

Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **42** (2009), 149–160 DOI: 10.2478/v10127-009-0014-9

# SET OF CONTINUITY POINTS OF FUNCTIONS WITH VALUES IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

Ľubica Holá — Zbigniew Piotrowski

ABSTRACT. We study continuity points of functions with values in generalized metric spaces. We define the generalized oscillation, which is a useful tool in our study. Let X be a topological space and Y be a weakly developable space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Then the set C(f) of continuity points of f is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X. Some results concerning continuity points of separately continuous functions as well as functions with closed graphs are also given.

### 1. Introduction

It is well-known that the set of points of discontinuity of functions with values in metrizable spaces belongs to the class  $F_{\sigma}$  of countable unions of closed sets.

Of course, a natural question arises for which "larger" class of spaces the above assertion still holds. A quite natural candidate is the class of developable spaces as it was proved in [GP1]. In our paper we prove that every function with values in a weakly developable space also has a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of continuity points.

Weakly developable spaces were introduced by J. Calbrix and B. Allechein [CA]. It was proved in [AAC] that a completely regular space is weakly developable if and only if it is a *p*-space with a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

Moreover, we prove that a topological space Y having the property:

 $(\triangle)$  given a topological space X and  $f: X \to Y$  the set C(f) of the points of continuity of f is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X,

has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

Some results concerning continuity points of separately continuous functions and functions with closed graphs are also given.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54C30; Secondary 54E18, 54E50. Keywords: *p*-space,  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, developable space, weakly developable space, quasi-continuous function, generalized oscillation.

### 2. Preliminaries

All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. We quote [E] and [Gr] as basic references.

Let Y be a topological space,  $y \in Y$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a collection of subsets of Y. Then

$$st(y,\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup \{G \in \mathcal{G} : y \in G\}$$

Let  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of open covers of Y.

- (1) If for each  $y \in Y$ , the set  $\{st(y, \mathcal{G}_n) : n \in \omega\}$  is a base at y, we say that  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a development on Y and that the space Y is developable. A regular developable space is called a Moore space.
- (2) If for every sequence  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  such that  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$  and for every  $y \in \cap G_n$ , the sequence  $\{\cap_{i \leq n} G_i : n \in \omega\}$  is a base at y, we say that  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a weak development on Y and that the space Y is weakly developable.

The notion of a weak development was introduced by B. Alleche and J. Calbrix in [CA]. Notice that in the paper [BLL] of D. Burke, D. Lutzer and S. Levi, there is a notion (without a name) very close to the notion of a weak development; they consider a sequence of open covers  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  on a completely regular space Y such that for every  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ ,  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ , every  $y \in \cap G_n$ , the sequence  $\{\cap_{i \leq n} \overline{G_i} : n \in \omega\}$  is a base at y. It is easy to see that, in the class of regular spaces, the notion of [BLL] coincides with the notion of a weak development.

Of course, every developable space is weakly developable and every weakly developable space has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal (see [AAC]).

An example of a weakly developable space which is not developable is Gruenhage's space [Gr] (see [AAC]).

By a result of [AAC], a completely regular space is weakly developable if and only if it is a *p*-space with a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

A completely regular space Y is a p-space if there exists a sequence

$$\{\mathcal{G}_n: n \in \omega\}$$

of families of open subsets of the Čech-Stone compactification  $\beta Y$  such that:

- (i) each  $\mathcal{G}_n$  covers Y;
- (ii) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\cap \{st(y, \mathcal{G}_n) : n \in \omega\} \subset Y$ .

It is known (see [Gr]) that Gruenhage's space is locally compact and submetrizable, i.e., it is weakly developable. It is not a Moore space since it contains a closed set which is not a  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

The notion of so-called generalized oscillation is a useful tool in our investigation. Notice that the idea to define a generalized oscillation is not new in literature, see, for example, [P1], where the different approach from our is used.

Let Y be a weakly developable space, X be a topological space and  $f: X \to Y$ be a function. Let  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a weak development on Y. Of course, without loss of generality, we can suppose that the sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  is such that

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \{Y\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \prec \mathcal{G}_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .

