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# MICROSCOPIC SETS <br> WITH RESPECT TO SEQUENCES OF FUNCTIONS 

Grażyna Horbaczewska


#### Abstract

Consequences of replacing the geometric sequence with another in the definition of microscopic sets are considered.


The notion of a microscopic set on the real line was introduced by J. A p pell in [1 at the beginning of the 21st century. Thereafter, some papers were devoted to this topic ([7], [9-11). Lately, a chapter on microscopic sets was published in a monography 8].

Definition 1. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is microscopic if for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \epsilon^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The family of all microscopic sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}$.
A special role in this definition is played by a geometric sequence. A question about the consequences of replacing this specific sequence with another one was raised by J. Appell, E. D'Aniello and M. Väth in [3].

If we consider an arbitrary sequence here, we get a definition of a family of strong measure zero sets ([5]), denoted by $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is of strong measure zero if for each sequence of positive reals $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \epsilon_{n} \quad \text { for } \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{S} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$. An example of a microscopic set which is not a strong measure zero set is given in [6].

[^0]Let $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of increasing functions $f_{n}:(0,1) \rightarrow(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} f_{n}(x)=0$, and there exists $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that for every $x \in\left(0, x_{0}\right)$ the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n}(x)$ is convergent and the sequence $\left(f_{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing.
Definition 3. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ if for each $x \in(0,1)$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq f_{n}(x) \quad \text { for } \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

For $f_{n}(x)=x^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{M}$.
If $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the family of all sequences of functions with properties described above, then

$$
\bigcap_{\left(f_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{S} .
$$

Now, we may ask several questions. We would like to know for which sequences we get microscopic sets, when different sequences give different families of sets, under which condition $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal.

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for getting microscopic sets.
Theorem 4. If there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $x \in(0,1)$ we have $x^{n+k} \leq f_{n}(x) \leq x^{n}$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{M}
$$

Proof. Since the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is obvious, we have to justify only the inverse one.

Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Fix $x \in(0,1)$. Since $E \in \mathcal{M}$ for $x_{0}=x^{k+1}$, there exists a sequence of intervals $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

Therefore

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{n}\right)<\left(x_{0}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

$$
\lambda\left(I_{n}\right)<\left(x^{k+1}\right)^{n}=x^{n k+n} \leq x^{n+k} \leq f_{n}(x), \quad \text { so } \quad E \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)} .
$$

The above condition is not necessary, for example, for a sequence $f_{n}(x)=x^{2 n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the assumption of the above theorem is not satisfied, but $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{M}$.

More examples of sequences of functions leading to microscopic sets can be given for:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{n}, \quad \text { where } \quad a>0 \\
& f_{n}(x)=x^{a n}, \quad \text { where } \quad a>0 \\
& f_{n}(x)=\frac{x^{n}}{n^{a}}, \quad \text { where } \quad a \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

the family $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ is exactly the family of microscopic sets.

## MICROSCOPIC SETS WITH RESPECT TO SEQUENCES OF FUNCTIONS

For reader's convenience we show that in the last case every microscopic set belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Fix $x \in(0,1)$ and find $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 / n_{0}<x$. For $\epsilon:=\frac{1}{n_{0}^{a+1}}$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

Then

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \epsilon^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

$$
\lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq \epsilon^{n}=\frac{1}{\left(n_{0}^{a+1}\right)^{n}}=\frac{1}{\left(n_{0}^{a}\right)^{n} n_{0}^{n}}<\frac{1}{\left(n_{0}^{n}\right)^{a}} x^{n}<\frac{x^{n}}{n^{a}}
$$

since $n_{0}^{n}>n$ for $n_{0}>1$. Therefore, $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$.
One can observe an obvious sufficient condition for an inclusion between the families $\mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ for sequences $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from the family $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$
\forall_{x \in(0,1)} \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{n}(x) \leq f_{n}(x) \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}
$$

