture" > "theatre" is equally hypothetical.

such as acrobatism, wrestling, etc.,” Louca (1988: 163): “Pour les exercices physiques utiles à la santé du corps, il y a des écoles où l’on enseigne la science de la natation. Il en existe trois sur la Seine. Dans d’autres, on apprend à alléger le corps, à l’assouplir et le rendre apte à d’extraordinaires performances: l’acrobatie, la lutte, etc.”

Mm, 360: *ar-riyāḍa* : *ar-riyāḍa ‘inda-l-’aṭibbā’ al-ḥaraka allatī yuḥaṣṣ minhā bi-t-ta‘ab; ya’murūn bihā li-ḥafẓ aṣ-ṣiḥḥa li-’annahā tuqawwī l-ḥarāra al-ḡarīziya fa-tuqawwī bi-ḡālika l-quwā ‘alā daf‘ al-fuḍūl minā-l-badan wa tuqawwī l-ma‘ida ‘alā-stitmām haḍm mā baqiya fiḥā minā-t-ta‘ām* : “physical training/sport : for the physicians, it is movement followed by the feeling of fatigue; they prescribe it for maintaining the health, as it strengthens the innate temperature that stimulates the ability to expel the body’s waste matter and it incites the stomach to complete the digestion of the food that has still remained in it”;¹⁹

The recent MSA term *riyāḍa*, in Boethor’s lexicon, is rendered by “exercising, exercise”:

Bc.: *exercice*, action par laquelle on s’exerce (“act, action of exercising”): *riyāḍa*, ‘*idmān*’;²⁰

Kazimirski (1875): *riyāḍa* : exercice continuel, vie ascétique, pratique de dévotion (“regular exercising, ascetic way of life, observance of acts of devotion”).

(17) In the 19th century Arabic, not even the health services are getting rid of medieval connotations. The institution of the hospital, in its recent sense, does not exist as yet, since it includes, both organizationally and terminologically, also various institutions for the care of the poor and the mentally ill:

“hospital” (MSA: *al-mustaṣfā*): Bc.: *hôpital*, maison pour recevoir les malades, les pauvres, les fous (“institution for the reception of the ill, the poor, the mentally handicapped”): *bait al-marḍā*, *bīmāristān* ; colloquially: *māristān*;²¹

Bc.: *infirmerie*, lieu destiné aux malades (“dispensary, infirmary, place designed for the ill”): *maḥall al-marḍā* ;

¹⁹ Alcalá (1505/1988) is using the term *riyāḍa* relatively close to its modern meaning: domadura “training, taming, drill”. Corriente (1997: 223) translates this term (*riyāḍa*: ejercicio), on the basis of a semi-anonymous Arabic-Spanish lexicon *Vocabulista in arábico*, by its modern equivalent “sport”. The manuscript of this lexicon is deposited in Biblioteca Ricardiana de Florencia; and Raimon Martí is believed to be its author. It was published in book form by C. Schiaparelli (Florencia 1871) and it received its modern lexicographical shape in Corriente’s critical edition (1989).

²⁰ MSA: ‘*idmān*’ (“addiction; excess”: ‘*idmān al-muḥaddirāt* “drug addiction”, etc.)

²¹ Cf., Cañes (1787): *hospital*, la casa donde se reciben los pobres enfermos, y se curan de los enfermedades que padecen (‘house where the poor patients are received and where their illnesses are treated’): *takīya*, *bait al-marḍā* ;

hospital, la casa que solo serve para recoger de noche á cubierto á los pobres (‘hospice offering the poor shelter and night accommodation’): *manzil al-fuqarā*; the word *takīya* has a similar meaning: besides “hospice for members of various derwish confraternities” it denotes “a home for the handicapped and needy”.

Mm, 846: *al-māristān . . . dār al-marḍā* “*al-māristān . . . is the home for the ill*”; Kazimirski (1875): *maristān, māristān, bīmāristān* : hospice des fous (“hospice for the mentally ill”).

