

Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **42** (2009), 175–186 DOI: 10.2478/v10127-009-0016-7

ψ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND FUNCTIONS PRESERVING ψ -DENSITY POINTS

Małgorzata Filipczak — Małgorzata Terepeta

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{T}_{ψ} be the ψ -density topology for a fixed function ψ . We will examine some new properties of the family of ψ -continuous functions (that means continuous functions $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with ψ -density topology \mathcal{T}_{ψ} in its domain and range). In the second part of the article we will discuss functions preserving ψ -density points.

We will use the following notations: \mathbb{R} will denote the set of real numbers, \mathcal{L} – the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} , m (m^*) – the Lebesgue measure (outer measure) on \mathbb{R} , A' – the complement of the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a family of nondecreasing continuous functions $\psi \colon (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \psi(t) = 0$.

We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a ψ -density point of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{m(A'\cap [x-h,x+h])}{2h\psi(2h)} = 0.$$

A point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a ψ -dispersion point of a measurable set A if it is a ψ -density point of the complement of A.

In the definition of a ψ -density point we use a symmetric interval of the length 2h and with the center in the point x. As shown in [TW-B, Theorem 0.1], we cannot replace such an interval with an arbitrary interval I including x. Despite this fact, we can prove the following property.

Property 1. The point x_0 is a ψ -density point of a measurable set S if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall I \ni x_0 \ \left(m(I) < \delta \Longrightarrow \frac{m(I \setminus S)}{m(I) \ \psi(2m(I))} < \varepsilon \right).$$
 (1)

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A10, 28A05. Keywords: density point, density topology, ψ -density topology.

Proof. If the condition (1) holds then it is sufficient to put $I = [x_0 - h, x_0 + h]$ and we get that x_0 is a ψ -density point of the set S. Suppose that x_0 is a ψ -density point of a measurable set S. Hence for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exists a positive number δ_1 such that for all $h \in (0, \delta_1)$ we have

$$\frac{m([x_0 - h, x_0 + h] \setminus S)}{2h\psi(2h)} < \varepsilon_1.$$

For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ we match δ_1 to $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Let I be an interval containing x_0 and having the length less than $\frac{1}{2}\delta_1$. By h we denote the least positive number such that $I \subset [x_0 - h, x_0 + h]$. Then $h \le m(I) \le 2h < \delta_1$ and

$$\frac{m(I \setminus S)}{m(I) \, \psi(2m(I))} \le \frac{m([x_0 - h, x_0 + h] \setminus S)}{h\psi(2h)} < 2\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{L}$ we denote

$$\Phi_{\psi}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is a } \psi\text{-density point of } A\}.$$

From [TW-B, Theorem 1.4], we obtain that the family $\mathcal{T}_{\psi} = \{A \in \mathcal{L} : A \subset \Phi_{\psi}(A)\}$ is a topology which is stronger than the natural topology \mathcal{T}_o and weaker than the density topology \mathcal{T}_d . Topology \mathcal{T}_{ψ} is invariant under multiplication if and only if for any $\alpha > 0$.

$$\limsup_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\psi(\alpha x)}{\psi(x)} < \infty. \tag{2}$$

If the condition (2) is not fulfilled for a certain $\alpha > 1$, then there is a set $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha}A$ is not a set from \mathcal{T}_{ψ} (compare with [TW-B, Theorem 2.8]).

Fix a function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$. We will examine some properties of continuous functions $f: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\psi}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\psi})$. We will call such functions ψ -continuous and the family of them will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\psi\psi}$. Each ψ -continuous function is ψ -approximately continuous, hence it is $\mathcal{D}B_1$. In [FT1] we showed that

$$C_{oo} \setminus C_{\psi\psi} \neq \emptyset$$
 and $C_{\psi\psi} \setminus C_{oo} \neq \emptyset$,

where C_{oo} is the family of continuous functions.

The idea of ψ -continuity of a function is strictly connected with the notion of a function preserving ψ -density points.

DEFINITION 2. We will say that a homeomorphism h preserves ψ -density points if for any measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any $x_0 \in \Phi_{\psi}(S)$

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{m^*\left(\left(h(S)\right)'\cap\left[h(x_0)-t,h(x_0)+t\right]\right)}{2t\psi(2t)}=0.$$

PROPOSITION 3. If h is a homeomorphism preserving ψ -density points, then h satisfies Lusin's condition (N).

Proof. Let Z be a set of Lebesgue measure zero. There exists a G_{δ} -set $A \supset Z$ of measure zero. Then h(A) is also a G_{δ} -set, so it is measurable. Suppose that m(h(A)) > 0. Hence h(A) has density 1 at a certain point $y_0 \in h(A)$, so

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{m \big(h(A) \cap [y_0 - t, y_0 + t] \big)}{2t} = 1.$$

The point $h^{-1}(y_0)$ is from A and it is a ψ -density point of the complement of A. Let S = A'. For any t > 0 such that $\psi(2t) \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{m((h(S))' \cap [y_0 - t, y_0 + t])}{2t\psi(2t)} = \frac{m(h(S') \cap [y_0 - t, y_0 + t])}{2t\psi(2t)}$$
$$\geq \frac{m(h(A) \cap [y_0 - t, y_0 + t])}{2t}.$$

Therefore, h does not preserve ψ -density points.

COROLLARY 4. If a homeomorphism $h: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ preserves ψ -density points then it is an absolutely continuous function.

From Proposition 3, it follows that if homeomorphism h preserves ψ -density points then, for any measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, h(S) is a measurable set and we need not use the outer measure from Definition 2.

THEOREM 5. A homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ preserves ψ -density points if and only if h^{-1} is a ψ -continuous function.

