

NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR OSCILLATION OF SECOND-ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SHYAM SUNDAR SANTRA

Department of Mathematics, JIS College of Engineering, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal,
INDIA

ABSTRACT. In this work, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of second-order half-linear delay differential equation of the form

$$(r(x')^\gamma)'(t) + q(t)x^\alpha(\tau(t)) = 0.$$

Under the assumption $\int_0^\infty (r(\eta))^{-1/\gamma} d\eta = \infty$, we consider the two cases when $\gamma > \alpha$ and $\gamma < \alpha$. Further, some illustrative examples showing applicability of the new results are included, and state an open problem.

1. Introduction

The main feature of this article is having an oscillation condition that is necessary and sufficient at the same time. We mainly consider the following second-order half-linear delay differential equation

$$(r(x')^\gamma)'(t) + q(t)x^\alpha(\tau(t)) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

by considering two cases: $\gamma > \alpha$ and $\gamma < \alpha$. We suppose that the following assumptions hold:

- (A1) γ and α are the quotient of two odd positive integers, $r, q \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ with $r(t) > 0$ and q is not identically zero eventually, $\tau \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\tau(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq t_0$, $\tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- (A2) $r(t) > 0$ and $\int_0^\infty (r(\eta))^{-1/\gamma} d\eta = \infty$. Letting $R(t) = \int_0^t (r(\eta))^{-1/\gamma} d\eta$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R(t) = \infty$.

© 2020 Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10, 35K40, 34K11.

Keywords: oscillation, non-oscillation, delay, linear, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NC-ND4.0 International Public License.

Initially single delay has taken and in the later section one can see the effect for several delays. As example of function satisfying (A2), we have

$$r(t) = e^{-t} \quad \text{or} \quad r(t) = 1.$$

The interest in the study of functional differential equations comes from their applications to engineering and natural sciences. Equations involving arguments that are delayed, advanced or a combination of both arise in models such as the lossless transmission lines in high speed computers that interconnect switching circuits. Moreover, delay differential equations play an important role in modelling virtually every physical, technical, and biological process, from celestial motion, to bridge design, to interactions between neurons.

In what follows, we provide some background details regarding the study of oscillation of second-order differential equations which motivated our study. Brands [5] showed that for bounded delays, the solutions to

$$x''(t) + q(t)x(t - \tau(t)) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

are oscillatory if and only if solutions to $x''(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0$ are oscillatory. Recently, Chatzarakis et al. [6] have established sufficient conditions for the oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of second-order half-linear differential equations of the form

$$(r(x')^\alpha)'(t) + q(t)y^\alpha(\tau(t)) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In another paper, Chatzarakis et al. [7] have considered (1.3) and established new oscillation criteria for (1.3). Fisnarova and Marik [10] considered the half-linear differential equation

$$(r(t)\Phi(z'(t)))' + c(t)\Phi(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \quad z(t) = x(t) + b(t)x(\tau(t)),$$

where $\Phi(t) = |t|^{p-2}t$, $p \geq 2$. Karpuz and Santra [13] have established sufficient conditions for oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the equation

$$\left[r(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)))' \right]' + \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(t)G_i(x(\tau_i(t))) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Wong [23] studied necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions to

$$(x(t) + px(t - \tau))'' + q(t)H(x(t - \sigma)) = 0,$$

where the constant p satisfies $-1 < p < 0$.

Oscillation criteria for second-order delay differential equations have been reported in [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22]. Note that most publications consider only sufficient conditions, and just a few of them consider necessary and sufficient conditions.

By a solution to the equation (1.1), we mean a function $x \in C([T_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, where $T_x \geq t_0$, such that $rx' \in C^1([T_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, and satisfies (1.1) on the interval $[T_x, \infty)$. A solution x of (1.1) is said to be proper if x is not identically zero eventually, i.e., $\sup\{|x(t)| : t \geq T\} > 0$ for all $T \geq T_x$. We assume that (1.1) possesses such solutions. A solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $[T_x, \infty)$; otherwise, it is said to be non-oscillatory. (1.1) itself is said to be oscillatory if all of its solutions are oscillatory.