Substantially the same picture may be derived from aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī’s reference to the health care institutions in Paris: *wa mina-l-mawāḍi‘ al-mu‘adda li-l-marḍā wa-llatī yūḡad fihā-l-‘aṭibbā’ al-māristānāt al-‘amma fa-tadhluhā l-marḍā li-l-‘ilāḡ wa li-l-‘iqāma muddat al-maraḍ bi-lā ‘iwaḍ* “The public hospitals (*al-māristānāt al-‘amma*) are places, designed for the ill, where there are physicians, and the patients visit them for an unpaid medical care and accommodation during their illness”; Louca (1988: 118): “Des locaux établis à l’intention des malades et où se tiennent des médecins, constituent les hôpitaux publics où les patients entrent et séjournent aussi longtemps que durent leurs soins, sans rien payer en contrepartie.”

With regard to the great cultural and technological discrepancy, many terms do not lend themselves to a purposeful and serviceable comparison:

(18) the “post”, in the sense of an institution responsible for the delivery of mail, includes, in the cultural context of the 19th century, as an inseparable part of its services, also the transport of persons, and the whole process of delivery of mail tends to be reduced to the person of ‘postman’ (‘letter carrier’, ‘carrier’): *sā‘ī*. The modern term for the post, in a number of European languages, is derived from exactly the latter basis (the French *courrier*, the Spanish *correo*, etc.):

“post, mail” (MSA: *barīd*²²): Bc.: *poste*, courrier qui porte les lettres (“letter carrier”): *sā‘ī*,²³

Bc.: *poste*, établissement de chevaux placé de distance en distance pour le service des personnes qui veulent voyager vite (“a system of horse relays located at certain distances from each other for the service of persons who need a quick journey”): *manzūl, barīd*.

²² MSA: *barīd* is drawn from the vulgar Latin *vērēdus* “horse used in postal services” (V.M. Belkin 1975: 103); a more complete etymology is proposed by Corriente (1997: 44): *(BRD)ii: VA *barīd* + *burūd* “postman”, probably from the Syriac *brydā* < Lat. *vērēdus* / Gr. *bēredos*, where VA = *Vocabulista in arábico* (see 2.1/18; also note 23 in what follows).

The term *barīd* has been implanted to MSA by Ibrāhīm al-Yāziḡī (d. 1906), member of the well-known family of Lebanese Christians. His journal *aḍ-Ḍiyā’* (‘Light’) became an important medium for open discussion, frequently publishing sharp polemics about very various problems of the language policy, critical reviews concerning the suitability of the newly coined neologisms, etc. A part of these essays had been published in the book *Luḡat al-ḡarā’id* (“The Newspaper Arabic”, Cairo 1901). Al-Yāziḡī is the author of a number of successful neologisms, like *barq* “telegraph” (Chejne 1969: 135), *darrāḡa* “bicycle”, *maḡalla* “journal” etc.

²³ Cf. Cañes (1787): *correo*, lat. tabellarius, cursor: *sā‘ī*; por el primer correo te escribiré (‘I will write you by the first mail’): ‘*aktubu laka ma‘a-s-sā‘ī l-‘awwal*. It is worthwhile noting that in early Hispano-Arabic sources the root *b-r-d is already used to denote the general concept of “postal service; post, mail”, that established itself also in MSA, while the root *s-‘-y does not occur in the latter sense at all.

The recent MSA term *barīd* appears, as well, in Bocthor's entries *courrier* ("letter carrier") and the term *sāʿī* also in the entry *exprès* ("express messenger, postman charged with express deliveries"):

Bc.: *courrier*, qui porte en hâte les dépêches (see above): *tatarī*, *tatar*, *barīdī*, *barīd*; Bc.: *exprès*, messenger ("express messenger"): *mīrsāl*, *qāṣīd*, *sāʿī*;

Mm, 34: *al-barīd*: *al-barīd al-murattab wa-r-rasūl wa 'arba'a farāsiḥ 'aw 'iṭnā 'aṣar mīlan 'aw mā baina-l-manzilain wa ḥail al-barīd wa-r-rusul 'alā dawābb al-barīd wa-l-furāniq 'ay alladī yadull al-barīd 'alā-ṭ-ṭariq . . .* "al-barīd is a salaried postman (letter carrier) or a messenger, four parasangs or fourteen miles, or a distance between two horse relays, postal horses and messengers (travelling) on postal animals, and the guide pointing out the way to the postman . . ."