(If  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  are two collections of subsets of Y, by  $\mathcal{U} \prec \mathcal{V}$  we mean that  $\mathcal{U}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$ .)

To define the generalized oscillation  $\omega_f$  of f, put

$$\omega_f(G) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \omega, \exists V \in \mathcal{G}_n \quad \text{with} \quad f(G) \subset V\right\}$$

for a subset G of X.

The generalized oscillation  $\omega_f$  of f is defined as follows:

$$\omega_f(x) = \inf \big\{ \omega_f(O) : O \in \mathcal{B}(x) \big\},\$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(x)$  stands for a base of open neighbourhoods of x.

**Remark.** We should notice that the generalized oscillation for a metrizable range space does not reduce to the oscillation. However, our generalized oscillation satisfies many good properties which the oscillation has.

## 3. Generalized oscillation and continuity points of functions

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let X be a topological space and Y be a weakly developable space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Then f is continuous at  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\omega_f(x) = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous at  $x \in X$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is  $n \in \omega$ with  $1/n < \epsilon$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$  be such that  $f(x) \in G$ . The continuity of f at ximplies that there is  $O \in \mathcal{B}(x)$  with  $f(O) \subset G$ . Thus  $\omega_f(O) \leq 1/n < \epsilon$ ; i.e.,  $\omega_f(x) \leq \omega_f(O) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary  $\omega_f(x) = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\omega_f(x) = 0$ . For every  $n \in \omega$ , there is  $O_n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$  and  $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  with  $f(O_n) \subset G_n$ .

Let  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\omega_f(x) < 1/n$ , there must exist

$$O_n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$$
 with  $\omega_f(O_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ .

By the definition of  $\omega_f(O_n)$ , there is  $k \in \omega$  such that  $f(O_n) \subset V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{G}_k$ and  $\omega_f(O_n) \leq 1/k < 1/n$ ; i.e., k > n. Thus  $V \in \mathcal{G}_n$  since  $\mathcal{G}_k \prec \mathcal{G}_n$  for k > n.

To prove that f is continuous at x, let H be an open set in Y with  $f(x) \in H$ . By the above,  $f(x) \in G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . By the assumption on Y, there is  $m \in \omega$  with  $\cap \{G_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset H$ . Thus

$$f(\cap \{O_j : 1 \le j \le m\}) \subset H.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** Let X be a topological space and Y be a weakly developable space. Let  $f : X \to Y$ . The generalized oscillation  $\omega_f$  is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $\omega_f(x) = 1$ , then we are done. Suppose that  $\omega_f(x) < 1$ . There must exist  $O \in \mathcal{B}(x)$  with  $\omega_f(O) < \omega_f(x) + \epsilon$ . For every  $z \in O$  we have

$$\omega_f(z) \le \omega_f(O) < \omega_f(x) + \epsilon$$

Thus  $\omega_f$  is upper semicontinuous at x.

**THEOREM 3.3** (see [GP1] for developable spaces). Let X be a topological space and Y be a weakly developable space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function. Then the set C(f) of the points of continuity of f is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

Proof. For every  $n \in \omega$ , put

$$\Omega_n = \left\{ x \in X : \omega_f(x) < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Of course,  $\Omega_n$  is open since by the above proposition  $\omega_f$  is upper semicontinuous. By Theorem 3.1  $C(f) = \bigcap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\},\$ 

so we are done.

As we mentioned above every weakly developable space has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. The following result shows that to have a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal is a necessary condition on Y in Theorem 3.3.

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let Y be a topological space with the following property:

 $(\triangle)$  Given a topological space X and a function  $f: X \to Y$ , then the set C(f) of the points of continuity of f is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X.

Then Y has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

To prove the above Theorem, we will use the construction from [BLL] and the following simple fact.

**LEMMA 3.5.** Suppose  $Y = \prod \{Y(n) : n \in \omega\}$ , where each Y(n) has property  $(\triangle)$ . Then so does Y.