2. Main results

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold. If x is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), then x satisfies*

$$x'(t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (r(x')^\gamma)'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all large } t. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Since $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $x(t) > 0$ and $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. From (1.1), it follows that

$$(r(x')^\gamma)'(t) = -q(t)x^\alpha(\tau(t)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, $(r(x')^\gamma)(t)$ is non-increasing. We claim that $(r(x')^\gamma)(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. On the contrary, assume that $(r(x')^\gamma)(t) \leq 0$ for some $t \geq t_0$, then we can find $t^* \geq t_0$ and $\kappa_1 > 0$ such that $(r(x')^\gamma)(t) \leq -\kappa_1$ for all $t \geq t^*$. Integrating the inequality $x'(t) \leq (-\kappa_1/r(t))^{1/\gamma}$, from t^* to t ($t > t^*$), by (A2) we obtain

$$x(t) \leq x(t^*) - \kappa_1^{1/\gamma} \int_{t^*}^t (r(\eta))^{-1/\gamma} d\eta \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts $x(t)$ being a positive solution. So, $(r(x')^\gamma)(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Since $r(t) \geq 0$, then $x'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. □

2.1. The Case $\gamma > \alpha$.

In this subsection, we assume that there exists a constant β such that $0 < \alpha < \beta < \gamma$ and

$$u^{\alpha-\beta} \geq v^{\alpha-\beta}, \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq v. \quad (2.3)$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that all conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Then there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for $t \geq t_1$, the following holds*

$$x(t) \leq \kappa^{1/\gamma} R(t) \quad (2.4)$$

$$(R(t) - R(t_1)) \left[\int_t^\infty q(\zeta) (\kappa^{1/\gamma} R(\tau(\zeta)))^{\alpha-\beta} x^\beta(\tau(\zeta)) d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} \leq x(t). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $r(t)(x'(t))^\gamma$ is positive and non-increasing. Then there exists

$$\kappa > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_1 \geq t_0$$

such that

$$r(t)(x'(t))^\gamma \leq \kappa.$$

Integrating the inequality $x'(t) \leq (\kappa/r(t))^{1/\gamma}$, we have

$$x(t) \leq x(t_1) + \kappa^{1/\gamma}(R(t) - R(t_1)).$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R(t) = \infty$, then the last inequality becomes that

$$x(t) \leq \kappa^{1/\gamma}R(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1,$$

which is (2.4). Note that κ depends on the solution x evaluated at a time t_0 . Thus, the condition (2.7) must include all possible κ 's.

By (2.4) and the assumption (2.3), we have

$$x^\alpha(\tau(t)) = x^{\alpha-\beta}(\tau(t))x^\beta(\tau(t)) \geq (\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(t)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(t)).$$

Integrating (1.1) from t to ∞ , we have

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} [(r(x')^\gamma)(\eta)]_t^A + \int_t^\infty q(\eta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\eta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) \, d\eta \leq 0.$$

Using that $(r(x')^\gamma)(t)$ is positive and non-increasing, we have

$$\int_t^\infty q(\eta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\eta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) \, d\eta \leq (r(x')^\gamma)(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

Therefore,

$$x'(t) \geq \left[\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^\infty q(\eta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\eta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) \, d\eta \right]^{1/\gamma}. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $x(t) \geq 0$. Integrating (2.6) from t_1 to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\zeta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} \, d\eta \\ &\geq (R(t) - R(t_1)) \left[\int_t^\infty q(\zeta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\zeta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.5). □

THEOREM 2.1. *Under assumptions (A1) and (A2), every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if*

$$\int_0^{\infty} q(\eta)R^\alpha(\tau(\eta))d\eta = +\infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. To prove sufficiency by contradiction, assume that x is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality we may assume that $x(t)$ is eventually positive. Then Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 hold for $t \geq t_1$. So,

$$x(t) > (R(t) - R(t_1))w^{1/\gamma}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1,$$

where

$$w(t) = \int_t^{\infty} q(\zeta)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(\zeta)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(\zeta))d\zeta \geq 0.$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R(t) = \infty$, there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$, such that $R(t) - R(t_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}R(t)$ for $t \geq t_2$. Then

$$x(t) > \frac{1}{2}(t)w^{1/\gamma}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2, \quad \text{and} \quad x^\beta/(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R)^\beta \geq w^{\beta/\gamma}/(2\kappa^{1/\gamma})^\beta.$$