In al-Bustānī's definition, *as-sāʿī* represents the messenger offering a much wider range of services:

Mm, 412: *as-sāʿī*: *as-sāʿī 'inda-l-muwalladīn ar-rasūl alladī yursal min makān ilā 'āḥar fī ḥāḡa* "as-sāʿī, in the usage of the modernists, denotes a messenger who can be sent, as required, from one place to another";

Kazimirski (1875): *barīd*: *exprès*, messenger, *courrier*, *poste*; "*barīd*: express messenger, messenger, letter carrier, courier, postman"; *ḥail al-barīd*: chevaux de poste; "postal horses"; *ṣāḥib al-barīd*: maître de poste, qui tient le service des courriers; "postmaster in charge with the operations of the courriers".

The impact of a similar technological shift may be observed on a number of concepts and related terms which underwent an abrupt evolution, such as:

(19) "telegraph" (MSA: *barq*, *tilīgrāf*, *talīgrāf*): Bc.: *télégraph*, machine pour transmettre les nouvelles par des signes; construction en forme de tour sur laquelle est placée cette machine ("machine for the transmission of news by means of signals; a tower-like construction housing this machine"): *'ālat al-'iṣāra*, *burg al-'iṣāra*, *bait al-'aḥbār* (lit.: 'signalization machine/tower, news house').

It should be stressed, once again, that the authoritative 19th century lexicons, both monolingual, like al-Bustānī's Mm, or lexicons alphabetically arranged according to the Arabic entries (Kazimirski; when ignoring classically oriented monumental lexicons by G. Freytag and E.W. Lane), do not record neologisms of the latter type, irrespective of their top-rate cultural relevance.

(20) The "electricity", one of the decisive moving forces of the industrial and, more generally, cultural and social progress all over the last two centuries, made its way into the 19th century Arabic lexicon under the impact of incidental visible manifestations of static electricity, that is, the ability of attracting light objects:

"electricity, electric power" (MSA: *kahrabā'*, *kahrubā'*; *kahrabā'īya*, *kahrubā'īya*; *kahraba*, *kahrabiya*): Bc.: *électricité*, propriété d'attraction des corps frottés ("property of attraction in (material) bodies when subject to friction"): *ḡāḍibīya taḡhar fī-l-'aḡsām 'inda da'kihā* (lit.: as above);

"electric, electrical" (MSA: *kahrabā'ī*, etc.): Bc.: *électrique*: *yaḡḍīb* "that attracts, attracting");

"to electrize, to electrify" (MSA: *kahraba*): Bc.: *électriser*, développer, communiquer la faculté électrique ("to develop, transmit the electric property, quality"): *'aḡḍāṭa fī-ṣ-ṣay' al-ḡāḍibīya* (lit.: "to generate attractivity in an object").

Al-Bustānī, although certainly influenced by the same conception of electricity, already uses the modern term *kahrabā'*, from the Ṭaḥṭāwī's workshop (V. Monteil 1960: 32-33), leaving *ḡāḍibīya*, that has been definitively accepted by the MSA lexicon as a physical term for 'gravitation', to denote 'static electricity':²⁴

Mm, 790: *kahraba š-šay'*: *ḡa'ala fihi qūwat al-kahrabā'īya fa-huwa mukahrib wa-š-šay' mukahrab wa huwa min istilāḥ al-muḥdaṭīn*: "*kahraba š-šay'* means to electrize, to generate/induce in an object electric power, (its supplier) is *mukahrib*, while its target-object is *mukahrab*, and these terms are due to innovators";

Mm, 97: *al-ḡāḍibīya 'inda 'ulamā' aṭ-ṭabī'a qūwa fi-l-'aḡsām taḡ'aluhā qābilatan li-l-ḡaḍb 'aw al-inḡiḍāb kamā baina-l-kahrabā' wa-t-tibn* "*al-ḡāḍibīya*, in the usage of natural scientists, denotes the force that enables material bodies to attract (others) or to be attracted (by others), as happens between amber and straw".