The next theorem gives a not so obvious sufficient condition.
Theorem 5. If for every $x \in(0,1)$ there exists $y \in(0,1)$ such that there exists a sequence $\left(P_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint, nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that
then

$$
g_{m}(y) \leq \sum_{i \in P_{m}} f_{i}(x) \quad \text { for every } \quad m \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)}$. Let $x \in(0,1)$. By our assumption, there exists $y \in(0,1)$ and a sequence $\left\{P_{m}\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{m}(y) \leq \sum_{i \in P_{m}} f_{i}(x)$. Since $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)}$, for $y$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\left(I_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(I_{m}\right) \leq g_{m}(y)
$$

Since $\lambda\left(I_{m}\right) \leq g_{m}(y) \leq \sum_{i \in P_{m}} f_{i}(x)$, we may divide the interval $I_{m}$ into nonoverlapping intervals $J_{i}^{(m)}, i \in P_{m}$, such that

$$
\lambda\left(J_{i}^{(m)}\right) \leq f_{i}(x) \quad \text { for } \quad i \in P_{m}
$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n$ belongs to none of $P_{m}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $J_{n}:=\emptyset$. If $n \in P_{m}$, then $J_{n}:=J_{n}^{(m)}$. Therefore,

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_{m}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(J_{n}\right) \leq f_{n}(x), \quad \text { so } \quad E \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}
$$

## GRAŻYNA HORBACZEWSKA

## Theorem 6.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)} \backslash \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset \quad \text { for every } \quad\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{H}
$$

Proof.
Let $I:=[0,1]$. We will define by induction the sequence of open intervals $\left\{J_{n, i}\right\}, i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}\right\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, in the following way.

Let $k_{1}:=\min \left\{k: f_{2^{k}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)<\frac{1}{3}\right\}$. Put $J_{1,1}:=\left(f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}+1}\right), 1-f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}+1}\right)\right)$. Then, of course, $\lambda\left(J_{1,1}\right)>\frac{1}{3}$.

Let $K_{1,1}, K_{1,2}$ denote successive components of the set $I \backslash J_{1,1}$. Obviously, $\lambda\left(K_{1, i}\right)=f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Let $k_{2}:=\min \left\{k: f_{2^{k}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)<\frac{1}{3} f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}+1}\right)\right\}$.
Let $J_{2,1}, J_{2,2}$ be two open intervals concentric with $K_{1,1}$ and $K_{1,2}$, respectively, such that $\lambda\left(J_{2,1}\right)=\lambda\left(J_{2,2}\right)=\lambda\left(K_{1,1}\right)-2 f_{2^{k_{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}+1}\right)$.

Let $K_{2,1}, K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}$, and $K_{2,4}$ denote successive components of the set $I \backslash\left(J_{1,1} \cup J_{2,1} \cup J_{2,2}\right)$. Notice that $\lambda\left(K_{2, i}\right)=f_{2^{k_{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{2}+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.

Let $m \geq 2$. Assume that we have constructed the open, nonempty intervals $J_{l, 1}, \ldots, J_{l, 2^{l-1}}$ concentric with $K_{l-1,1}, \ldots, K_{l-1,2^{l-1}}$, respectively, such that $\lambda\left(J_{l, i}\right)=\lambda\left(K_{l-1,1}\right)-2 f_{2^{k_{l}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{l}+1}\right)$ for $l \in\{2, \ldots, m\}, i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{l-1}\right\}$, where $k_{l}:=\min \left\{k: f_{2^{k}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)<\frac{1}{3} f_{2^{k_{l-1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{l-1}+1}\right)\right\}$, for $l \in\{2, \ldots, m\}$.

Let $K_{m, 1}, \ldots, K_{m, 2^{m}}$ be successive components of the set $I \backslash \bigcup_{l=1}^{m} \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{l-1}} J_{l, i}$. Notice that $\lambda\left(K_{m, i}\right)=f_{2^{k_{m}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m}+1}\right)$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m}\right\}$.

