

ON SOME MODIFICATION  
OF ŚWIĄTKOWSKI PROPERTY

GERTRUDA IVANOVA — ELŻBIETA WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce some families of functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  modifying the Darboux property analogously as it was done by [Maliszewski, A.: *On the limits of strong Świątkowski functions*, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódź. Mat.* **27** (1995), 87–93], replacing continuity with  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity, i.e., the continuity with respect to some family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets in the domain. We prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property then the family  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property is contained and dense in the family  $\mathcal{DQ}$  of Darboux quasi-continuous functions.

A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the intermediate value property if, on each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $f$  assumes every real value between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ . In 1875, J. Darboux [4] showed that this property is not equivalent to the continuity and every derivative has the intermediate value property.

The intermediate value property is usually called the Darboux property and a function having the intermediate value property is called a Darboux function.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the class of Darboux functions. To simplify our notation, we will write:

$$\langle a, b \rangle = (\min\{a, b\}, \max\{a, b\}).$$

In 1977, T. Mańk and T. Świątkowski [16] defined some modification of the Darboux property. They considered a family of functions with the so-called Świątkowski property.

**DEFINITION 1** ([16]). A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has Świątkowski property if for each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  there exists a point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$  and  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

In 1995, A. Maliszewski investigated a class of functions which possesses some stronger property.

**DEFINITION 2** ([14]). A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the strong Świątkowski property if for each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$  there exists a point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) = \lambda$  and  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

The family of all strong Świątkowski functions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_s$ .

In 2009, Z. Grande considered some modification of strong Świątkowski property replacing the continuity with the approximate continuity.

**DEFINITION 3** ([5]). A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the ap-Darboux property if for each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$  there exists a point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) = \lambda$  and  $f$  is approximately continuous at  $x_0$ .

In the sequel, the family of all functions with the ap-Darboux property will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of the sets of the first category. In [6] and [7], we introduce a family of functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  modifying the Darboux property analogously as it was done by Z. Grande and replacing approximate continuity with  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximate continuity, i.e., the continuity with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology in the domain (see [3], [19], [20], [23], [24]).

**DEFINITION 4.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the  $\mathcal{I}$ -ap-Darboux property if for each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and for each  $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$  there exists a point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) = \lambda$  and  $f$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous at  $x_0$ .

The family of all functions with the  $\mathcal{I}$ -ap-Darboux property will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}-ap}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  is a family of all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . To simplify our considerations, we need the following definition.

**DEFINITION 5.** We will say that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if for each open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(x) \in V$  there exists a set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $f(A) \subset V$ . We will say that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is not difficult to see that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Euclidean topology  $\tau_e$ , then the notion of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is equivalent to the notion of the continuity in the classical sense. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the density topology  $\tau_d$ , then we have the approximate continuity. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ , then we obtain the  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximate continuity. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is some topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is a continuity between  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e)$ .

Of course,  $\mathcal{A}$  need not be a topology. Let  $\overline{A}$  ( $Int(A)$ ) denote the closure (interior) of the set  $A$  in the Euclidean topology. A set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is said to be semi-open if there is an open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \overline{U}$  (see [11]). It is not difficult to see that  $A$  is semi-open if and only if  $A \subset \overline{Int(A)}$ . The family of all semi-open sets will be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is semi-continuous if for each set  $V$  open in the Euclidean topology the set  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi-open (see [11]).

**DEFINITION 6.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is quasi-continuous at a point  $x$  if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and for every neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$  there exists a non-empty open set  $G \subset U$  such that  $f(G) \subset V$ . A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is quasi-continuous if it is quasi-continuous at each point.

A. Neubrunnová [18] proved that  $f$  is semi-continuous if and only if it is quasi-continuous.

Obviously,  $\mathcal{S}$  is not a topology and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of semi-open sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , then the  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is equivalent to the quasi-continuity.

**DEFINITION 7.** We will say that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if for each interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and each  $\lambda \in (f(a), f(b))$  there exists a point  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x) = \lambda$  and  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at  $x$ .