Taking the derivative of w we have

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= -q(t)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(t)))^{\alpha-\beta}x^\beta(\tau(t)) \\ &\leq -q(t)(\kappa^{1/\gamma}R(\tau(t)))^\alpha w^{\beta/\gamma}(\tau(t))(2\kappa^{1/\gamma})^{-\beta} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $w(t)$ is non-increasing so $w^{\beta/\gamma}(\tau(t))/w^{\beta/\gamma}(t) \geq 1$, and $(w^{1-\beta/\gamma}(t))' = (1 - \beta/\gamma)w^{-\beta/\gamma}(t)w'(t) \leq -(1 - \beta/\gamma)2^{-\beta}\kappa^{(\alpha-\beta)/\gamma}q(t)R^\alpha(\tau(t))$.

Integrating this inequality from t_2 to t , we have

$$[w^{1-\beta/\gamma}(\eta)]_{t_2}^t \leq -(1 - \beta/\gamma)2^{-\beta}\kappa^{(\alpha-\beta)/\gamma} \int_{t_2}^t q(\eta)R^\alpha(\tau(\eta))d\eta.$$

Since $\beta/\gamma < 1$ and $w(t)$ is positive and non-increasing, we have

$$\int_{t_2}^t q(\eta)R^\alpha(\tau(\eta))d\eta \leq \frac{2^\beta\kappa^{(\beta-\alpha)/\gamma}}{(1 - \beta/\gamma)}w^{1-\beta/\gamma}(t_2).$$

This contradicts (2.7) and proves the oscillation of all solutions.

Next, we show that (2.7) is necessary. Suppose that (2.7) does not hold; so for some $\lambda > 0$ the integral in (2.7) is finite. Then there exists $T \geq t_0$ such that

$$\int_T^{\infty} q(\eta)R^\alpha(\tau(\eta))d\eta \leq \frac{\lambda^{1-\alpha/\gamma}}{2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us consider the closed subset of continuous functions

$$M = \left\{ x \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : x(t) = 0 \text{ for } t_0 \leq t < T \text{ and } \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)] \leq x(t) \leq \lambda^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)] \text{ for } t \geq T \right\}.$$

Then we define the operator $\Phi : M \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(\Phi x)(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 \leq t < T, \\ \int_T^t \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} + \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta) x^\alpha(\tau(\zeta)) d\zeta \right] \right]^{1/\gamma} d\eta, & t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

For $x \in M$ and $t \geq T$, we have

$$(\Phi x)(t) \geq \int_T^t \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \frac{\lambda}{2} \right]^{1/\gamma} d\eta = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)].$$

For $x \in M$ and $t \geq T$, we have $x(t) \leq \lambda^{1/\gamma} R(t)$ and $x^\alpha(\tau(t)) \leq (\lambda^{1/\gamma} R(\tau(t)))^\alpha$. Then using (2.8) we have

$$(\Phi x)(t) \leq \int_T^t \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \right]^{1/\gamma} d\eta = \lambda^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)].$$

Thus, $\Phi x \in M$. Let us define now a sequence of continuous function $v_n : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the recursive formula

$$v_1(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [t_0, T) \\ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)], & t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

$$v_n(t) = (\Phi v_{n-1})(t) \text{ for } n > 1.$$

By induction, it is easy to verify that for $n > 1$,

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)] \leq v_{n-1}(t) \leq v_n(t) \leq \lambda^{1/\gamma} [R(t) - R(T)].$$

Therefore, the point-wise limit of the sequence exists. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = v(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem $v \in M$ and $(\Phi v)(t) = v(t)$, where $v(t)$ is a solution of equation (1.1) on $[T, \infty)$. Hence, (2.7) is a necessary condition. This completes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the delay differential equation

$$(e^{-t}(x'(t))^{3/5})' + (t+1)(x(t-2))^{1/3} = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Here

$$\gamma = 3/5, \quad \alpha = 1/3, \quad r(t) = e^{-t}, \quad \tau(t) = t-2, \quad R(t) = \int_0^t e^{5s/3} ds = \frac{3}{5}(e^{5t/3} - 1).$$

