

Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **42** (2009), 11–25 DOI: 10.2478/v10127-009-0002-0

# A CATEGORY ANALOGUE OF THE GENERALIZATION OF LEBESGUE DENSITY TOPOLOGY

WOJCIECH WOJDOWSKI

ABSTRACT. A notion of  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -topology, a generalization of Wilczyński's *I*-density topology (see [Wilczyński, W.: *A generalization of the density topology*, Real. Anal. Exchange **8** (1982-1983), 16–20] is introduced. The notion is based on his reformulation of the definition od Lebesgue density point. We consider a category version of the topology, which is a category analogue of the notion of an  $\mathcal{A}_d$ density topology on the real line given in [Wojdowski, W.: *A generalization of density topology*, Real. Anal. Exchange **32** (2006/2007), 1–10]. We also discuss the properties of continuous functions with respect to the topology.

Let S be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $I \subset S$  a proper  $\sigma$ -ideal. We shall say that the sets  $A, B \in S$  are equivalent  $(A \sim B)$  if and only if  $A \triangle B \in I$ . We will denote by  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure on the real line.

Let us recall that the point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a Lebesgue density point of a measurablea a set A, if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda \left( A \cap [x - h, x + h] \right)}{2h} = 1.$$
(\*)

W. Wilczyński [W1] gave his reformulation of the notion of the density point of a measurable set A, in terms of convergence almost everywhere of the sequence of characteristic functions of dilations of a set A:

A point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue density point of a measurable set A if and only if every subsequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(n_{m}\cdot(A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\qquad\text{of}\qquad\left\{\chi_{\left(n\cdot(A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

contains a subsequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(n_{m_{p}}\cdot(A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A05, 54A10.

Keywords: density point, density topology.

which converges to  $\chi_{[-1,1]}$  almost everywhere on [-1,1] (which means except on a null set).

Wilczynski's approach relieved definition of the notion of a measure. His definition requires only null sets. Instead of the notion of convergence in measure of a sequence of measurable functions, he uses the convergence almost everywhere. This opened a new space for studying of more subtle properties of the notion of the Lebesgue density point and density topology, their various modifications, and, most of all, the category analogues (see [PWW1], [PWW2], [CLO]).

The reformulated definition could be considered in more general settings as follows:

A point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is an *I*-density point of a set  $A \in S$ , if every subsequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(n_{m}\cdot(A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\qquad\text{of}\qquad\left\{\chi_{\left(n\cdot(A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

contains a subsequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(n_{m_p}\cdot (A-x)\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}},$$

which converges to  $\chi_{[-1,1]}$  *I*-almost everywhere on [-1,1] (which means except from a set belonging to *I*).

In [PWW2, Corollary 1, p. 556] in the category case, and in [W2] in the measure case, it is proved that the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. x is an *I*-density point of a set  $A \in S$ .
- 2. For any decreasing to zero sequence of real numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , there exists its subsequence  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\cdot (A-x)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges I-almost everywhere on [-1,1] to  $\chi_{[-1,1]}.$ 

3. Given  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , a decreasing to zero sequence of real numbers fulfilling condition  $\sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}} < \infty$ , every subsequence  $\{\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{\frac{1}{t_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  contains a subsequence  $\{\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_m_p}}\cdot (A-x)\right)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges to  $\chi_{[-1,1]}$  *I*-almost everywhere on [-1,1].

Following W i l c z y ń s k i's approach in [WO1] we have introduced a notion of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -density of a Lebesgue measurable set leading to a notion of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_d}$  topology on the real line stronger than the Lebesgue density topology. The generalization was related to a given appropriate family of subsets of [-1, 1], namely the family of measurable sets having density one at zero.

Now, we shall formulate a generalization of the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ -density point and then consider its category analogue.

We shall consider the following families of sets:

- a)  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]}$  the family of ((S, I)-residual) subsets of interval [-1,1] (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]} \subset S$  and for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]}$  we have  $[-1,1] \setminus A \in I$ ),
- b)  $\mathcal{F}_{[-\alpha,\alpha]}$  the family of subsets of interval [-1,1] such that  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]} \subset S$  and  $[-\alpha,\alpha] \setminus A \in I$ , for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  (i.e., (S,I)-residual on  $[-\alpha,\alpha]$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ),
- c)  $\mathcal{F}_I$  the family of subsets of interval [-1, 1] from S having 0 as its I-density point.