Now, let $k_{m+1}:=\min \left\{k: f_{2^{k}}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)<\frac{1}{3} f_{2^{k_{m}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m}+1}\right)\right\}$ and $J_{m+1,1}, \ldots, J_{m+1,2^{m}}$ be open intervals concentric with $K_{m, 1}, \ldots, K_{m, 2^{m}}$, respectively, such that $\lambda\left(J_{m+1, i}\right)=\lambda\left(K_{m, 1}\right)-2 f_{2^{k_{m+1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m+1}+1}\right)$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m}\right\}$.

Let $K_{m+1,1}, \ldots, K_{m+1,2^{m+1}}$ be successive components of the set

$$
I \backslash \bigcup_{l=1}^{m+1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{l-1}} J_{l, i}
$$

Obviously, $\lambda\left(K_{m+1, i}\right)=f_{2^{k_{m+1}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m+1}+1}\right)$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m+1}\right\}$.
Let us put $M:=\bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{m}} K_{m, i}$.
Now, let $x \in(0,1)$. There exists $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{k_{m_{0}}}<x$.
Let $I_{i}:=K_{m_{0}, i}$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m_{0}}\right\}$ and $I_{i}:=\emptyset$ for $i>2^{m_{0}}$. Obviously, $M \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{m_{0}}} K_{m_{0}, i}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_{i}$ and $\lambda\left(I_{i}\right)=\lambda\left(K_{m_{0}, i}\right)=f_{2^{k_{m_{0}}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m_{0}}+1}\right) \leq f_{2^{m_{0}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{m_{0}}+1}\right)<$ $f_{2^{m_{0}}}(x) \leq f_{i}(x)$ for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m_{0}}\right\}$. Hence, $M$ is a Cantor-type set from $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$. As a perfect set, $M$ cannot be a strong measure zero set (compare [5, Corollary 8.1.5]), so $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)} \backslash \mathcal{S}$.
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Theorem 7. Let $\left(f_{n}\right),\left(g_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $k_{n}$ be a sequence of natural numbers chosen as in the proof of the previous theorem. Suppose that there exists $\delta>0$ such that $g_{n}(x)<f_{n}(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $x \in(0, \delta)$. If there exists a point $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $g_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)<f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}
$$

Proof. Since the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ is obvious, we only need to show that these families are different.

Consider the set $M$ from the proof of the previous theorem.
Let $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of intervals such that $\lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq g_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
As $\lambda\left(I_{1}\right) \leq g_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)<f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), I_{1}$ cannot have common points with both sets $K_{1,1}$ and $K_{1,2}$, since $f_{2^{k_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<\frac{1}{3}<\lambda\left(J_{1,1}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(K_{1,1}, K_{1,2}\right)$.

Put $P_{0}:=[0,1]$.
Let $P_{1}:=K_{1, i_{1}}$, where $i_{1} \in\{1,2\}$ and $I_{1} \cap K_{1, i_{1}}=\emptyset$.
Let $n \geq 2$. We assume that for $l \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have already chosen an interval $P_{l}$, such that $P_{l}:=K_{l, i_{l}}$, where $i_{l} \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{l}\right\}, I_{l} \cap K_{l, i_{l}}=\emptyset$ and $P_{l} \subset P_{l-1}$.

The interval $I_{n}$ satisfies a condition

$$
\lambda\left(I_{n}\right) \leq g_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)<f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right)=\lambda\left(K_{n, i}\right)
$$

for $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{n}\right\}$ and by the construction of $M$, precisely by the way of defining $k_{n}$, we have $\lambda\left(K_{n, i}\right)<\lambda\left(J_{l, j}\right)$, where $J_{l, j}$ is a gap between the intervals $K_{n, i}$ and $K_{n, i^{\prime}}$ contained in $P_{n-1}$, so one of them has no common points with $I_{n}$. We denote it by $P_{n}$.

Therefore, we have inductively constructed a descending sequence of closed intervals $P_{n}$, such that $\lambda\left(P_{n}\right)=f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right)$ and $P_{n} \cap I_{n}=\emptyset$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Cantor Theorem, there exists a point $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{n}$. Of course $x \in M$ and $x \notin \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{n}$, so $M \notin \mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)}$.