The family of all functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Euclidean topology  $\tau_e$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_e} = \mathcal{D}_s$ , if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the density topology  $\tau_d$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_d} = \mathcal{D}_{ap}$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology, then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}-ap}$ .

The set  $A$  is of the first category at the point  $x$  (see [9]) if there exists an open neighbourhood  $G$  of  $x$  such that  $A \cap G$  is of the first category. By  $D(A)$  we will denote the set of all points  $x$  such that  $A$  is not of the first category at  $x$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}a$  be a family of all functions having the Baire property.

**DEFINITION 8.** We will say that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property if

1.  $\tau_e \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}a$ ;
2.  $A \subset D(A)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It is not difficult to see that the wide class of topologies has  $(*)$ -property, for example, Euclidean topology,  $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology, topologies constructed in [10] by E. Łazarow, R. A. Johnson and W. Wilczyński or topology constructed by R. Wiertelak in [22]. Some families of sets which are not the topologies also have  $(*)$ -property, for example, the family of semi-open sets, however, it does not have the density topology.

**DEFINITION 9.** We will say that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has q-property if for each  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and for each non-empty open interval  $(C, D) \subset f((a, b))$  there exists a non-empty open interval  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$  such that  $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D)$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Darboux function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has q-property if and only if  $f$  is quasi-continuous.*

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . There are two cases:

1.  $f$  is constant on some neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then,  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .

2.  $f$  is constant on no neighbourhood of  $x$ . Let  $(a, b)$  and  $(p, q)$  be arbitrary intervals such that  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $f(x) \in (p, q)$ . From the Darboux property,  $f((a, b))$  is a non-degenerate interval and

$$f(x) \in (p, q) \cap f((a, b)).$$

Hence,  $(p, q) \cap f((a, b))$  is a non-degenerate interval and it contains some non-empty interval  $(C, D)$ . From  $q$ -property, there exists a non-empty open interval  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$  such that  $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D) \subset (p, q)$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is quasi-continuous and  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(C, D)$  be an arbitrary non-empty open interval contained in  $f((a, b))$ . Then, there exists a point  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x) \in (C, D)$ . Hence, from Definition 6, there exists a non-empty open interval  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$  such that  $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D)$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property and  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $f$  has  $q$ -property.*

**Proof.** Let  $(a, b)$  be such that  $f((a, b))$  is a non-degenerate interval, and let  $(C, D) \subset f((a, b))$ .

Fix  $y \in (C, D)$  and a number  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $[y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset (C, D)$ . Clearly,

$$[y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset f((a, b)).$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , there exists a point  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at  $x$ . Hence, we can find a set  $A_x \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $x \in A_x$  and  $f(A_x) \subset (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$ .

As  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property,  $A_x$  has the Baire property and is not of the first category at  $x$ . Hence,  $A_x \cap (a, b)$  has the Baire property and is not of the first category at  $x$ , too. Consequently,

$$A_x \cap (a, b) = G \Delta P = (G \setminus P) \cup (P \setminus G),$$

where  $G$  is open and  $P$  is of the first category in the Euclidean topology. Obviously,  $G \neq \emptyset$ , hence, there exists an interval  $(c, d) \subset G$ . Observe that  $(c, d) \setminus P \subset (a, b)$ , so,  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$  and  $(c, d) \setminus P \subset A_x$ , i.e.,  $f((c, d) \setminus P) \subset f(A_x) \subset (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$ .

Now, let us prove that  $f((c, d)) \subset [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]$ . Put

$$V = \mathbb{R} \setminus [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon].$$

Clearly,

$$f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) = (c, d) \setminus f^{-1}([y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]) \quad (1)$$

and

$$(c, d) \setminus P \subset f^{-1}\left(f((c, d) \setminus P)\right) \subset f^{-1}([y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]). \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain

$$f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) \subset P. \quad (3)$$

Assume that  $f((c, d)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , i.e., there exists  $x_1 \in f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d)$ . From (3), we can find a point  $x_2 \in (x_1, d) \setminus f^{-1}(V)$ . The set  $V$  is open, hence there exists a point  $Z \in V \cap (f(x_1), f(x_2))$ . As  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we can find a point  $z \in (x_1, x_2)$  such that  $f(z) = Z$  and  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at  $z$ , i.e., there exists a set  $A_z \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $z \in A_z$  and

$$f(A_z) \subset V. \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4), we have

$$A_z \cap (x_1, x_2) \subset f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) \subset P,$$

i.e.,  $z \in A_z \cap (x_1, x_2)$ , and  $A_z$  is of the first category at  $z$ , which is a contradiction, as  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property and  $A_z \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $f((c, d)) \cap V = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $f((c, d)) \subset [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset (C, D)$ .  $\square$