We have  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]} \subset \mathcal{F}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]} \subset \mathcal{F}_{I}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** We shall say that x is an  $\mathcal{F}_I$ -density point of  $A \in S$ , if for any sequence of real numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , decreasing to zero, there exists a subsequence  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a set  $B \in \mathcal{F}_I$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\cdot (A-x)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges *I*-almost everywhere on [-1, 1] to  $\chi_B$ .

By analogy, we define a notion of  $\mathcal{F}_{[-\alpha,\alpha]}$ -density point and  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]}$ -density point of  $A \in S$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}_{I[-1,1]}$  corresponds precisely to the definition of *I*-density point of a set  $A \in S$ . The set of all  $\mathcal{F}_{I}$ -density points,  $\mathcal{F}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]}$ -density points and *I*-density points of  $A \in S$  will be denoted by  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{I}}(A)$ ,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]}}(A)$ and  $\Phi_{I}(A)$ , respectively. Obviously,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{I[-1,1]}}(A) = \Phi_{I}(A)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let us observe that if x is an  $\mathcal{F}_I$ -density point of  $A \in S$ , there is no decreasing to zero sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_n}\cdot (A-x)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{n\in \mathbb{N}}$$

N

of characteristic functions converges I-almost everywhere on [-1, 1] to 0.

Proof. It is a simple consequence of the definition.

**PROPOSITION 2.** For each  $A \in S$ ,  $\Phi_I(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]}}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_I}(A)$ .

Proof. It is obvious.

From now on, we shall consider a particular case; an S stands for the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Baire property and  $I \subset S$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal of the sets of first category. The families  $\mathcal{F}_{[-1,1]}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{I}$  will be denoted

13

by  $\mathcal{A}_{I[-1,1]}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{I}$ , respectively. Let us recall that we can uniquely assign a regular open set G to every  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  that has the Baire property, such that  $A \triangle G \in I$ . We shall call it a regular open representation of A and denote by G(A) (see [O] and [WO2]).

**Remark 1.** Let us observe that for any sets  $A, B \in S$ , such that  $A \triangle B \in I$ , the *I*-a.e. convergence of the sequence of characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{a_n \cdot A}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on [-1, 1], for each  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $\chi_A$  is equivalent to the convergence of the sequence of characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{a_n \cdot B}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on [-1, 1] to  $\chi_A$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $A \subset [0,1]$  be a set with the Baire property and let  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 1,  $a_n < \frac{3}{2}$ . Then the sequence of characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{a_n\cdot A}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges *I*-a.e. on [-1,1] to  $\chi_A$ .

Proof. It is clear that for an open set  $A \subset [0,1]$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , converging to 1,  $a_n < \frac{3}{2}$  the sequence of characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{a_n \cdot A}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges *I*-almost everywhere on [-1,1] to  $\chi_A$ . By the above Remark 1 it follows that we may equivalently replace the set *A* in thesis with its regular open representation G(A). This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**PROPOSITION 3.** There exists a set A such that  $\Phi_{I}(A) \subsetneq \Phi_{A_{I}}(A)$ .

Proof. We shall start with the notion of density from the right. We shall define a set A such that:

- 1) 0 is not an *I*-density point of A from the right,
- 2) 0 is not an *I*-density point of  $\mathbb{R}-A$  from the right,
- 3) 0 is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of A from the right.

Let  $D \in \mathcal{A}_I$  be a set such that  $[0,1] \setminus D \in S \setminus I$ , and  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers decreasing to 0,  $c_1 < 1$ , such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = 0$ . We define a set  $A \in S$  as

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (c_n \cdot D) \cap (c_{n+1}, c_n) \right].$$

Now, let  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers decreasing to zero. We can find the subsequences  $\{t_{n_r}\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{c_{m_r}\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , respectively, and there are neither elements of  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  nor of  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  between  $c_{m_r}$  and  $t_{n_r}$ .

