

STRICT DENSITY TOPOLOGY OF THE PLANE. CATEGORY CASE

MAŁGORZATA FILIPCZAK — WŁADYŚŁAW WILCZYŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We study the properties of category density topology of the plane generated by a restricted convergence in the category of double sequences of characteristic functions

$$\{\chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0))) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and more interesting topology generated by a strict convergence in the category of the same sequences, which is a natural modification of a previous one. Similar problems for measure density were considered in [M. Filipczak, W. Wilczyński: *Strict density topology on the plane. Measure case* (in preparation)].

It is well-known that if (X, S, μ) is a finite measure space, then the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of S -measurable real functions defined on X converges in measure to a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if for each increasing sequence $\{n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers there exists a subsequence $\{n_{m_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{f_{n_{m_p}}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f μ -almost everywhere. From the above it follows that the convergence in measure can be described in terms of σ -algebra S and σ -ideal of μ -null sets (without the measure μ itself). Suppose now that (X, τ) is a topological space, $\mathcal{B} \subset 2^X$ is a σ -algebra of the sets having the Baire property, and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ a σ -ideal of the sets of first category. We will say that the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{B} -measurable (having the Baire property) real functions defined on X converges to a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in category if and only if for each increasing sequence $\{n_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers there exists a subsequence $\{n_{m_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{f_{n_{m_p}}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f except on a set of first category (in abbr. \mathcal{I} -a.e.). This kind of convergence has been studied in [W]. In the sequel, we will use the convergence in category when $X = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{R}^2 , in both cases equipped with the natural topology.

The classical density topology has been described in [GNN]. For the convenience of the reader, we will recall basic definition and properties of this topology (compare [O, Chapter 22]).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54A10; Secondary 54D10, 54E52.
 Keywords: category density of the plane, convergence in the restricted sense, separation axioms.

Let \mathcal{L} be a σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} and λ —a linear Lebesgue measure. A point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a density point of a set $A \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(A \cap [x_0 - h, x_0 + h])}{2h} = 1.$$

If $\Phi(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is a density point of } A\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}$, then the operator $\Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ has the following properties:

- 1) for each $A \in \mathcal{L}$, $\lambda(A \triangle \Phi(A)) = 0$ (the Lebesgue Density Theorem),
- 2) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$, if $\lambda(A \triangle B) = 0$, then $\Phi(A) = \Phi(B)$,
- 3) $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\Phi(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$,
- 4) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$, $\Phi(A \cap B) = \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B)$.

Moreover, the family $\mathcal{T}_d = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$ is a topology of the real line stronger than the natural topology (\mathcal{T}_d is called the density topology). Observe that $\mathcal{T}_d \subset \mathcal{L}$ is closed under arbitrary unions, while \mathcal{L} is only a σ -algebra. Observe also that in fact $\Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which follows immediately from LDT.

In [PWW], it was observed that the definition of a density point can be formulated in terms of the convergence in measure. Indeed, if we denote $n \cdot A = \{nt : t \in A\}$ and $A - x = \{t - x : t \in A\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, then the following conditions are equivalent for $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$:

- a) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(A \cap [x_0 - h, x_0 + h])}{2h} = 1$,
- b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(A \cap [x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n}])}{2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}} = 1$,
- c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n \cdot (A - x_0) \cap [-1, 1]) = 2$,
- d) a sequence $\{\chi_{(n \cdot (A - x_0) \cap [-1, 1])}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of characteristic functions converges in measure to $\chi_{[-1, 1]}$.

Since the convergence in measure (as we observed earlier) can be formulated without using the measure, it follows that the definition of a density point requires only to take subsequences from subsequences of the sequence of characteristic functions of expanded and translated sets. This observation was the starting point in [PWW] for the construction of a category analogue of the density topology of the real line. Namely, a point x_0 is an \mathcal{I} -density point of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ having the Baire property if and only if the sequence of characteristic functions described in d) converges in category to $\chi_{[-1, 1]}$. The \mathcal{I} -density topology is defined as $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}} = \{A \in \mathcal{B} : A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(A)\}$, where $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$ denotes the set of all \mathcal{I} -density points of A .