For $\beta = 1/2$, we have

$$0 < \alpha < \beta < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\alpha-\beta} = u^{-1/6}$$

which is a decreasing function. To check (2.7) we have

$$\int_0^\infty q(\eta)R^\alpha(\tau(\eta))d\eta = \int_0^\infty (\eta + 1) \left(\frac{3}{5} (e^{5(\eta-2)/3} - 1) \right)^{1/3} d\eta = \infty,$$

because the integrand approaches $+\infty$ as $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$. So that all the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 hold. Thus, every solution of (2.9) oscillates.

2.2. For the Case $\gamma < \alpha$.

In this subsection, we assume that there exists $\alpha > \beta > \gamma > 0$ such that

$$u^{\alpha-\beta} \leq v^{\alpha-\beta}, \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq v. \tag{2.10}$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Assume that all conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Then there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for $t \geq t_1$, the following holds:*

$$x^\alpha(\tau(t)) \geq \kappa^{\alpha-\beta} x^\beta(\tau(t)). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, it follows that $x'(t) > 0$, so x is increasing and $x(t) \geq x(t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$. Thus

$$x(\tau(t)) \geq x(\tau(t_0)) := \kappa > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1 := t_0.$$

From (2.10), we have

$$x^\alpha(\tau(t)) = x^{\alpha-\beta}(\tau(t))x^\beta(\tau(t)) \geq \kappa^{\alpha-\beta} x^\beta(\tau(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1,$$

which is (2.11). □

THEOREM 2.2. *Under assumptions (A1), (A2) and $r'(t) \geq 0$, every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if*

$$\int_T^\infty \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta) d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} d\eta = +\infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. To prove sufficiency by contradiction, assume that x is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality we may assume that $x(t)$ is eventually positive. Then Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 hold for $t \geq t_1$. Using (2.11) in (1.1) and then integrating the final inequality from t to ∞ , we have

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \left[(r(x')^\gamma)'(\eta) \right]_t^A + \kappa^{\alpha-\beta} \int_t^\infty q(\eta)x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) d\eta \leq 0.$$

Using that $(r(x')^\gamma)(t)$ is positive and non-increasing, and $r'(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$\kappa^{\alpha-\beta} \int_t^\infty q(\eta) x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) \, d\eta \leq (r(x')^\gamma)(t) \leq (r(x')^\gamma)(\tau(t)) \leq r(t) (x'(\tau(t)))^\gamma$$

for all $t \geq t_1$. Therefore,

$$\kappa^{(\alpha-\beta)/\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^\infty q(\eta) x^\beta(\tau(\eta)) \, d\eta \right]^{1/\gamma} \leq x'(\tau(t))$$

implies that

$$\kappa^{(\alpha-\beta)/\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^\infty q(\eta) \, d\eta \right]^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{x'(\tau(t))}{x^{\beta/\gamma}(\tau(t))}. \tag{2.13}$$

Integrating (2.13) from t_1 to ∞ , we have

$$\kappa^{(\alpha-\beta)/\gamma} \int_{t_1}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} \, d\eta \leq \frac{x^{1-\beta/\gamma}(\tau(t_1))}{\beta/\gamma - 1} < \infty.$$

This contradicts (2.12) and proves the oscillation of all solutions.

Next, we show that (2.12) is necessary. Suppose that (2.12) does not hold; so for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists $T \geq t_0$ such that

$$\int_T^\infty \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} \, d\eta \leq \frac{\lambda^{1-\alpha/\gamma}}{2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let us consider the closed subset of continuous functions

$$M = \left\{ x \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : x(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{ for } t \in [t_0, T) \text{ and } \frac{\lambda}{2} \leq x(t) \leq \lambda \text{ for } t \geq T \right\}.$$

Then we define the operator $\Phi : M \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(\Phi x)(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda/2, & t_0 \leq t < T \\ \lambda/2 + \int_T^t \left[\frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_\eta^\infty q(\zeta) x^\alpha(\tau(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} \, d\eta & t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