Consider the sequence  $\{c_{m_r} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_r}}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1]$ . We can find a convergent subsequence  $\{c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

There are two possibilities:

a) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \right) = a \neq 0$$
; i.e.,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{at_{n_{r_k}}} \right) = 1$  and

b) 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left( c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \right) = 0.$$

In case a)

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}}}{at_{n_{r_k}}}\cdot \left(\frac{c_{m_{r_k}+1}}{c_{m_{r_k}}},1\right)}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges I-almost everywhere on (0,1) to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$ , and by Lemma 1

$$\left\{ \chi_{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}}}{at_{n_{r_k}}} \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{c_{m_{r_k}+1}}{c_{m_{r_k}}}, 1 \right) \cap D \right] \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converges *I*-almost everywhere to  $\chi_D$  on [0, 1]. Equivalently,

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{at_{n_{r_k}}}\cdot\left[\left(c_{m_{r_k}+1,c_{m_{r_k}}}\right)\cap\left(c_{m_{r_k}}\cdot D\right)\right]\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges *I*-almost everywhere to  $\chi_D$  on [0, 1]. Thus, since

$$\left(c_{m_{r_k}+1}, c_{m_{r_k}}\right) \cap \left(c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot D\right) = \left(c_{m_{r_k}+1}, c_{m_{r_k}}\right) \cap A,$$

the sequence  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{a \cdot t_{n_{r_k}}} \cdot A\right) \cap [0,1]}$  converges *I*-almost everywhere to  $\chi_D$  on [0,1], and consequently,  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \cdot A\right) \cap [0,a]}$  converges *I*-almost everywhere to  $\chi_{(a \cdot D) \cap [0,a]}$ on [0, a].

Thus, we obtain B on [0, a] as

$$B \cap [0, a] = (a \cdot D) \cap [0, a].$$

If a = 1, the proof is complete; 0 is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $B = D \cap [0, 1]$  from the right.

If a < 1, we have to determine B on (a, 1] as well.

By definition of  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}} = \infty$ . Actually,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} \cdot \frac{c_{m_{r_k}}}{c_{m_{r_k}}} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{c_{m_{r_k}}} \cdot \frac{c_{m_{r_k}}}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \right)$$
$$= a \cdot \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{c_{m_{r_k}}} = \infty.$$

Hence, because D has 0 as its I-density point from the right, we can find a subsequence  $\left\{\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\left\{\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\cdot A\right)\cap (a,1]}$ converges to  $\chi_{(a,1]}$  I-almost everywhere on (a, 1], since

$$\left( c_{m_{r_{k_p}}}, c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1} \right) \cap \left( c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1} \cdot D \right) = \left( c_{m_{r_{k_p}}}, c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1} \right) \cap A$$

and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}}-1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} = \infty$  and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} = a$ . Hence, we determine B on [0, 1] as

$$B \cap [0,a] = (a \cdot D) \cap [0,a]$$
 and  $B \cap (a,1] = (a,1].$ 

In case b), i.e.,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left( c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \right) = 0$ , we have two possibilities again:

b1) The sequence 
$$\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 is bounded from above.

We take a subsequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} = b < \infty$ , and proceed similarly to the argument in a). We have  $b \ge 1$ , and  $\chi_{(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\cdot A)\cap [0,1]}$  converges *I*-almost everywhere to  $\chi_{(b\cdot D)\cap [0,1]}$  on [0,1], and we obtain *B* on [0,1], as

$$B \cap [0,1] = (b \cdot D) \cap [0,1].$$

b2) The sequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is not bounded from above. We take a subsequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_kp}}-1}{t_{n_{r_kp}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{c_{m_{r_kp}}-1}{t_{n_{r_kp}}} = \infty$ . As D has 0 as its I-density point from the right and  $\lim_{p\to\infty}\left(c_{m_{r_kp}}\cdot\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right) = 0$ , we can find a subsequence  $\left\{\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_{p_s}}}}}\right\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\left\{\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_{p}}}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence  $\chi\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_{p_s}}}}\cdot A\right)\cap [0,1]$  converges to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$  I-almost everywhere on [0,1], and we determine B on [0,1] as

$$B \cap [0,1] = [0,1].$$

and B has 0 as its I-density point from the right.