In this paper, we present a category density-type topology of the plane related to the strong \mathcal{I} -density topology considered in [CW]. To make the text more self-explaining, we start with the definition of the strong density topology in \mathbb{R}^2 and its category analogue.

Let \mathcal{L}_2 be a σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 and λ_2 —a two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. We say that $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a strong density point of $A \in \mathcal{L}_2$ if and only if

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0^+ \\ k \rightarrow 0^+}} \frac{\lambda_2(A \cap ([x_0 - h, x_0 + h] \times [y_0 - k, y_0 + k]))}{4hk} = 1.$$

It is known that if $\Phi_s(A) = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \text{ is a strong density point of } A\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}_2$, then the operator $\Phi_s: \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$ has the following properties:

- 1) for each $A \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $\lambda_2(A \Delta \Phi_s(A)) = 0$ (the Lebesgue Density Theorem, see [S, p. 129]),
- 2) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_2$, if $\lambda_2(A \Delta B) = 0$, then $\Phi_s(A) = \Phi_s(B)$,
- 3) $\Phi_s(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\Phi_s(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$,
- 4) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $\Phi_s(A \cap B) = \Phi_s(A) \cap \Phi_s(B)$.

Moreover, the family $\mathcal{T}_s = \{A \in \mathcal{L}_2 : A \subset \Phi_s(A)\}$ is a topology stronger than the natural topology of the plane called the strong density topology (compare [GNN]).

For our purposes, it will be more convenient to use the following (equivalent) definition of a strong density point (compare [FW]): $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a strong density point of $A \in \mathcal{L}_2$ if and only if

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\lambda_2(A \cap ([x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n}] \times [y_0 - \frac{1}{m}, y_0 + \frac{1}{m}]))}{4 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{m}} = 1.$$

The following observation will also be useful: a double sequence of real numbers $\{s_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to g if and only if for all increasing sequences of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k, m_k} = g$.

Let now \mathcal{B}_2 be a σ -algebra of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 having the Baire property and \mathcal{I}_2 —a σ -ideal of first category subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . We shall use the following denotation: $(n, m) \cdot A = \{(nx, my) : (x, y) \in A\}$ and $A - (x_0, y_0) = \{(x - x_0, y - y_0) : (x, y) \in A\}$ for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We say (compare [CW]) that $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density point of $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if and only if a sequence $\{f_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $f_{n,m} = \chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]))}$, converges in category to $\chi_{[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]}$. We will use the following denotation:

$$\chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]))} \xrightarrow[n, m \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi_{[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]}.$$

Observe that it means that for all increasing sequences $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{f_{n_{k_p}, m_{k_p}}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\chi_{[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]}$ \mathcal{I}_2 -a.e. If $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A) = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \text{ is a strong } \mathcal{I}_2\text{-density point of } A\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then the operator $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}: \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$ has the following properties (see [CW]):

- 1) for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $A \triangle \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A) \in \mathcal{I}_2$,
- 2) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, if $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(B)$,
- 3) $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$,
- 4) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(B)$.

Also, the family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_2 : A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A)\}$ is a topology stronger than the natural topology in the plane ($\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s}$ is called the strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density topology).

In [Ch, p. 18] one can find the following definition: a double sequence

$$\{s_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

of real numbers converges in the restricted sense to s if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|s_{n,m} - s| < \epsilon$ whenever $n + m \geq n_0$. It is not difficult to observe that the above condition is equivalent to the conjunction of the following three conditions:

- a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,m} = s$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- b) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_{n,m} = s$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- c) $\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} s_{n,m} = s$.

The convergence in the restricted sense of the mean density of A on rectangles has been used for the construction of topologies in [FW].

It is natural also to consider the convergence in the restricted sense of a double sequence of real functions. Using the above observations, we will introduce the following definition:

DEFINITION 1. We say that a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a point of restricted \mathcal{I}_2 -density of a set $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if and only if:

- 1^o for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0))) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi_{[-1,1] \times [-1,1]}$,
- 2^o for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0))) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])} \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi_{[-1,1] \times [-1,1]}$,
- 3^o $\chi_{((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0))) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])} \xrightarrow[n, m \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi_{[-1,1] \times [-1,1]}$.

We say that a point (x_0, y_0) is a point of restricted \mathcal{I}_2 -dispersion of a set $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if and only if it is a point of restricted \mathcal{I}_2 -density of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$.