Proof. For each  $n \in \omega$  let  $p_n \colon Y \to Y(n)$  be the projection. Suppose X and  $f \colon X \to Y$  are given as in  $(\triangle)$ . It is easy to realize that

$$C(f) = \cap \left\{ C(p_n \circ f) : n \in \omega \right\}$$

By the assumption, each of the composite mappings  $p_n \circ f \colon X \to Y(n)$  has a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of the points of continuity  $C(p_n \circ f)$ . So, we are done.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We will use the proof of [BLL, Proposition 2.10]. Let  $S = \{1/n : n \ge 1\} \cup \{0\}$  with the usual topology. Let  $X = Y^2 \times S$ . Let

$$H = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}n : n \ge 1 \right\}$$
 and  $L = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2n-1)} : n \ge 1 \right\}.$ 

We define a function  $f: X \to Y^2$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y,t) &= (x,y) & \text{if } (x,y,t) \in Y^2 \times H, \\ f(x,y,t) &= (y,x) & \text{if } (x,y,t) \in Y^2 \times L, \\ f(x,x,0) &= (x,x), \\ f(x,y,0) &= (x,y) & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{split}$$

It is easy to verify that f is continuous at every point of A, where

$$A = \left(Y^2 \times \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \ge 1\right\}\right) \cup \left(\bigtriangleup \times \{0\}\right),$$

and  $\triangle$  is a diagonal of  $Y^2$ . By Lemma 3.5, also  $Y^2$  has the property  $(\triangle)$ . Thus A = C(f) must be a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X. Because  $\triangle \times \{0\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in A,  $\triangle \times \{0\}$  is also a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $Y^2 \times S$  and also in  $Y^2 \times \{0\}$ . Thus,  $\triangle$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $Y^2$ .  $\Box$ 

The following example shows that to have a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal, it is not sufficient to guarantee that the set of continuity points of every function is a  $G_{\delta}$  set. Thus the condition of a weak developability of Y in Theorem 3.3 is essential.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let Y be the Michael line (the real line with the isolated irrationals and the rationals having their usual neighbourhoods) and X = R with the usual topology. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be the identity mapping. It is easy to verify that C(f) = Q, where Q is the set of rational numbers, i.e., C(f) is not a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X. The Michael line is a submetrizable non-developable space ([Gr]). Thus it is not weakly developable. (The Michael line is a paracompact space and, by [AAC, Proposition 2.6], a paracompact weakly developable space is metrizable.)

An easy modification of the proof of R. Bolstein [Bo] shows that his result also works if a range space is a non-discrete weakly developable space.

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** Let X be an almost-resolvable space and Y be a non-discrete weakly developable space. Let F be a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in X. Then there is a function  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $C(f) = X \setminus F$ .

We say that a topological space is almost-resolvable [Bo] if it is the countable union of sets with empty interiors. A topological space is resolvable [He, V] if it is the union of two disjoint dense sets. E. Hewitt [He] showed that first countable spaces without isolated points and locally compact spaces without isolated points are resolvable. N. V. Velichko [V] proved that even k-spaces without isolated points are resolvable.

Clearly, a resolvable space is almost-resolvable, and an almost-resolvable space has no isolated points. Note that if a space X contains a dense set which is a countable union of sets with empty interiors, then X is almost-resolvable. Thus a separable space with no isolated points is almost-resolvable.

Using the same idea as in [GP2], we can prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.8.** Let X be a topological space and Y be a weakly developable nondiscrete space. Let A be a dense set in X. Then A is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X if and only if there is a function  $f: X \to Y$  with C(f) = A.

Proof. As A is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X we may write  $A = \cap A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is open,  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for each n and  $A_1 = X$ . Let  $y \in Y$  be a non-isolated point in Y. The first countability of Y implies that there is a sequence of different points  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  convergent to y such that  $y_n \neq y$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . Define  $f : X \to Y$ as follows:

$$f(x) = y \quad \text{if} \quad x \in A,$$
  

$$f(x) = y_n \quad \text{if} \quad x \in A_n \setminus A_{n+1}.$$

It is easy to verify that C(f) = A.