From now on, by  $\mathcal{Q}$  we will denote the family of quasi-continuous functions and by  $\mathcal{DQ}$  the family of quasi-continuous functions with the Darboux property.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property, then  $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$ .*

**Proof.** It follows easily from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.  $\square$

Let us show that these inclusions may be proper for different families  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *There exists a family  $\mathcal{A} \neq \tau_e$  with  $(*)$ -property such that  $\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \tau_h$ , where  $\tau_h = \{G \setminus P : G \in \tau_e \text{ and } P \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a H. Hashimoto topology (see [8]). Obviously,  $\tau_e \subset \tau_h$ , so  $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tau_h}$ .

Let us show that  $\mathcal{D}_{\tau_h} \subset \mathcal{D}_s$ . Fix a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau_h}$ , an interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $f(a) \neq f(b)$  and  $Z \in (f(a), f(b))$ . Hence, as  $f$  has the  $\tau_h$ -Darboux property, there exists a point  $z \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(z) = Z$  and  $f$  is  $\tau_h$ -continuous at  $z$ .

Let us observe that  $f$  is  $\tau_e$ -continuous at  $z$ . Indeed, fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence, there exists a set  $A \in \tau_h$  such that  $z \in A$  and

$$f(A) \subset \left(f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right).$$

The set  $A$  is open in  $\tau_h$ , therefore  $A = G \setminus P$ , where  $G$  is open and  $P$  is of the first category. Obviously  $A \subset G$ , so  $z \in G$ , and there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(z - \delta, z + \delta) \subset G$ . Hence  $(z - \delta, z + \delta) \setminus P \subset G \setminus P = A$ , so

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta) \setminus P) \subset \left(f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right).$$

Analogously as in the proof of Lemma 2 (replacing  $(c, d)$  with  $(z - \delta, z + \delta)$  and  $(y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$  with  $[f(z) - \epsilon/2, f(z) + \epsilon/2]$ ) we obtain that

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta)) \subset \left[f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right].$$

Consequently,

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta)) \subset (f(z) - \epsilon, f(z) + \epsilon),$$

so  $f$  is  $\tau_e$ -continuous at  $z$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}_s$ .  $\square$

We will say that the sets of the form  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$  or  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$  are right-hand interval sets (left-hand interval sets) at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$  ( $b_n < a_{n+1} < b_{n+1}$ ) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *There exists a family  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $(*)$ -property such that  $\mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ , where  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology. Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property, so  $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$ .

Let us prove  $\mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ}$ .

Assume that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$  is a right interval set at zero and put

$$f_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n} & \text{for } x \in [a_n, b_n], n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and for } x \in [b_1, \infty), \\ \text{linear} & \text{on the intervals } [b_{n+1}, \frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}], [\frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}, a_n], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $f_A$  is continuous at each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , and assumes value 1 only at 0. Hence,  $f_A \notin \mathcal{D}_s$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see, that  $f_A \in \mathcal{DQ}$  for each right-hand interval set  $A$ .

Let  $B$  be a right-hand interval set at 0 such that 0 is a right-hand  $\mathcal{I}$ -density point of  $B$  (see [6]). Obviously,  $f_B \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_s$ .