Descriptive (multiword) terms, used to denote new, culturally conditioned concepts, that prevail in the 19th century Arabic lexicon, bear witness to their peripheral position or even total absence in the linguistic awareness of the bulk of language users of those days:

(21) "museum" (MSA: *maṭḥaf*, a *nomen loci* derived from the key-word *tuḥfa*, plur. *tuḥaf* "gift, present; curiosity, work of art"): Bc.: *musée*, lieu destiné à rassembler des monuments relatifs aux arts, aux sciences et aux lettres ("place designed for the collection of cultural monuments related to arts, sciences and letters"): *ḥaznat al-funūn*, *ḥizāna*, *bait at-tuḥaf* (lit.: "treasury of arts, treasury, house of curiosities/rarities");

aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī recalls his acquaintance with the Paris museums in the following terms that are his own coinages:

fa-fi Bārīs kaṭīr mina-l-ḥazā' in allatī yuqāl lahā ḥazā' in al-mustaḡrabāt fa-yūḡad bihā mā tatašawwaq 'ilayhi nufūs al-fuḍalā': "In Paris, there are many magazines (*ḥazā'in*), known as museums (*ḥazā' in al-mustaḡrabāt*), that contain everything to attract the attention of the men of culture"; Louca (1988: 190): "A Paris, il y a beaucoup de magasins qu'on appelle musées. Ils mettent à la portée des honnêtes gens les objets de leur curiosité."

It is interesting to note that not a single term of these, nor any other word coined to denote 'museum' appears in the 19th century lexicons (not even in Wahrmund's *neuarabisch*-said lexicon from the end of the century (1898)):

Mm, 230: *al-ḥizāna*: *al-ḥizāna bi-ma'nā l-maḥda' wa-l-ḥibā' mina-l-ḥaṣab tūda' fihi al-'amtī'a*: "*al-ḥizāna* denotes small room or wooden construction to store in it commodities";

Mm, 230-231: *al-ḥazna*: *mā yuḥzan mina-d-darāhim*: "*al-ḥazna* denotes deposited money";

Mm, 68: *at-tuḥfa*, *at-tuḥafa*: *al-birr wa-l-luṭf wa-l-hadiya*: "*at-tuḥfa*, *at-tuḥafa* denote piety, kindness and present".

²⁴ Manifestations of static electricity operate as a motivation factor even here, the same way as with the term "electricity" in most Indo-European languages: Gr. *ēlektron* > Lat. *ēlectrum* "amber".

In Kazimirski's presentation (1875), these terms stand closer to the concept examined:

hizāna : trésor, garde-meuble où l'on conserve les bijoux ("treasury, store-room for safekeeping jewels");

taḥfa, tuḥfa : . . . tout objet beau et précieux propre à être offert en présent ("any beautiful and valuable object that can be offered as a present");²⁵

As in the case of *maṭḥaf*, many other terms, later modelled on the MSA pattern of *nomina loci*, found their 19th century rendering in multiword constructions:

(22) "restaurant" (MSA: *maṭ'am*): Bc.: *restaurant*, établissement du restaurateur ("establishment of the restaurant's owner"): *dukkān ṭabbāḥ* (lit.: 'cook's shop');

"restaurant's owner/keeper": Bc.: *restaurateur*, sorte de traiteur ("a sort of /commercial/ provider of meals"): *bayyā' ta'am, ṭabbāḥ* (lit.: 'food seller', 'cook');

Al-Bustānī's terms, formally coinciding with recent MSA units, cannot be identified with them in full: *al-maṭ'am* : *mauḍi' aṭ-ṭa'm wa-mā yu'kal ka-l-mašrab li-mā yušrab* "al-maṭ'am is the place of eating as well as that what is eaten like al-mašrab in relation to what is being drunk".

REFERENCES

- ALCALÁ, Pedro de. 1505. *Vocabulista arauigo en letra castellana*. Granada > Corriente 1988.
ALLĀM, Maḥdī & Anwar LŪQĀ (Eds). 1958. *Taḥlīṣ al-'ibriz fī talḥīṣ Bārīs*. Cairo.
ATIYA, A.S. 1938. *The Crusade in the Later Middle Ages*, London.
ATIYA, A.S. 1968. *A History of Eastern Christianity*. London, Methuen & Co Ltd.
BEESTON, A.F.L. 1970. *The Arabic Language Today*. London, Hutchinson University Library.
BELKIN, V.M. 1975. *Arabskaja leksikologija*. Moskva, Izdatel'stvo Moskovskogo universiteta.
BLAU, Joshua. 1965. *The Emergence and Linguistic Background of Judaeo-Arabic. A Study of the Origins of Middle Arabic*. Oxford University Press.
BOCHTHOR, Elious. 1828-1829; (1848/1864/1882). *Dictionnaire français-arabe*. Revu et augmenté par Caussin de Perceval. 2 vols. Paris, Firmin Didot.
BRUGMAN, J. 1984. *An Introduction to the History of Modern Arabic Literature in Egypt*. Leiden, E.J. Brill.
AL-BUSTĀNĪ, Buṭrus. 1869-1870. *Muḥīṭ al-muḥīṭ*, i-ii. Beirut (reprint. Librairie du Liban, Beyrouth 1977).
CAÑES, Fr. Francisco. 1787. *Diccionario Español Latino-Arabe*. i-ii-iii. Madrid, Don Antonio Sancha.
CHEJNE, Anwar G. 1969. *The Arabic Language. Its Role in History*. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press.