Theorem 8. For every $\left(f_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists $\left(g_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{S} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}
$$

Proof. Suffice it to put $g_{n}(x):=f_{n}(x) f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_{n}$ is chosen as in the proof of Theorem 6. Then $\left(g_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\left(g_{n}\right)$ satisfies the condition from Theorem 7 , since for every $x \in(0,1)$

$$
g_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x) f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right)<f_{2^{k_{n}}}\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}+1}\right) .
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 6 and Theorem 7, we are done.

Remark 9. If $f_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{f_{2 l}\left(\frac{1}{l+1}\right)}{f_{2 l-1}\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)}<\frac{1}{3}$ for $l \geq 2$, then $k_{n}=n$ and for such a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ the condition from the Theorem 7 is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists_{x_{0} \in(0,1)} \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)<f_{2^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $f_{n}(x)=x^{n}$ satisfies assumptions from the above remark, so for the sequence $g_{n}(x)=\frac{x^{n}}{(n+1)^{2 n}}$ (see the proof of Theorem (8), we have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\left(g_{n}\right)} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}
$$

Another sequence satisfying condition $(*)$ for $f_{n}(x)=x^{n}$ is the sequence $g_{n}(x)=x^{n^{n}}$, more precisely, for $x_{0}=\frac{1}{4}$ and for every $n>2$, we have

$$
g_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)<\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{2^{n}}, \quad \text { so } \quad \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{n^{n}}\right)} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}
$$

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for a family $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ to be a $\sigma$-ideal.

Theorem 10. Let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists a bijection $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a function $\Phi_{k}(n):=\Phi(n, k)$ is increasing and for every $x>0$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x)\right)>0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal.

Proof. Suffice it to show that a countable sum of sets from $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$. Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of sets, such that $A_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x>0$. A sequence $\left\{f_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x)\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is monotonous, so denoting $a_{k}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x)\right)$, we have $f_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x)\right) \geq a_{k}>\frac{a_{k}}{2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By monotonocity of $f_{n}$, we get

$$
f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x) \geq f_{n}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{2}\right) .
$$

For $\frac{a_{k}}{2}$ there exists a cover $\left\{I_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the set $A_{k}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{n}^{(k)}\right)<$ $f_{n}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{2}\right)$. Arrange intervals $\left\{I_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a sequence $\left\{I_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $m=\Phi(n, k)$. Then $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k} \subset \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_{m}$ and

$$
\lambda\left(I_{m}\right)=\lambda\left(I_{\phi(n, k)}\right)=\lambda\left(I_{n}^{(k)}\right)<f_{n}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{2}\right) \leq f_{\Phi(n, k)}(x)=f_{m}(x)
$$

Therefore, $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(f_{n}\right)}$.
It is known that if $\mathcal{I}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal containing all singletons and $\mathcal{G}_{\delta}$-generated then a family $\mathcal{I}^{*}:=\left\{A \subset \mathbb{R}: \exists_{B \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{I}} A \subset B\right\}$ is also a $\sigma$-ideal ([4). We do not know if $\mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal, but we can proove that $\mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$-a family of sets which can be covered by $F_{\sigma}$ sets from $\mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal.

## microscopic sets with respect to sequences of functions

Lemma 11. Let $A_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$ and $A_{k}$ be compact for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$
\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in(0,1)$. For $x_{1}:=x$ there exists a cover $\left\{I_{n}^{(1)}\right\}$ of $A_{1}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{n}^{(1)}\right)<\left(x_{1}\right)^{2^{n}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By compactness of $A_{1}$, we can choose a finite subcover $\left\{I_{n}^{(1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{n_{1}}$. For $x_{2}:=x^{2^{n_{1}}}$ there exists a cover $\left\{I_{n}^{(2)}\right\}$ of $A_{2}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{n}^{(2)}\right)<\left(x_{2}\right)^{2^{n}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By compactness of $A_{2}$, we can choose a finite subcover $\left\{I_{n}^{(2)}\right\}_{n=1}^{n_{2}}$. And so on, for $x_{k}:=\left(x_{k-1}\right)^{2^{n_{k-1}}}$ we find a finite cover $\left\{I_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n=1}^{n_{k}}$ of $A_{k}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{n}^{(k)}\right)<\left(x_{k}\right)^{2^{n}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Sigma_{i=0}^{t} n_{i}<k \leq \Sigma_{i=0}^{t+1} n_{i}$, where $n_{0}:=0$, and there exists $l \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{t+1}\right\}$ such that $k=n_{0}+\cdots+n_{t}+l$. Let $J_{k}=I_{l}^{(t+1)}$. Then, $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} J_{k}$ and