Let  $C$  be a right-hand interval set at 0 such that 0 is a right-hand  $\mathcal{I}$ -dispersion point of  $C$ . Then,  $f_C$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous at 0 (see [6] for more details) and assumes value 1 only at point 0, so  $f_C \in \mathcal{DQ} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}}$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 5.** *There exists a family  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $(*)$ -property such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{DQ}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us observe that the family  $\mathcal{S}$  of semi-open sets has  $(*)$ -property. Fix  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . As  $A \subset \overline{\text{Int}(A)}$ , the set  $A$  is a union of two sets, where the first is open and the second is nowhere dense, so  $A$  has the Baire property. Fix a point  $x \in A$  and an interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $x \in (a, b)$ . Hence  $x \in \overline{\text{Int}(A)}$ , so  $(a, b) \cap \text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $(a, b) \cap A$  is of the second category and  $x \in D(A)$ . Consequently,  $A \subset D(A)$ .

By Theorem 1, we have  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{DQ}$ . Fix  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ , and let  $Z \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ . From the Darboux property, there exists  $z \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(z) = Z$ . As  $f$  is quasi-continuous at  $z$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$ .

Consequently, we can put  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a family of all functions such that for each  $a < b$  and for each set  $A \subset [a, b]$  with  $\text{card}(A) < \text{card}(\mathbb{R})$ , the set  $f([a, b] \setminus A)$  is dense in  $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{QU} = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{U}$  (for more details, see [2]), we have  $\mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{QU}$ .

A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cliquish at the point  $x$  if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a non-empty open set  $G \subset U$  such that  $|f(y) - f(z)| < \epsilon$  for each  $y, z \in G$ . A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cliquish if it is cliquish at each point.

It is noted (see [15]) that each quasi-continuous function is cliquish and a function  $f$  is cliquish if and only if the set of discontinuity points of  $f$  is of the first category. Hence the sum of two cliquish functions is cliquish.

Let  $\mathcal{Cliqu}$  denote the family of all cliquish functions.

From the Lindenbaum's Theorem ([12]), it is well-known that an arbitrary function can be represented as a sum of two Darboux functions (see also [1, Chapter I, Theorem 4.1]). In [5], Z. Grande proved that every real function  $f$  defined on some interval is the sum of two functions from  $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$ .

W. Sierpiński in [21] proved that an arbitrary function can be represented as a limit of a pointwise convergent sequence of Darboux functions. Z. Grande showed the analogous result for his family, i.e., that every real function  $f$  defined on some interval is the limit of a pointwise convergent sequence of functions from  $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$ . As for each family  $\mathcal{A}$  having  $(*)$ -property  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$  it is easily seen that analogous results for our family do not hold, moreover:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property, then:*

1.  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ}$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{Cliqu}$ ;
2.  $f$  is cliquish if and only if  $f$  is a limit of pointwise convergent sequence of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property;
3.  $f \in \mathcal{QU}$  if and only if  $f$  is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary family having  $(*)$ -property.

1. From Theorem 1 we obtain  $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{Cliqu}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{Cliqu} + \mathcal{Cliqu} = \mathcal{Cliqu}$ . On the other hand, by [13, Corollary 3.4, Chapter II], we have  $\mathcal{Cliqu} \subset \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s$ , so  $\mathcal{Cliqu} = \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ}$ .
2. By [14, Corollary 6], the function  $f$  is cliquish if and only if there exists a pointwise convergent sequence of Darboux quasi-continuous functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , and if and only if there exists a pointwise convergent sequence of strong Świątkowski functions  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such

that  $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$ . So, by Theorem 1, we have that  $f$  is a limit of pointwise convergent sequence of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if and only if  $f$  is cliquish.

3. In [17, Theorem 4], the author proved that  $f \in \mathcal{QU}$  if and only if  $f$  is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of Darboux quasi-continuous functions. On the other hand, by [14, Corollary 5],  $f \in \mathcal{QU}$  if and only if  $f$  is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of strong Świątkowski functions. So, by Theorem 1,  $f \in \mathcal{DQ}$  if and only if  $f$  is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property.