Note that for $x \in M$, we have $(\Phi x)(t) \geq \lambda/2$. Also for $x \in M$ and $t \geq T$, we have $x(t) \leq \lambda$ and by (2.14), $(\Phi x)(t) \leq \lambda$. Therefore, $\Phi x \in M$. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1, the mapping Φ has a fixed point $v \in M$; that is, $(\Phi v)(t) = v(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$. It can be easily verified that $u(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), such that $\lambda/2 \leq v(t) \leq \lambda$ for $t \geq T$. Thus, we have a non-oscillatory solution to (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 2.2. Consider the delay differential equation

$$((x'(t))^{1/5})' + (t+1)(x(t-2))^{5/3} = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Here

$$\gamma = 1/5, \quad \alpha = 5/3, \quad \tau(t) = t - 2.$$

For $\beta = 4/3$, we have

$$\alpha > \beta > \gamma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\alpha-\beta} = u^{1/3}$$

which is an increasing function. The integral in (2.12) is equal to

$$\int_2^\infty \left[\int_\eta^\infty (\zeta + 1) d\zeta \right]^5 d\eta = \infty.$$

So, all the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 hold. Thus, every solution of (2.15) oscillates.

3. Final Comment

In this section, we will be giving one remark and two examples to conclude the paper.

Remark 3.1. The results of this paper also hold for equations of the form

$$(r(x')^\gamma)'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(t)x^{\alpha_i}(\tau_i(t)) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where r, q_i, α_i, τ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) satisfy the assumptions in (A1) and (A2), (2.3) and (2.10). In order to extend Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, there exists an index i such that q_i, α_i, τ_i fulfils (2.7) and (2.12).

We finalize the paper by presenting two examples, which show how Remark 3.1 can be applied.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Consider the delay differential equation

$$(e^{-t}(x'(t))^{3/5})' + \frac{1}{t+1}(x(t-2))^{1/3} + \frac{1}{t+2}(x(t-1))^{1/5} = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Here

$$\gamma = 3/5, \quad r(t) = e^{-t}, \quad \tau_1(t) = t - 2, \quad \tau_2(t) = t - 1,$$

$$R(t) = \int_0^t e^{5s/3} ds = \frac{3}{5}(e^{5t/3} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$\alpha_1 = 1/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = 1/5.$$

For $\beta = 1/2$, we have

$$0 < \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_2 < \beta < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\alpha_1-\beta} = u^{-1/6} \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\alpha_2-\beta} = u^{-3/10}$$

which both are decreasing functions.

To check (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(\eta) R^{\alpha_i}(\tau_i(\eta)) d\eta &\geq \int_0^\infty q_1(\eta) R^{\alpha_1}(\tau_1(\eta)) d\eta \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\eta+1} \left(\frac{3}{5} (e^{5(\eta-2)/3} - 1) \right)^{1/3} d\eta \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because the integrand approaches $+\infty$ as $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$. So that all the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 hold. Thus, every solution of (3.2) oscillates.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider the delay differential equation

$$((x'(t))^{3/5})' + t(x(t-2))^{5/3} + (t+1)(x(t-1))^{7/3} = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Here

$$\gamma = 3/5, \quad \tau_1(t) = t - 2, \quad \tau_2(t) = t - 1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$\alpha_1 = 5/3 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = 7/3.$$

For $\beta = 4/3$, we have

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > \beta > \gamma > 0, \quad u^{\alpha_1-\beta} = u^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\alpha_2-\beta} = u$$

which both are increasing functions. Clearly, all the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 hold. Thus, every solution of (3.3) oscillates.

OPEN PROBLEM. From this article and from [3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 19] we have a common question: Can we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions to second-order differential equation

$$\left[r(t)((x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)))')^\gamma \right]' + \sum_{i=1}^m q_i(t)x^{\alpha_i}(\tau_i(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})?$$

REFERENCES

[1] AGARWAL, R. P.—BERZANSKY, L.—BRAVERMAN, E.—DOMOSHNIISKY, A.: *Nonoscillation theory of functional differential equations with applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.