Say that a topological space X is Volterra [GP1] if  $C(f) \cap C(g)$  is dense in X whenever  $f, g: X \to R$  are two functions for which C(f) and C(g) are dense in X.

Of course, every Baire space is a Volterra space and there are Volterra spaces which are not of second Baire category [GP3], hence not Baire. It was proved in [GL] that every metrizable Volterra space is Baire.

The following result generalizes [GP2, Theorem 1].

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** For any topological space X, the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is Volterra;
- (2) for each pair A, B of dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets of X, the set  $A \cap B$  is dense;
- (3) for each pair Y, Z of weakly developable spaces and each pair  $f: X \to Y$ and  $g: X \to Z$  of functions for which C(f) and C(g) are dense in X, the set  $C(f) \cap C(g)$  is dense;
- (4) for each pair f, g of functions from X to Y, where Y is a fixed nondiscrete weakly developable space, with C(f), C(g) dense in X, the set  $C(f) \cap C(g)$  is dense in X.

Proof.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$  Theorem 1 in [GP2].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  By Theorem 3.3, C(f) and C(g) are  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in X.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Clear.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$  Suppose A, B are dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets of X. By Lemma 3.8,

there are functions  $f, g: X \to Y$  for which C(f) = A and C(g) = B.

### 4. Continuity points of quasicontinuous functions

In [P1] the following question was posed:

"Assume X is a Baire space. What are "large" spaces Y such that every quasicontinuous function  $f: X \to Y$  has  $C(f) \neq \emptyset$ ?"

We say that a function f from a topological space X to a topological space Y is quasicontinuous at x of X ([N, P1]) if for every open neighbourhood V of f(x)and each open neighbourhood U of x there exists a non-empty open set  $W \subset U$ such that  $f(W) \subset V$ . If f is quasicontinuous at every point of X, we say that fis quasicontinuous.

Of course, by "large" spaces in the above question we understand neither metrizable nor having a countable base since for such spaces Y every quasicontinuous function f from a Baire space into Y has C(f) a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X.

**THEOREM 4.1.** Let X be a Baire space and Y be a weakly developable space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a quasicontinuous function. Then the set C(f) of the points of continuity of f is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

Proof. For every  $n \in \omega$ , put  $\Omega_n = \{x \in X : \omega_f(x) < 1/n\}$ . The upper semicontinuity of  $\omega_f$  implies that every  $\Omega_n$  is open. Now, we prove that  $\Omega_n$  is a dense set for every  $n \in \omega$ .

Let V be a nonempty open set in X. Let  $x \in X$ . There is  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{2n}$  with  $f(x) \in G$ . The quasicontinuity of f at x implies that there is a nonempty open set W such that

$$W \subset V$$
 and  $f(W) \subset G$ .

Thus

$$\omega_f(W) \le \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{n}$$

For every  $z \in W$  we have  $\omega_f(z) \leq \omega_f(W) < 1/n$ , i.e.,  $\emptyset \neq W \subset V \cap \Omega_n$ .

Baireness of X implies that  $\cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a dense set. Since

$$C(f) = \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\},\$$

we are done.

In [KKM] we can find a better solution of the above question. However, [KKM] does not guarantee  $G_{\delta}$ -set of points of continuity of quasicontinuous functions.

In the last part of this section, we will mention the result concerning continuity points of separately continuous functions which generalizes [P1, Theorem 3]. The proof of our result uses an idea of the generalized oscillation.

Given topological spaces X, Y and Z, a function  $f: X \times Y \to Z$  is said to be quasicontinuous with respect to the variable x at  $(p,q) \in X \times Y$  [P1] if for every neighbourhood W of f(p,q) and for every neighbourhood  $U \times V$  of (p,q), there exists a neighbourhood of  $p, U' \subset U$  and a nonempty open set  $V' \subset V$  such that for all  $(x, y) \in U' \times V'$ , we have  $f(x, y) \in W$ .