EXAMPLE 1. There exists a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ having the Baire property such that $(0, 0)$ is a point of restricted \mathcal{I}_2 -dispersion of A and for any $h > 0$, the set $A \cap ([-h, h] \times [-h, h])$ is of second category.

Let

$$A = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x, 0 \leq y, x \leq y \leq x + x^2\}.$$

For any fixed m and $n > m$,

$$(n, m) \cdot A \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]) = \left\{ (x, y) \in ([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) : \frac{m}{n}x \leq y \leq \frac{m}{n} \left(x + \frac{x^2}{n} \right) \right\}.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((n \cdot m) \cdot A \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])) = \{(0, 0)\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$, and

$$\chi((n, m) \cdot A \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi\phi.$$

It means that the set $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$ fulfills condition 1°. In an analogous way, we check that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$ fulfills 2°.

We will prove that $(0, 0)$ is a strong \mathcal{I}_2 -dispersion point of A .

Take two increasing sequences $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. We want to show that there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} ((n_{k_p}, m_{k_p}) \cdot A) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]) \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Observe that there exists a sequence $\{k_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that either

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{k_p}}{n_{k_p}} = a \in (0, \infty), \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{k_p}}{n_{k_p}} = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{k_p}}{n_{k_p}} = +\infty.$$

In the first case, we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} ((n_{k_p}, m_{k_p}) \cdot A) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]) \subset \left\{ (x, ax) : x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{a}\right] \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Indeed, the Hausdorff distance between the set

$$((n_{k_p}, m_{k_p}) \cdot A) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ (x, ax) : x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{a}\right] \right\}$$

tends to zero when p tends to infinity. Similarly, one can prove that in the second and the third case, we have

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} ((n_{k_p}, m_{k_p}) \cdot A) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]) \subset \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Thus, the set $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$ fulfils 3°.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $B = \{(x, y) : x^2 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{|x|}\}$ and $A = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B$. It is easy to verify that conditions 1° and 2° are fulfilled for $(0, 0)$ while $(0, 0)$ is not a strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density point of A .

Let $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \text{ is a restricted } \mathcal{I}_2\text{-density point of } A\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$. We observe at once that $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Remark 1. Observe also that condition 1^o holds if and only if

$$\chi((n,1) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1,1] \times [-1,1].$$

The necessity is obvious. To prove the sufficiency, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$. To simplify the denotations, put

$$f_{n,m} = \chi((n,m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])).$$

Then, we have

$$f_{n,1} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1,1] \times [-1,1],$$

so

$$f_{n,1} \chi[-1,1] \times [-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1,1] \times [-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}].$$

However,

$$f_{n,m}(x, y) = f_{n,1}\left(x, \frac{y}{m}\right) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1],$$

so

$$f_{n,m} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1,1] \times [-1,1].$$

The same remark concerns 2^o.

THEOREM 1. *The operator $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r} : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$ has the following properties:*

- 2) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, if $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(B)$,
- 3) $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$,
- 4) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(B)$.

The proof is straightforward. □

Remark 2. $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}((-\infty, 1] \times \mathbb{R}) = (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}$, so the analogon of LDT does not hold.

THEOREM 2. *The family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_2 : A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A)\}$ is a topology.*

Proof. \emptyset and \mathbb{R}^2 belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ by virtue of 3. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ is closed under finite intersections by virtue of 4. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$, then also $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s}$, because $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Hence, $\cup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s} \subset \mathcal{B}_2$. Since $A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have also $A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(\cup \mathcal{A})$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, because $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ is monotone (which follows immediately from 4)). Finally, $\cup \mathcal{A} \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(\cup \mathcal{A})$, which finishes the proof. □

THEOREM 3. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_1(A) \\ &= \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \chi((n,1) \cdot (A - (x, y)) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1])) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1,1] \times [-1,1] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_2(A) = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \chi_{((1,m) \cdot (A - (x,y)) \cap ([-1,1] \times [-1,1]))} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi_{[-1,1] \times [-1,1]} \right\}.$$

Since $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) = \Psi_1(A) \cap \Psi_2(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_s}(A)$, it is sufficient to prove that $\Psi_1(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and $\Psi_2(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$. We shall prove this for Ψ_1 , the proof for Ψ_2 remains being similar.