Finally, 0 is a  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $-A \cup A$ . We shall show that it is not an I-density point of  $-A \cup A$  or of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-A \cup A)$ . Let  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $t_n = c_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Evidently, the sequence of characteristic functions

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_n}\cdot A\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges *I*-almost everywhere on [0, 1] to  $\chi_D$ .

Since  $[0,1] \setminus D \in S \setminus I$ , no subsequence of

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_n}\cdot A\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is convergent *I*-almost everywhere on [0,1] to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$ , and no subsequence of

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_n}\cdot (R\setminus A)\right)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is convergent *I*-almost everywhere on [0, 1] to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$ .

Therefore, 0 is not an *I*-density point of *A* or of  $\mathbb{R}\setminus A$  from the right. Hence 0 is not an *I*-density point of  $-A \cup A$  or of  $\mathbb{R}\setminus (-A \cup A)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** The mapping  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I} : S \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has the following properties:

- (0) For each  $A \in S$ ,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \in S$ .
- (1) For each  $A \in S$ ,  $A \sim \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A)$ .
- (2) For each  $A, B \in S$ , if  $A \sim B$ , then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(B)$ .
- (3)  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \ \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}.$
- (4) For each  $A, B \in S$ ,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(B)$ .

Proof. (0) From Proposition 2  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) = \Phi_I(A) \cup (\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus \Phi_I(A))$ . The set  $(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus \Phi_I(A))$  is a subset of a set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus ((\Phi_I(A) \cup \Phi_I(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)))$  from *I*. Then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A)$  is a union of a set  $\Phi_I(A)$  with the property of Baire and of a first category set, hence a set from *S*.

(1) It is clear, in view of  $A \sim \Phi_I(A)$  (see [PWW1]) and the fact that  $\Phi_{A_I}(A)$  and  $\Phi_I(A)$  differ by a set from I.

(2) It is a simple consequence of the fact that in the definition of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A)$  the *I*-almost everywhere convergence is involved.

(3) It is obvious.

(4) Observe first that if  $A \subset B$ ,  $A, B \in S$ , then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(B)$ , so  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A \cap B) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(B)$ . To prove the opposite inclusion, assume  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(B)$ . Let  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers decreasing to zero. From  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A)$ , by definition, there exist a subsequence  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a set  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_I$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\cdot (A-x)\cap [-1,1]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges *I*-almost everywhere on [-1, 1] to  $\chi_{A_1 \cap [-1,1]}$ . Similarly, for  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $x \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(B)$ , by definition, there is a subsequence  $\{t_{n_{m_k}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a set  $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_I$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_{n_{m_k}}}\cdot(B-x)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges *I*-almost everywhere on [-1, 1] to  $\chi_{B_1 \cap [-1,1]}$ . It is clear that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_{n_{m_k}}}}\cdot\left((A\cap B)-x\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges *I*-almost everywhere on [-1,1] to  $\chi_{(A_1 \cap B_1) \cap [-1,1]}$ , and x is a  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -density point of  $A \cap B$  since  $A_1 \cap B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_I$  (see [PWW1]).

**PROPOSITION 4.** If x is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of a set A, then there does not exist a decreasing to zero sequence of real numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence  $\{\chi_{\frac{1}{t_n}\cdot(A-x)\cap[-1,1]}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of characteristic functions converges I-almost everywhere, neither on [-1,0] nor on [0,-1] to 0.

Proof. It is a simple consequence of Definition 1.

**Remark 2.** It is an immediate consequence of (0), (1) and (2) from Theorem 1 that  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$  is idempotent, i.e.,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A))$ . We also have  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A) = \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 2.** The family  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I} = \{A \in S : A \subset \Phi_{A_I}(A)\}$  is a stronger topology than the *I*-density topology  $\mathcal{T}_I$ .

Proof. From Theorem 1 (3),  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ , and the family is closed under finite intersections according to (4). To prove that  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$  is closed under arbitrary unions, observe that from Theorem 1,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus A$  is a set from I for each  $A \in S$ , and then we follow the proof in [W2]. Take a family  $\{A_t\}_{t\in T} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ . We have  $A_t \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A_t)$  for each t. Choose a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $t \in T$  we have  $A_t \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n} \in I$ . It is possible because (S, I) *CCC* property. Then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A_t) = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}((A_t \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n}) \cup (A_t - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n})) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n})$ for each  $t \in T$ .