□

Let us introduce a metric  $\rho$  in the space  $\mathcal{UQ}$  in the following way:

$$\rho(f, g) = \min\left\{1, \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}\right\}.$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $(*)$ -property, then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{DQ}, \rho)$ , and the closure of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  equals  $\mathcal{QU}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family having  $(*)$ -property. By the previous theorem,  $f$  is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having  $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{QU}$ , so  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{QU}, \rho)$ , and the closure of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  equals  $\mathcal{QU}$ . As  $\mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{QU}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{DQ}, \rho)$ . □

Clearly, if  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$  then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ . The opposite implication does not hold: there exist uncomparable families  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ . For example, if  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is Hashimoto topology and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is a family of! semi-open sets, then, by Lemmas 3 and 5, we have  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ . Simultaneously, if  $P$  is a set of the first category dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{R} \setminus P$  is open in the Hashimoto topology but not semi-open. On the other hand,  $[0, 1]$  is semi-open but not open in the Hashimoto topology.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BRUCKNER, A. M.: *Differentiation of Real Functions*, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 659, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.—CEDER, J. G.—WEISS, M. L.: *Uniform limits of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. **15** (1966), 65–77.
- [3] CIESIELSKI, K.—LARSON, L.—OSTASZEWSKI, K.: *I-density continuous functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **515** (1994), 133 p.
- [4] DARBOUX, G.: *Memoire sur les fonctions discontinues*, Ann. Sci. Scuola Norm. Sup. **4** (1875), 57–112.
- [5] GRANDE, Z.: *On a subclass of the family of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. **117** (2009), 95–104.



# ON SOME MODIFICATION OF ŚWIĄTKOWSKI PROPERTY

- [6] IVANOVA, G.: *Remarks on some modification of the Darboux property*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres Lódź Sér. Rech. Déform. **72** (2014), 91–100.
- [7] IVANOVA, G.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: *On some modification of Darboux property*, Math. Slovaca (to appear).
- [8] HASHIMOTO, H.: *On the  $\ast$ -topology and its application*, Fund. Math. **91** (1976), 5–10.
- [9] KURATOWSKI, A.—MOSTOWSKI, A.: *Teoria Mnogości Wraz ze Wstępem do Opisowej Teorii Mnogości*. PWN, Warszawa, 1978.
- [10] ŁAZAROW, E.—JOHNSON, R. A.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *Topologies related to sets having the Baire property*, Demonstratio Math. **21** (1989), 179–191.
- [11] LEVINE, N.: *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [12] LINDENBAUM, A.: *Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions de variable réelle*, Ann. Soc. Math. Polon. **6** (1927), 129–130.
- [13] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *Darboux Property and Quasi-Continuity. A uniform approach*. WSP, Słupsk, 1996.
- [14] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *On the limits of strong Świątkowski functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. dz. Mat. **27** (1995), 87–93.
- [15] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *Sums and products of quasi-continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **21** (1995-96), 320–329.
- [16] MAŃK, T.—ŚWIĄTKOWSKI, T.: *On some class of functions with Darboux's characteristic*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. dz. Mat. **11** (301) (1977), 5–10.
- [17] NATKANIEC, T.: *On quasi-continuous functions having Darboux property*, Math. Pannon. **3** (1992), 81–96.
- [18] NEUBRUNNOVÁ, A.: *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Matematický časopis **23** (1973), 374–380.
- [19] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A category analogue of the density topology*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 167–173.
- [20] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *Remarks on  $\mathcal{I}$ -density and  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous functions*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **26** (1985), 553–563.
- [21] SIERPIŃSKI, W.: *Sur une propriété de fonctions réelles quelconques définies dans les espaces métriques*, Matematiche (Catania) **8** (1953), 73–78.
- [22] WIERTEŁAK, R.: *A generalization of density topology with respect to category*, Real Anal. Exchange **32** (2006/2007), 273–286.
- [23] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A generalization of the density topology*, Real Anal. Exchange **8** (1982-83), 16–20.
- [24] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A category analogue of the density topology, approximate continuity and the approximate derivative*, Real Anal. Exchange **10** (1984-85), 241–265.

Received December 2, 2013

Gertruda Ivanova  
 Elżbieta Wagner-Bojakowska  
 University of Łódź  
 Faculty of Mathematics and  
 Computer Science  
 ul. Banacha 22  
 PL-90-238 Łódź  
 POLAND  
 E-mail: gertruda@math.uni.lodz.pl  
 wagner@math.uni.lodz.pl