**THEOREM 4.2** ([P1] for Z Moore). Let X be a first countable space, Y be a Baire space and Z be a regular weakly developable space. If  $f: X \times Y \to Z$  has

- a) all sections  $f_x$  quasicontinuous and
- b) all sections  $f_y$  continuous, then  $C(f) \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $\{x\} \times Y$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in X$ . We prove that  $\{y \in Y : \omega_f(x, y) = 0\}$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\{x\} \times Y$ , then by our Theorem 3.1, we are done.

Let  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a weak development on Z.

Let  $n \in \omega$ . Put

$$H_n = \left\{ y \in Y : \omega_f(x, y) < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

We show that  $H_n$  is an open dense set in Y. Let G be a nonempty open set in Y. Let  $y \in G$ . Let V be such an element from  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  that  $f(x, y) \in V$ . By the result in [P2], f is quasicontinuous with respect to the variable x. Thus there is an open neighbourhood U of x and a nonempty open set  $H \subset G$  such that  $f(u, v) \in V$ for every  $(u, v) \in U \times H$ , so  $\omega_f(U \times H) < 1/n$ . Thus also,  $\omega_f(u, v) < 1/n$ for every  $(u, v) \in U \times H$ ; i.e.,  $H \subset H_n$ . Thus,  $G \cap H_n \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $H_n$  is dense in Y.

To prove that  $H_n$  is open, let  $y \in H_n$ . The set  $W = \{(u, v) : \omega_f(u, v) < 1/n\}$  is open in  $X \times Y$  since  $\omega_f$  is upper semicontinuous. Thus, there are open neighbourhoods  $U_x, U_y$  of x and y, respectively, with  $U_x \times U_y \subset W$ ; i.e.,  $U_y \subset H_n$ . Thus  $H_n$  is open.

### 5. Continuity points of functions with closed graphs

In this part we study continuity points of functions with closed graphs. Results in this direction can be found also in [D, PS]. The following theorems show that to guarantee  $G_{\delta}$ -set of continuity points for functions with closed graphs, we do not need the assumption of  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal for a range space.

**THEOREM 5.1.** Let X be a topological space and Y be a p-space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function with a closed graph. Then the set C(f) is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X.

Proof. Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of families of open subsets of  $\beta Y$  such that

- (i) each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  covers Y;
- (ii) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\cap \{st(y, \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \omega\} \subset Y$ .

Further, let  $\{\mathcal{H}_n \ n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of families of open subsets of  $\beta Y$  such that  $\{\overline{G}^{\beta Y}: G \in \mathcal{H}_n\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . For every  $n \in \omega$ , put

$$\Omega_n = \{ x \in X : \exists O \in \mathcal{B}(x), \exists H \in \mathcal{H}_n \quad \text{with} \quad f(O) \subset H \},\$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(x)$  stands for a base of neighbourhoods of x.

Of course,  $\Omega_n$  is open in X for every  $n \in \omega$ . We claim that

$$C(f) = \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}.$$

The inclusion  $C(f) \subset \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$  is trivial. Now, we prove the opposite one. Let  $x \in \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$  and suppose that f is not continuous at x. There must exist an open set  $L \subset Y$  such that  $f(x) \in L$  and  $f(G) \cap (Y \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ .

For every  $n \in \omega$ , let  $O_n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$  and  $H_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$  be such that  $f(O_n) \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ . The family

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \overline{f(O \cap O_n) \cap (Y \setminus L)}^{\beta Y} : O \in \mathcal{B}(x), n \in \omega \right\}$$

is a centered family of closed sets in  $\beta Y$ , thus  $\cap \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in \cap \mathcal{L}$ . Then for every  $n \in \omega$ ,  $y \in st(f(x), \mathcal{U}_n)$ .  $(y \in \cap \mathcal{L} \subset \overline{f(O_n) \cap (Y \setminus L)}^{\beta Y} \subset \overline{H_n}^{\beta Y}$  and  $\{\overline{G}^{\beta Y}: G \in \mathcal{H}_n\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$ .)

Thus  $y \in Y$  and, of course,  $y \in Y \setminus L$ . It is easy to verify that  $(x, y) \in \overline{G(f)}$ , where G(f) is the graph of f, a contradiction.