Hence

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n} \subset \bigcup_{t \in T} A_t \subset \bigcup_{t \in T} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I} (A_t) \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I} \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{t_n} \right).$$

The first and the last set in the above sequence of inclusions differ on a set from I and both sets have the property of Baire, so  $\bigcup_{t\in T} A_t \in S$ . Also,  $\bigcup_{t\in T} A_t \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I} (\bigcup_{t\in T} A_t)$  according to central inclusion and monotonicity of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$  implied by (4) of Theorem 1. Hence, finally  $\bigcup_{t\in T}^{\infty} A_t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ .

The set  $(-A \cup A) \cup \{0\}$ , where A is defined in Proposition 2, with D additionally open, belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ , but not to  $\mathcal{T}_I$  topology.

**Remark 3.** Like the *I*-density topology, the  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density topology can be described in the form:  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I} = \{ \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus P : A \in S \text{ and } P \in \mathcal{I} \}$ , as if  $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ , then  $A \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A)$ . Consequently,  $A = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus (\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \setminus A)$ , and we take

 $P = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \setminus A \in I$ . Now, if  $B = \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \setminus P$ , for some  $A \in S$  and  $P \in I$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(B\right) &= \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(A\right)\setminus P\right) \\
&= \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(A\right)\right) \\
&= \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(A\right)\supset\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(A\right)\setminus P = B
\end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 1(1), (2) and the above remark.

The  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$  has similar properties to those of the *I*-density topology  $\mathcal{T}_I$ .

**THEOREM 3.** For an arbitrary set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\operatorname{Int}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}}\left(A\right)=A\cap\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}\left(B\right),$$

where B is an S-measurable kernel of A (i.e.,  $B \in S$  and  $D \setminus B \in I$  for any  $D \in S$ ,  $D \subset A$ ).

Proof. We can follow the proof of Theorem 2.5 from [W2] here, where  $\Phi$  is replaced with  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** A set  $A \in \mathcal{T}_{A_{I}}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{A_{I}}$ -regular open if and only if  $A = \Phi_{A_{I}}(A)$ .

Proof. Here we can adopt the proof of Theorem 2.6 from [W2]. The inclusion  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \subset Cl_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A)$  in the first part of the proof can now be verified as follows

$$Cl_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \operatorname{Int}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)$$
  
=  $\mathbb{R} \setminus ((\mathbb{R} \setminus A) \cap \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A))$   
=  $A \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)) \supset A \cup \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A),$ 

since  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(A) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)$  by Remark 2.

Theorem 5.

$$\mathcal{I} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is } \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} - nowhere \text{ dense set}\} \\ = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is } \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} - first \text{ category set}\} \\ = \{A \subset \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is } \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} - closed \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} - discrete \text{ set}\}.$$

Proof. We can follow the proofs of Theorems 2 and 4 from [PWW2] or Theorem 2.8 from [W2]. To prove the second equality we recall that every set of the second category has a subset that lacks the property of Baire [see [O]].  $\Box$ 

**THEOREM 6.** The  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -Borel sets coincides with S.

If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -compact set, then E is finite.

The space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I})$  is neither first countable nor second countable, Lindelöf, and separable.

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I})$  is a Baire space.

Proof. We can follow the proofs of Theorem 3 of [PWW2] and Theorems 2.9–-2.12 from [W2].

**Remark 4.** In the proof of the above theorems we have used a classical argument referring only to results for Lebesgue density topology from [W1] and [W2] and for *I*-density topology from [PWW2] and [PWW1]. However, since  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I} \subset S$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$  is a closed lower density operator (i.e.,  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A) \in S$ ) we could rely on more recent results from [RJH] given in more general settings.

We shall consider some properties of continuous functions from  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I})$  into  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_n)$  now.

**DEFINITION 2.** We say that a real variable function f is topologically  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -approximately continuous at a point  $x_0$  if and only if for every number  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{x : |f(x) - y| < \epsilon\}$  there is a  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -neighborhood of x, i.e., there exists a set  $A_x \in \mathcal{S}, A_x \subset \{x : |f(x) - y| < \epsilon\}$  such that x is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $A_x$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** We say that a real variable function f is restrictively  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -approximately continuous at a point  $x_0$  if and only if there exists a set  $A_{x_0} \in S$  such that

$$x_0 \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}(A_{x_0})$$
 and  $f(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0 x \in \mathcal{A}_{x_0}} f(x)$ .