²⁵ Cañes (1787): *museo*: el-lugar destinado para el estudio de las ciencias, letras humanas y artes liberales ('place designed for the study of sciences, literature and liberal arts'); *madrasa*.

- CORRIENTE, Federico. 1988. *El léxico árabe andalusí según P. de Alcalá*. (Ordenado por raíces, corregido, anotado y fonémicamente interpretado). Madrid, Universidad Complutense.
- CORRIENTE, F. 1989. *El léxico árabe andalusí según el "Vocabulista in arábico"*. Madrid, Universidad Complutense.
- CORRIENTE, F. 1997. *A Dictionary of Andalusí Arabic*. Leiden-New York-Köln, Brill.
- DE SACY, Silvestre. 1829. *Anthologie grammaticale arabe (Hariri, Zamakhschari, Ebn Khaldoun ...), avec une traduction française et des notes*. Paris.
- DE SACY, S. 1831 (2nd ed.). *Grammaire arabe à l'usage des élèves de l'École spéciale des langues orientales vivantes*, tomes i-ii. Paris.
- FAHMĪ, Ḥasan Ḥusain. 1958. *al-Marǧī' fī ta'rib al-muṣṭalaḥāt al-'ilmīya wa-l-fannīya wa-l-handasiya* ('Reference manual dealing with problems of arabization of scientific and technical terms'). Cairo, Maktabat an-naḥḍa al-'arabiya.
- FAHMĪ, Muṣṭafā (Ed.). 1905. *aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī: Kitāb taḥlīs al-'ibriz fī talḥīs Bārīz*. Cairo, Dār at-taqaḍḍum.
- FERGUSON, C.A. 1959. "Coloquio sobre la enseñanza de la lengua árabe a los no árabes" in: *The Linguistic Reporter* 1/5 (December 1959).
- FILŠTINSKIĬ, I.M. 1965. *Arabskaja klassičeskaja literatura*. Moskva.
- FREYTAG, G.W. 1830-1837. *Lexicon Arabico-Latinum*, vols. i-iv. Halis Saxonum, C.A. Schwetschke et filium.
- GOLIUS, Jacobus. 1653. *Lexicon Arabico-Latinum*. Leiden.
- HAMZAOUÏ, Rachad. 1965. *L'Académie arabe de Damas et le problème de la modernisation de la langue arabe*. Leiden, E.J. Brill.
- HAYWOOD, John A. 1965. *Arabic Lexicography*. Leiden, E.J. Brill.
- ḤIǧĀZĪ, Muḥ. Fahmī. 1974. *'Uṣūl al-fikr al-'arabī 'inda-ṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī ma'a-n-naṣṣ al-kāmil li-kitāb talḥīs al-'ibriz*. Cairo.
- HOLES, C. 1995. *Modern Arabic. Structures, Functions and Varieties*. London and New York, Longman.
- IBN ḤALDŪN. n.d. *al-Muqaddima* (recent Egyptian edition based on the critical text edited by Laǧnat al-bayān al-'arabī, under the supervision of 'Abdalwāḥid al-Wāfi). Cairo, Dār aš-ša'b.
- KAZIMIRSKI, A. de Biberstein. 1875. *Dictionnaire arabe-français*. Revu et corrigé par Ibed Gallab, i-iv. Caire, Imprimerie V.R. Egyptienne à Boulac.
- LANE, E.W.: *Maddu-l-Kamoos. An Arabic-English Lexicon*, 8 vols. (Part 1-5, London, Williams & Norgate 1863-1874; Part 6-8, and Supplement, by Stanley Lane Pool, 1877-1893). London, Williams & Norgate 1877-1893.
- LOUCA, Anwar. 1988. *Taḥṭāwī, L'Or de Paris. Relation de voyage 1826-1831*. Paris, Sindbad.
- LOUCA, Anwar. 1991. *Rifa'ā al-Taḥṭāwī (1801-1873) et la science occidentale*. In: *D'un Orient l'autre*. ii - Identifications. Paris, Éditions du CNRS, pp. 201-217.
- MACHIAVELLI, Niccolo. 1998. *The Prince* (Edited with an Introduction and Notes by P. Bondanella; translated by M. Musa). Oxford-New York, Oxford University Press.
- MACHIAVELLI, N. > Makyāvelli, Nīqōlō.
- AL-MAǦRIBĪ, 'Abdalqādir. 1947. *Kitāb al-iṣṭiqāq wa-t-ta'rib*. 2nd ed., Cairo.
- MAKYĀVELLI, N. 1912. *Kitāb al-'Amīr* (translated by Muḥ. Luṭfī Ġum'a). Cairo, Maṭba'at al-Ma'ārif.
- MAKYĀVELLI, N. 1988. *al-'Amīr* (translated by Ḥairī Ḥammād). Baghdad, Dār al-'Āfāq al-ḥadiṭa.