If $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$, where G is a regular open set in the natural topology in \mathbb{R}^2 , $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$. It is easy to check that $\Psi_1(A) = \Psi_1(G)$, so it is sufficient to prove that $\Psi_1(G) \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Let $G_1 = \text{Int}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G)$. We have $\mathbb{R}^2 = G \cup \text{Fr } G \cup G_1$ (a disjoint union).

For any square $Q = (a, b) \times (c, d)$ we will denote by Q^* the rectangle

$$(a, b) \times (c - 1, d + 1).$$

Let $G_2 = \cup Q^*$, where the union is taken over all squares $Q \subset G_1$. Obviously, G_2 is an open set. We will show that $\Psi_1(G) \cap G_2 = \emptyset$.

Fix a point $(x, y) \in G_2$. There exists a square $Q = (a, b) \times (c, d) \subset G_1$ such that $(x, y) \in Q^*$. There are three cases: $d \leq y < d + 1$, $c < y < d$ or $c - 1 < y \leq c$. If $d < y < d + 1$, then $d - y > -1$, and

$$(n, 1) \cdot (G - (x, y)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times (-1, d - y)) = \emptyset$$

for sufficiently big n (such that $\frac{1}{n} < \min\{b - x, x - a\}$). Hence (in the denotation of Remark 1), the sequence $\{f_{n,1}\}$ does not converge in category to $\chi_{[-1,1] \times [-1,1]}$ and $(x, y) \notin \Psi_1(G)$.

If $c < y < d$ then, for sufficiently big n ,

$$(n, 1) \cdot (G - (x, y)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times (c - y, d - y)) = \emptyset$$

and again, $(x, y) \notin \Psi_1(G)$. The third case is analogous to the first one.

Let $G_3 = \text{Int}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_2)$. Since $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_1 = G \cup \text{Fr } G = \overline{G}$ and G is a regular open set, we have $G_3 \subset G$. Obviously, G_3 is an open set, so for any $(x, y) \in G_3$ there exists a square

$$Q = (x - h, x + h) \times (y - h, y + h) \subset G_3.$$

It is not difficult to observe that $Q^* \subset G$. From this it immediately follows that $(x, y) \in \Psi_1(G)$.

Finally, we have $G_3 \subset \Psi_1(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_2 = G_3 \cup \text{Fr } G_2$, so $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus G_2$ differs from G_3 on nowhere dense set. Hence, $\Psi_1(G) \in \mathcal{B}_2$. \square

THEOREM 4. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus P : P \in \mathcal{I}_2\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A \notin \mathcal{I}_2$. Then there exists a square $Q = (a, b) \times (c, d)$ such that $Q \cap A \in \mathcal{I}_2$.

From the proof of the previous theorem, it follows that $Q^* \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_r}(A) = \emptyset$, so also $Q^* \cap A = \emptyset$. If $Q_1 = (a, b) \times (e, f)$ is an arbitrary square included in Q^* ,

then again we have $Q_1^* \cap A = \emptyset$. Repeating this argument, we obtain that the vertical strip $(a, b) \times \mathbb{R}$ is disjoint with A . Starting from the square $(a, b) \times (c, d)$ for arbitrary $(c, d) \subset \mathbb{R}$, we similarly obtain that the horizontal strip $\mathbb{R} \times (c, d)$ is disjoint with A . Hence, $A = \emptyset$. \square

A similar result for measure has been obtained in [FW].

From the latter theorem, it follows that the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_r}$ is not very interesting. We will consider some modification of definition which leads to the topology between the natural topology and the strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density topology in \mathbb{R}^2 .

DEFINITION 2. We say that a point (x_0, y_0) is a strict \mathcal{I}_2 -density point of a set $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if and only if:

1^o there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\chi((n, m_0) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1],$$

2^o there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\chi((n_0, m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])) \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1],$$

3^o $\chi((n, m) \cdot (A - (x_0, y_0)) \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])) \xrightarrow[n, m \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{I}_2} \chi[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$.

Observe that (similarly like after the previous definition) the convergence for m_0 in 1^o implies the convergence for each $m \geq m_0$, and similarly in 2^o.