From the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can deduce that every function with a closed graph with values in a locally compact space has an open set of points of its continuity. However, this result is already known.

**COROLLARY 5.2** ([PS]). Let X be a topological space and Y be locally compact. If  $f: X \to Y$  has a closed graph, then the set C(f) is an open subset of X.

A topological space Y is a  $w\Delta$ -space if there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of open covers of Y such that for each  $y \in Y$  if  $y_n \in st(y, \mathcal{G}_n)$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , then the set  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  has a cluster point in Y.

The notions *p*-space and a  $w\Delta$ -space are independent in general. However, in the class of submetacompact spaces these two notions coincide ([Gr]).

Of course, every countably compact space is a  $w\Delta$ -space. Every countably compact space which is not a k-space is an example of a  $w\Delta$ -space which is not a p-space. Gruenhage's space [Gr, Example 2.17] is an example of a p-space which is not a  $w\Delta$ -space (see [Gr]).

**THEOREM 5.3.** Let X be a first countable topological space and Y be a  $w\triangle$ --space. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function with a closed graph. Then the set C(f) of continuity points of f is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X.

Proof. Let  $\{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of open covers of Y such that if  $y_n \in st(y, \mathcal{G}_n)$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , then the set  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  has a cluster point in Y. For every  $n \in \omega$ , put

$$\Omega_n = \left\{ x \in X : \exists O \in \mathcal{B}(x), \ \exists V \in \mathcal{G}_n \quad \text{with} \quad f(O) \subset V \right\}$$

as above.

We claim that  $C(f) = \bigcap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Of course, the inclusion

$$C(f) \subset \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$$

is clear. Now, we prove the opposite one. Let  $x \in \cap \{\Omega_n : n \in \omega\}$  and suppose that f is not continuous at x. There must exist an open set L in Y such that  $f(x) \in L$  and  $f(G) \cap (Y \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{B}(x)$ . Let  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a decreasing countable base of neighbourhoods of x. For every  $n \in \omega$  let  $O_n \in \mathcal{B}(x)$  and  $V_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$  be such that  $f(O_n) \subset V_n$ . Let  $n \in \omega$ . Thus also  $f(O_n \cap G_n) \subset V_n$  and by the assumption there is  $y_n \in f(O_n \cap G_n) \setminus L$ . For every  $n \in \omega, f(x) \in V_n$  and also  $y_n \in V_n$ , i.e.,  $y_n \in st(f(x), \mathcal{G}_n)$ . Since Y is a  $w \Delta$ -space, the set  $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$  has a cluster point  $y \in Y$ . Of course,  $y \in Y \setminus L$ . It is easy to verify that  $(x, y) \in \overline{G(f)} = G(f)$  and  $y \neq f(x)$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

The following example shows that the conditions of a *p*-space as well as of a  $w\Delta$ -space in Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 are essential.

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let X, Y, f be the same as in Example 3.6. Then, of course, X is first countable, f is a function with a closed graph, and Y is neither p-space nor  $w\Delta$  space (see [Gr, Corollary 3.4]). As we mentioned in Example 3.6, the set C(f) is not a  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

Now, we use our Theorem 5.1 to generalize Raja's result and to offer a simple proof of his result.

A topological space is a Baire space provided countable collections of open dense subsets have a dense intersection (equivalently nonempty open subsets are of the 2nd Baire category). A topological space is a hereditarily Baire space provided every nonempty closed subset is a Baire space.

**THEOREM 5.5.** Let X be a hereditarily Baire p-space and  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous bijective map. If  $f^{-1}$  has a dense set of points of continuity, then Y contains a dense Baire subspace. In particular, Y is a Baire space.