- AL-MARŞAFİ, Ḥusain. 1880-1881. *al-Kalim at-tamān*. Cairo, Maṭbaʿat Šaraf.
- MONTEIL, V. 1960. *L'arabe moderne*. Paris, Klincksieck.
- Muq. > *al-Muqaddima* > Ibn Ḥaldūn.
- MURŪWA, Adīb. 1961. *Aṣ-Šiḥāfa al-ʿarabīya: naṣʾ atuhā wa taṭawwuruhā*. Beirut.
- PERCEVAL, Caussin de. 1833. In: *Nouveau journal asiatique* xi, March 1833, 245-251.
- PÉRÈS, H. 1955. *La littérature arabe et l'Islam par les textes*. Alger.
- SA'ID, Majed F. 1967. *Lexical Innovation Through Borrowing in Modern Standard Arabic*. Princeton University (Princeton Near East Papers, No 6);
- ŞARRŪF, Fu'ād. 1963. *Siyar 'alfāz ʿarabīya mustahḍafa*. In: *Al-Abhath* 16, American University of Beirut;
- STETKEVYCH, Jaroslav. 1970. *The Modern Arabic Literary Language. Lexical and Stylistic Developments*. Chicago & London, The University of Chicago Press.
- AL-ŠAYYĀL, Ġamāladdīn. 1951. *Ta'riḥ at-tarğama wa-l-ḥaraka at-taqāfiya fī ʿaṣr Muḥammad ʿAlī*. Cairo, Dār al-fikr al-ʿarabī.
- AṬ-ṬAHTĀWĪ, Rifāʿa Rāfiʿ. 1834. *Kitāb taḥlīṣ al-ʾibrīz fī taḥlīṣ Bārīz*. Cairo, al-Maṭbaʿa al-ʾamīrija.
- AṬ-ṬAHTĀWĪ, see also ʿALLĀM & LŪQĀ, 1958; LŪQĀ, 1991; ḤİĠĀZĪ, 1974; FAHMĪ, 1905.
- TAIMŪR, Maḥmūd. 1956. *Muškilāt al-luġa al-ʿarabīya*. Cairo, al-Maṭbaʿa an-numūdaġīya.
- WAHRMUND, A. 1898. *Handwörterbuch der neu-arabischen und deutschen Sprache*, i-ii. Giessen, J. Ricker'sche Verlags-Buchhandlung.
- WALTER, Wiebke. 1995. "Some phenomena of the Cultural/Literary renaissance (*Nahḍa*) in Iraq till 1920". In: *Actas XVI Congreso UEAI* (de Benito & Rodríguez, Eds.). Agencia española de cooperación internacional, Consejo superior de investigaciones científicas. Salamanca.
- WEHR, Hans (Edited by J M. Cowan). 1979. *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic (Arabic-English)*. 4th ed. Wiesbaden, Harrassowitz.