[2] BACULÍKOVÁ, B.—LI, T.—DŽURINA, J.: *Oscillation theorems for second order neutral differential equations*, Elect. J. Qual. Theory. Differ. Equ. (74), (2011), 1–13.

[3] BACULÍKOVÁ, B.—DŽURINA, J.: *Oscillation theorems for second order neutral differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011), 94–99.

CONDITIONS FOR OSCILLATION OF SECOND-ORDER DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQU.

- [4] BACULÍKOVÁ, B.—DŽURINA, J.: *Oscillation theorems for second-order nonlinear neutral differential equations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2011), 4472–4478.
- [5] BRANDS, J. J. M. S.: *Oscillation theorems for second-order functional-differential equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **63** (1978), no. 1, 54–64.
- [6] CHATZARAKIS, G. E.—DŽURINA, J.—JADLOVSKÁ, I.: *New oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear advanced differential equations*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **347** (2019), 404–416.
- [7] CHATZARAKIS, G. E.—JADLOVSKÁ, I.: *Improved oscillation results for second-order half-linear delay differential equations*, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **48** (2019), no. 1, 170–179.
- [8] CHATZARAKIS, G. E.—DŽURINA, J.—JADLOVSKÁ, I.: *A remark on oscillatory results for neutral differential equations*, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **90** (2019), 124–130.
- [9] DŽURINA, J.: *Oscillation theorems for second order advanced neutral differential equations*, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **48** (2011), 61–71. (DOI:10.2478/v10127-011-0006-4)
- [10] FIŠNAROVÁ, S.—MAŘÍK, R.: *Oscillation of neutral second order half-linear differential equations without commutativity in delays*, *Math. Slovaca*, **67** (2017), no. 3, 701–718.
- [11] GYÖRI, I.—LADAS, G.: *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1991.
- [12] HASANBULLI, M.—ROGOVCHENKO, Y. V.: *Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear neutral differential equations*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **215** (2010), 4392–4399.
- [13] KARPUZ, B.—SANTRA, S. S.: ; *Oscillation theorems for second-order nonlinear delay differential equations of neutral type*, *Haceteppe J. Math. Stat.* **48** (2019), no. 3, 633–643.
- [14] LADDE, G. S. —V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V.—ZHANG, B. G.: *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1987.
- [15] LI, Q—WANG, R.—CHEN, F.—LI, T.: *Oscillation of second-order nonlinear delay differential equations with nonpositive neutral coefficients*, *Adv. Difference. Equ.* **35** (2015), p. 7. (DOI 10.1186/s13662-015-0377-y)
- [16] LIU, Y.—ZHANGA, J.—YAN, J.: *Existence of oscillatory solutions of second order delay differential equations*, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **277** (2015), 17–22.
- [17] PINELAS, S.—SANTRA S. S.: *Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of nonlinear neutral first order differential equations with several delays*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **20** (2018), no. 1, 1–13. (Article Id. 27)
- [18] SANTRA S. S.: *Existence of positive solution and new oscillation criteria for nonlinear first-order neutral delay differential equations*, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **8** (2016), no. 1, 33–51.
- [19] SANTRA S. S.: *Oscillation analysis for nonlinear neutral differential equations of second order with several delays*, *Mathematica* **59**(82) (2017), no. (1–2), 111–123.
- [20] SANTRA S. S.: *Oscillation analysis for nonlinear neutral differential equations of second order with several delays and forcing term*, *Mathematica* **61**(84) (2019), no. 1, 63–78.
- [21] SANTRA S. S.: *Necessary and sufficient condition for the solutions of first-order neutral differential equations to be oscillatory or tend to Zero*, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **59** (2019), 73–82.

SHYAM SUNDAR SANTRA

- [22] SANTRA, S.S.: *Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of second-order functional differential equations*, Krag. J. Math. **44** (2020), no. 3, 459–473.
- [23] WONG, J.S.W.: *Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of second order neutral differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **252** (2000), no. 1, 342–352.

Received March 30, 2019

Department of Mathematics
JIS College of Engineering
Kalyani - 741235
Nadia, West Bengal
INDIA
E-mail: shyam01.math@gmail.com