**THEOREM 7.** (i) A real function f defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  has the property of Baire if and only if it is  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ -topologically continuous I-almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Every  $\mathcal{T}_I$ -topologically continuous function is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -topologically continuous, the converse does not hold.

Proof. (i) Suppose that f defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  has the property of Baire. Then, by Theorem 7 from [PWW1], it is  $\mathcal{T}_I$ -continuous almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; hence  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -continuous almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$  since  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ .

Now, suppose that f is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -continuous I-almost everywhere. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $B = \{x : a < f(x) < b\}$ . We shall show that B has the property of Baire. Let C be the set of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -continuity points of f.

We have  $B = (B \cap C) \cup (B \setminus C)$  and  $B \setminus C \in I$ . The proof is completed by showing that  $B \cap C$  has the property of Baire. If  $x \in B \cap C$ , and y = f(x), we take  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\epsilon < \min(b - y, y - a)$ . Then  $\{x : |f(x) - y| < \epsilon\}$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ neighborhood of x, i.e., there exists a set  $A_x \in S$ ,  $A_x \subset \{x : |f(x) - y| < \epsilon\}$ such that x is a  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $A_x$ . Of course,  $A_x \subset B$ , and we may assume  $A_x \subset (B \cap C)$ , by Theorem 1 (2), since  $B \setminus C \in I$ .

Finally, we obtain  $B \cap C = \bigcup_{x \in B \cap C} A_x \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I} \subset S$ .

(*ii*) The first part is again a consequence of  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_d}$ . The characteristic function of the set  $(-A \cup A) \cup \{0\}$ , where A is defined as in Proposition 2, is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ -topologically continuous but not  $\mathcal{T}_I$ -topologically continuous at 0.

**COROLLARY 1.** For every measurable real function f, the set of  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ -topological continuity points and the set of  $\mathcal{T}_I$ -topological continuity points may differ by a set from I.

**Remark 5.** In the proof of part (i) of the above theorem we have used a classical argument referring only to results from [PWW1] and to the inclusion  $\mathcal{T}_I \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ . However, since  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_I} \subset S$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_I}$  is a lower density operator, we could rely on Theorem 6.39 from [LMZ] or use the recent results of Bartoszewicz and Kotlicka given in more general settings (see [BK] Theorem 2.2).

**PROPOSITION 5.** There exists a function that is (right)  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ -topologically, but not  $\mathcal{T}_{A_I}$ -restrictively continuous at zero.

Proof. We shall start with the continuity at zero from the right. Let  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a decreasing to zero sequence of real numbers such that  $c_{n+1} < \frac{1}{4^n}c_n, c_1 = 1$ .

Define

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \chi_{\left(\frac{c_n}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{c_n}{2^i}\right]}(x) \right) \chi_{(c_{n+1}, c_n]}(x)$$

for  $x \in (0, 1]$  and f(0) = 0.

Equivalently, put

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \chi_{\left(\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{1}{2^i}\right]}(x)$$

and define

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{1}{c_n}x\right) \chi_{(c_{n+1}, c_n]}(x)$$

for  $x \in (0, 1]$  and f(0) = 0.

The function f is right  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}$ -topologically continuous at zero.

Really, consider the set

$$E_k = \left\{ x \in [0,1] : |f(x) - 0| \le \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}$$

By definition of f,

$$E_k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( c_{n+1}, \frac{c_n}{2^k} \right]$$

and it is a simple observation that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_k$  has 0 as the  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point (even  $\mathcal{A}_{I[-1,1]}$ -density point).

The function f is not right  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{f}}$ -restrictively continuous at 0.

Suppose, on the contrary to our claim, that there exists a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}_I$  such that  $\lim_{x \in E, x \to 0} f(x) = 0$ .

Let  $t_n = c_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, since  $E \in \mathcal{A}_I$ , we can find its subsequence  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_m}}\cdot E\right)\cap\left[-1,1\right]}\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges *I*-almost everywhere on [0, 1] to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$ .