$$
\lambda\left(J_{k}\right)=\lambda\left(I_{l}^{(t+1)}\right)<\left(x_{t+1}\right)^{2^{l}}=\left(x^{2^{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{t}}}\right)^{2^{l}}=x^{2^{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{t}+l}}=x^{2^{k}}
$$

so $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$.
Lemma 12. If $A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a sequence of compact sets $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_{k}
$$

Proof. Since $A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $B_{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{\left.2^{n}\right)}\right.}$ such that $A_{n} \subset B_{n}$. Then, $B_{n}=\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} B_{l}^{(n)}$, where $B_{l}^{(n)}$ is closed, hence it is a countable union of compact sets $D_{t}^{(l, n)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$
\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} D_{t}^{(l, n)}=\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_{k}
$$

Obviously, $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}$.
Theorem 13. $\mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$ is a $\sigma$-ideal.
Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$ is closed under a countable union. Let $A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2 n}\right)}^{*}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma [12, there exists a sequence $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $D_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2 n}\right)}$ and $D_{k}$ is compact for $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_{k}$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 11, we have $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{\left.2^{n}\right)}\right.}$ and, of course, $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_{k} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$, so $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\left(x^{2^{n}}\right)}^{*}$.

## GRAŻYNA HORBACZEWSKA

## REFERENCES

[1] APPELL, J.: Insiemi ed operatori "piccoli" in analisi funzionale, Rend. Instit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 33 (2001), 127-199.
[2] APPELL, J.: A short story on microscopic sets, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena Reggio Emilia 52 (2004), 229-233.
[3] APPELL, J.-D'ANIELLO, E.-VÄTH, M.: Some remarks on small sets, Ric. Mat. 50 (2001), 255-274.
[4] BALCERZAK, M.-BAUMGARTNER, J. E.-HEJDUK, J.: On certain $\sigma$-ideals of sets, Real Anal. Exchange 14 (1988-89), 447-453.
[5] BARTOSZYŃSKI, T.-JUDAH, H.: Set Theory: On the Structure of the Real Line. A. K. Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
[6] FILIPCZAK, M.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Remarks on small sets on the real line, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 42 (2009), 73-80.
[7] HORBACZEWSKA, G.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Some kinds of convergence with respect to small sets, Reports on Real Analysis, Conference at Rowy (2003), 88-97.
[8] HORBACZEWSKA, G.-KARASIŃSKA, A.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Properties of the $\sigma$-ideal of microscopic sets, in: Traditional and Present-Day Topics in Real Analysis, Łódź University Press, Łódź, 2013, pp. 325-343.
[9] KARASIŃSKA, A.—POREDA, W.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Duality principle for microscopic sets, in: Monograph Real Functions, Density Topology and Related Topics, Łódź University Press, Łódź, 2011, pp. 83-87.
[10] KARASIŃSKA, A.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Nowhere monotone functions and microscopic sets, Acta Math. Hungar. 120 (2008), 235-248.
[11] KARASIŃSKA, A.-WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: Homeomorphisms of linear and planar sets of the first category into microscopic sets, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 1894-1898.

Received January 2, 2014
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Łódź
Banacha 22
PL-90-238 Łódź
POLAND
E-mail: grhorb@math.uni.lodz.pl


[^0]:    © 2014 Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.
    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A05, 54H05, 03E15.
    Keywords: microscopic sets, null sets, strong measure zero sets, Cantor-type sets, comparison of $\sigma$-ideals.