Let $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \text{ is a strict } \mathcal{I}_2\text{-density point of } A \in \mathcal{B}_2\}$.

THEOREM 5. The operator $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}} : \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$ has the following properties:

- 1) for each $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $A \triangle \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \in \mathcal{I}_2$,
- 2) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, if $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(B)$,
- 3) $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$,
- 4) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(B)$.

Proof. The proofs of 2), 3) and 4) are straightforward.

1) Let $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$, where G is open in the natural topology of \mathbb{R}^2 , P_1 and P_2 are of first category. Then, it is easy to see that $G \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \subset \overline{G}$, so $G \triangle \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \subset \overline{G} \setminus G \in \mathcal{I}_2$, and finally, $A \triangle \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ since $A \triangle G \in \mathcal{I}_2$. \square

COROLLARY. If $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Proof. It follows from 1). \square

THEOREM 6. The family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_2 : A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A)\}$ is a topology stronger than the natural topology \mathcal{T} in \mathbb{R}^2 and weaker than the strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s}$.

PROOF. \emptyset and \mathbb{R}^2 belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ by virtue of 3). The family $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ is closed with respect to finite intersections by virtue of 4). If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$, then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_s}$ and so $\cup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}_2$. From 4) it also follows that if $A \subset B$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(B)$, so $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(\cup \mathcal{A})$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Since also $A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(A)$ from the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$, we immediately have $\cup \mathcal{A} \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}(\cup \mathcal{A})$ and $\cup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$, which means that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ is closed under arbitrary unions.

The set $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$, where \mathbb{Q} stands for the set of all rational numbers, belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ but not to the natural topology in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let $E = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)$, where $b_{k+1} < a_k < b_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a set for which 0 is the right-hand \mathcal{I} -density point, $F = E \cup (-E)$ and $H = (F \times F) \cup \{(0, 0)\}$. Then, $(0, 0)$ is a strong \mathcal{I}_2 -density point of H and, since $H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is open in the natural topology on the plane, $H \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$.

On the other hand, for any fixed $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, the second category set

$$[-1, 1] \times \left(m_0 \cdot \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_{k+1}, a_k) \right) \right)$$

is disjoint from the set

$$(n, m_0) \cdot H \cap ([-1, 1] \times [-1, 1])$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, condition 1^o from Definition 2 is not fulfilled and, consequently, H does not belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$. \square

THEOREM 7. $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}})$ is a Hausdorff but not regular space.

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ is stronger than the natural topology in \mathbb{R}^2 , it is obviously Hausdorff.

The set $A = (\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$ -closed and cannot be separated from $(0, 0)$. Indeed, if $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$, $U \supset A$ and $U = (G_1 \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$, where G_1 is open in the natural topology in \mathbb{R}^2 , $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then G_1 is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 . Also, if $V \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}_{st}}$, $(0, 0) \in V$, and $V = (G_2 \setminus P_3) \cup P_4$, where G_2 is open, $P_3, P_4 \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then $G_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $G_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$, and finally $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. \square

REFERENCES

- [CW] CARRESE, R.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *I-density points of plane sets*, Ricerche Mat. **34** (1985), 147–157.
- [GNN] GOFFMAN, C.—NEUGEBAUER, C. J.—NISHIURA, T.: *Density topology and approximate continuity*, Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 497–505.
- [FW] FILIPCZAK, M.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *Strict density topology on the plane. Measure case* (in preparation).
- [O] OXToby, J. C.: *Measure and Category. A Survey of the Analogies Between Topological and Measure Spaces*, in: Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

- [PWW] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A category analogue of the density topology*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 167–173.
- [S] SAKS, S.: *Theory of the Integral* (2nd ed.), in: Monografie Matematyczne, Vol. VII, Warszawa, 1937.
- [Ch] CHELIDZE, V. G.: *Some Methods of Summation of Double series and Double Integrals*. Izdat. Tbilis. Univ., Tbilisi, 1977. (In Russian)
- [W] WAGNER, E.: *Sequences of measurable functions*, Fund. Math. **112** (1981) 89–102.

Received November 28, 2009

*Faculty of Mathematics and
Computer Science
University of Łódź
Banacha 22
PL-90-238 Łódź
POLAND
E-mail: malfil@math.uni.lodz.pl
wwil@uni.lodz.pl*