On the other side, since  $\lim_{x \in E, x \to 0} f(x) = 0$ , we can find c > 0 such that  $f(x) < \frac{1}{2^k}$  for all  $x \in E \cap (0, c)$ . Hence,  $E \cap (0, c) \subset \{x : f(x) < \frac{1}{2^k}\}$ .

We take a subsequence  $\{t_{n_{m_k}}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{t_{n_m}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f(x) < \frac{1}{2^k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ on  $E \cap (0, t_{n_{m_k}})$ . By the definition of f, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $(\frac{1}{t_{n_{m_k}}} \cdot E) \subset (0, \frac{1}{2^{k+1}})$ . Thus, it is clear that the sequence

$$\left\{\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{m_k}}}\cdot E\right)\cap [0,1]}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of characteristic functions converges even everywhere on (0, 1] to 0. Since  $E \in \mathcal{A}_I$ , this is in contradiction with Proposition 1.

Now, the function

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x > 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \\ f(-x), & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}$ -topologically but not  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}$ -restrictively continuous at zero.

**DEFINITION 4.** We say that the sets A and B are essentially different if for every  $t, \alpha \in R$  the set  $(A \triangle (t \cdot B)) \cap [0, \alpha]$  is not from I.

**PROPOSITION 6.** There exists a set  $A \subset [0,1]$  such that zero is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -right density point of A and such that there are: a decreasing to zero sequence of real numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  essentially different sets associated with different subsequences  $\{\frac{1}{t_{n\infty}}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  in Definition 1.

Proof. Let  $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of all rational numbers from interval  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . Let  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers decreasing to 0, c < 1, such that  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = 0$ . Put  $D_i = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup (w_i, 1]$ . We define a set A:

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left( c_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+i} \cdot D_i \right) \cap \left( c_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+i+1}, c_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+i} \right).$$

Each natural number k can be uniquely presented as a sum  $k = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + i$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{(n+1)n}{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right) = n$ . We denote i as a function

of k, i.e., i(k). In particular, we have  $\frac{(n+1)n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n$  and  $i(\frac{(n+1)n}{2}) = n$ . We may rewrite the definition of the set A as

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( c_k \cdot D_{i(k)} \right) \cap \left( c_{k+1}, c_k \right).$$

The i(k), as a function of k, takes the following values, consecutively: 1,1,2, 1,2,3, 1,2,3,4,... We shall show that zero is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $A \cup (-A)$ .

Suppose that  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an arbitrary sequence of real numbers decreasing to zero. Similarly, as it in described in the proof of Proposition 2, we choose two subsequences  $\{t_{n_r}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{c_{m_r}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $c_{m_r} \leq t_{n_r}, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and there are neither elements of  $\{c_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  nor of  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  between  $c_{m_r}$  and  $t_{n_r}$ . Again, we consider the sequence  $\{\frac{c_{m_r}}{t_{n_r}}\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$  and find a subsequence  $\{\frac{c_{m_r_k}}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  convergent to some  $a \in [0, 1]$ .

There are two possible situations:

a)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right) = a \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{at_{n_{r_k}}}\right) = 1$ . In this case, we consider the behaviour of the sequence  $c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} w_{i(m_{r_k})}$ . Since it is bounded, it contains a subsequence  $c_{m_{r_{k_p}}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} w_{i(m_{r_{k_p}})}$  convergent to some  $c \in a \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ , and  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} \cdot A\right) \cap [0,a]}$  converges *I*-a.e. to  $\chi_{a \cdot \left([0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [c, 1]\right)}$ .

Thus, we obtain B on [0, a] as

$$B \cap [0,a] = a \cdot \left( \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \cup \left[\frac{c}{a}, 1\right] \right).$$

If a = 1, the proof is complete;  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]} \subset \mathcal{A}_I$ . If a < 1, like in the proof of Proposition 2 we obtain

$$B \cap [0, a] = a \cdot \left( \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{c}{a}, 1 \right] \right),$$
  
$$B \cap (a, 1] = (a, 1].$$

And again,  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]} \subset \mathcal{A}_I$ .

b)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left( c_{m_{r_k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_k}}} \right) = 0.$ 