Proof. The function  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$  has a closed graph since  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and Y is a Hausdorff space. So, the graph G(f) of f is closed in  $X \times Y$ , i.e., also  $G(f^{-1})$  is closed in  $Y \times X$ . By Theorem 5.1, the set  $C(f^{-1})$  of the points of continuity of  $f^{-1}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in Y since X is a p-space. Put

$$H = C(f^{-1})$$

Let  $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of open sets in Y such that

$$H = \cap \{G_n : n \in \omega\}.$$

Then  $g = f^{-1} \upharpoonright H$  is a continuous function from H to X.

$$g(H) = f^{-1}(H) = f^{-1}(\cap \{G_n : n \in \omega\}) = \cap \{f^{-1}(G_n) : n \in \omega\} = L.$$

Thus, L is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X. The set  $\overline{L}$  is a Baire space by the assumption and L is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\overline{L}$ , thus L is also a Baire space.  $f \upharpoonright L$  is a homeomorphism between L and H. Thus also H is a Baire space.  $\Box$ 

**Remark.** We can see from the above proof that if X is a Čech-complete space, then we obtain Raja's result which claims that Y contains a dense Čech-complete subspace.

#### REFERENCES

- [AAC] ALLECHE, B.—ARHANGEL'SKII, A. V.—CALBRIX, J.: Weak developments and metrization, Topology Appl. 100 (2000), 23–38.
- [Bo] BOLSTEIN, R.: Set of points of discontinuity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 193–197.
- [BLL] BURKE, V.—LUTZER, D.—LEVI, S.: Functional characterizations of certain p-spaces, Topology Appl. 20 (1985), 161–165.
- [CA] CALBRIX, J.—ALLECHE, B.: Multifunctions and Čech-complete spaces, in: Proc. of the 8th Prague Topological Symposium, Prague, Czech Republic, August 18–24, 1996 (P. Simon, ed.), Topology Atlas, Prague, 1997, pp. 30–36.
- [D] DOBOŠ, J.: On the set of points of discontinuity for functions with closed graphs, Čas. pěst. mat. 110 (1985), 60–68.
- [E] ENGELKING, R.: General Topology. PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
- [Gr] GRUENHAGE, G.: Generalized metric spaces, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen, J. Vaughan, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 423–501.
- [GL] GRUENHAGE, G.—LUTZER, D.: Baire and Volterra spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 3115–3124.
- [GP1] GAULD, D. B.—PIOTROWSKI, Z.: On Volterra spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 1 (1993), 209–214.
- [GP2] GAULD, D. B.—GREENWOOD, S.—PIOTROWSKI, Z.: On Volterra spaces II, Annals New York Academy of Sciences 806 (1996), 169–173.

- [GP3] GAULD, D. B.—GREENWOOD, S.—PIOTROWSKI, Z.: On Volterra spaces III, Topology Proc. 23 (1998), 167–182.
- [He] HEWITT, E.: A problem of set-theoretic topology, Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 309–333.
- [Ke] KELLEY, J.: General Topology. New York, 1955.
- [KKM] KENDEROV, P. S.—KORTEZOV, I. S.—MOORS, W. B.: Continuity points of quasicontinuous mappings, Topology Appl. 109 (2001), 321–346.
- [N] NEUBRUNN, T.: Quasi-continuity, Real Anal. Exchange 14 (1988), 259–306.
- [Ra] RAJA: Absolute first Borel class topological spaces (preprint).
- [P1] PIOTROWSKI, Z.: Separate and joint continuity in Baire groups, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 14 (1998), 109–116.
- [P2] PIOTROWSKI, Z.: Quasi-continuity and product spaces, in: Proc. Intern. Conf. Geom. Topology, Warsaw, 1978, PWN, Warsaw, 1980, pp. 349–352.
- [PS] PIOTROWSKI, Z.—SZYMANSKI, A.: Closed graph theorem: Topological approach, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 37 (1988), 88–99.
- [V] VELICHKO, N. V.: Theory of resolvable spaces, Mat. Zametki 19 (1976), 109–114.

Received December 12, 2007

Eubica Holá Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences Štefánikova 49 SK-814-73 Bratislava SLOVAKIA

*E-mail*: hola@mat.savba.sk

Zbigniew Piotrowski Department of Mathematics Youngstown State University Youngstown, Ohio 44555-0001 U.S.A.

E-mail: zpiotr@math.ysu.edu