In this case, we have two possible situations again:

b1) the sequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded from above. We take its subsequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_k_p}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} = b < \infty$ , and proceed similarly, as in a). We find a subsequence  $c_{m_{r_{k_{p_s}}}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_{p_s}}}}}w_{i(m_{r_{k_{p_s}}}-1)}$ 

of  $c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} w_{i(m_{r_{k_p}}-1)}$  convergent to some  $c \leq b$  and obtain *I*-a.e. convergence of  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_{p_s}}}}}\cdot A\right)\cap[0,b]}$  to  $\chi_{b\cdot\left(\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]\cup\left[\frac{c}{b},1\right]\right)}$ . Thus, we obtain the set *B* as

$$B = \left[b \cdot \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \cup \left[\frac{c}{b}, 1\right]\right)\right] \cap [0, 1].$$

And again,  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]} \subset \mathcal{A}_{I}$ .

b2) the sequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_k}-1}}{t_{n_{r_k}}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is not bounded from above. We take its subsequence  $\left\{\frac{c_{m_{r_kp}}-1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\right\}_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{c_{m_{r_{k_p}}-1}}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} = \infty$ . As every  $D_i$  contains the interval  $\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]$ , therefore we have  $\left[0,1\right] \subset \frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}} \cdot A$ , for p appropriately large, and the sequence  $\chi_{\left(\frac{1}{t_{n_{r_{k_p}}}}\cdot A\right)}$  converges to  $\chi_{[0,1]}$  a.e. on [0,1]. And we obtain B on [0,1] as

$$B \cap [0,1] = [0,1].$$

And, again,  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{I[-\alpha,\alpha]} \subset \mathcal{A}_{I}$ .

Finally, zero is an  $\mathcal{A}_I$ -density point of  $(-A \cup A)$ .

Now, let  $d \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$  and  $\{w_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a subsequence of  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergent to d. As a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we take  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The set  $[0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup (d, 1] \in \mathcal{A}_I$  is associated with the subsequence  $\{c_{\frac{(n_i+1)n_i}{2}}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and we obtain the sequence of characteristic functions

$$\chi\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{c(n_i+1)n_i}\right)\cdot A\right)\cap[0,1]\right)$$

convergent *I*-a.e. to  $\chi_{\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]\cup\left[d,1\right]}$  on [0,1].

#### REFERENCES

- [AW] AVERSA, V.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: Simple density topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 53 (2004), 344–352.
- [BK] BARTOSZEWICZ, A.—KOTLICKA, E.: Relationship between continuity and abstract measurability of functions, Real. Anal. Exchange 31 (2005/2006), 73–95.
- [CLO] CIESIELSKI, K.—LARSON, L.—OSTASZEWSKI, K.: *I-density continuous func*tions, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1994), pp. 133.
- [GNN] GOFFMAN, C.—NEUGEBAUER, C. J.—NISHURA, T.: The density topology and approximate continuity, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 497–506.

- [LMZ] LUKEŠ, J.—MALÝ, J.—ZAJÍČEK, L.: Fine Topology Methods in Real Analysis and Potential Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1189, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [O] OXTOBY, J. C.: Measure and Category. Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 2, Springer--Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [PWW1] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: A category analogue of the density topology, Fund. Math. CXXV (1985), 167–173.
- [PWW2] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: Remarks on *I*-density and *I*-approximately continuous functions, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 26 (1985), 553–563.
- [RJH] ROSE, D. A.—JANKOWIĆ, D.—HAMLETT, T. R.: Lower density topologies, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 704 (1993), 309–321.
- [W1] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: A generalization of the density topology, Real. Anal. Exchange 8 (1982-1983), 16–20.
- [W2] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: Density Topologies, in: Handbook of Measure Theory (E. Pap, ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 675–702.
- [WW] WILCZYŃSKI, W.—WOJDOWSKI, W.: Complete density topology (in preparation).
- [WO1] WOJDOWSKI, W.: A generalization of density topology, Real. Anal. Exchange 32 (2006/2007), 1–10.
- [WO2] WOJDOWSKI, W.: Density topology involving measure and category, Demonstratio Math. XXII (1989), 797–812.

Received July 25, 2007

Institute of Mathematics Technical University of Łódź Wólczańska 215 PL-90-924 Łódź POLAND E-mail: wojwoj@gmail.com