Proof. First, we assume that h preserves ψ -density points. We will show that h^{-1} is a ψ -continuous function at any point. Fix a point y_0 and a set $V \in \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ such that $x_0 = h^{-1}(y_0) \in V$. We will show that there exists a set $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ such that $y_0 \in U$ and $h^{-1}(U) \subset V$. Since V is open in \mathcal{T}_{ψ} , for any $x \in V$ we have $x \in \Phi_{\psi}(V)$. The homeomorphism preserves ψ -density points, so $h(x) \in \Phi_{\psi}(h(V))$. Hence h(V) is open in \mathcal{T}_{ψ} and putting U = h(V), we complete the proof of this implication.

Suppose now that h does not preserve ψ -density points. Fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and a measurable set S such that x_0 is a ψ -dispersion point of S and

$$\limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{m^* \Big(h(S) \cap \big[h(x_0) - t, h(x_0) + t \big] \Big)}{2t\psi(2t)} > 0.$$

Let $A \supset S$ be a G_{δ} -set such that $m(A \setminus S) = 0$. Then x_0 is a ψ -dispersion point of A, h(A) is measurable and $h(S) \subset h(A)$. Hence, there exists a sequence

 $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to 0 and a number $\alpha>0$ such that

$$\frac{m(h(A)\cap [h(x_0)-a_n,h(x_0)+a_n])}{2a_n\psi(2a_n)} > \alpha$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $(a_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for any natural k

$$\frac{m\left(h(A)\cap\left[h(x_0),h(x_0)+a_{n_k}\right]\right)}{2a_{n_k}\psi(2a_{n_k})}>\frac{\alpha}{2}$$

or

$$\frac{m\left(h(A)\cap\left[h(x_0)-a_{n_k},h(x_0)\right]\right)}{2a_{n_k}\psi(2a_{n_k})} > \frac{\alpha}{2},\tag{3}$$

For simplicity, we can assume that inequality (3) holds for all elements of the sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and for any natural n we have

$$m\Big(h(A)\cap \left[h(x_0)+a_{n+1},h(x_0)+a_n\right]\Big)>0.$$

From the properties of measurable sets it follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a closed set $B_n \subset h(A) \cap [h(x_0) + a_{n+1}, h(x_0) + a_n]$ such that

$$m(B_n) > m(h(A) \cap [h(x_0) + a_{n+1}, h(x_0) + a_n]) - \frac{\alpha}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 2a_n \psi(2a_n).$$

We put $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cup \{h(x_0)\}$. Observe that B is a closed set in natural topology. Moreover, for any natural i

$$\frac{m\left(B \cap \left[h(x_0), h(x_0) + a_i\right]\right)}{2a_i\psi(2a_i)} = \frac{\sum_{n=i}^{\infty} m(B_n)}{2a_i\psi(2a_i)} \ge \frac{1}{2a_i\psi(2a_i)} \\
\times \left(\sum_{n=i}^{\infty} m\left(h(A) \cap \left[h(x_0) + a_{n+1}, h(x_0) + a_n\right]\right) - \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} 2a_n\psi(2a_n) \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \\
\ge \frac{m\left(h(A) \cap \left[h(x_0), h(x_0) + a_i\right]\right)}{2a_i\psi(2a_i)} - \frac{\frac{\alpha}{4} \cdot 2a_i\psi(2a_i) \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}}{2a_i\psi(2a_i)} \ge \frac{\alpha}{4} > 0.$$

Hence, $h(x_0)$ is not a ψ -dispersion point of the set B.

Since $h^{-1}(B) \subset A$, x_0 is a ψ -dispersion point of $h^{-1}(B)$. Moreover, $h^{-1}(B)$ is closed in natural topology, hence the set $C = \mathbb{R} \setminus h^{-1}(B) \cup \{x_0\} \in \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$. Simultaneously, $h(C) = \mathbb{R} \setminus B \cup \{h(x_0)\} \notin \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$, so the function h^{-1} is not ψ -continuous.

Property 6. If a homeomorphism $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall I \supset A$$

$$\left(m(A) < \delta \ m(I) \ \psi(2m(I)) \Longrightarrow m(h(A)) < \varepsilon \ m(h(I)) \ \psi(2m(h(I)))\right), \quad (4)$$

then h preserves ψ -density points.

Proof. Suppose that x_0 is a ψ -density point of a set $S \in \mathcal{L}$. Using Property 1, we will show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number $\gamma > 0$ such that for each interval $J \ni h(x_0)$ of the length less than γ

$$\frac{m(J \setminus h(S))}{m(J) \, \psi(2m(J))} < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ from condition (4). Since $x_0 \in \Phi_{\psi}(S)$, from Property 1 it follows that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $I \ni x_0$ of length less than λ

$$\frac{m(I \setminus S)}{m(I) \ \psi(2m(I))} < \delta. \tag{5}$$

Since h^{-1} is continuous at $h(x_0)$, there is $\gamma > 0$ such that for any interval $J \ni h(x_0)$ of length less than γ , the length of the interval $h^{-1}(J)$ is less than λ .

Let J be an interval containing $h(x_0)$, of the length less than γ . Using (5), we have

$$m(h^{-1}(J)\setminus S) < \delta m(h^{-1}(J)) \psi(2m(h^{-1}(J)).$$

From condition (4), taking $A = h^{-1}(J) \setminus S$ and $I = h^{-1}(J)$, we obtain

$$m(J \setminus h(S)) = m(h(h^{-1}(J) \setminus S)) < \varepsilon m(J) \psi(2m(J)).$$

THEOREM 7. Let a function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfy the condition (2). Suppose that $h \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous homeomorphism such that for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$0 < \alpha \le h'(x) \le \beta < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Then h preserves ψ -density points.

Proof. Since h is an increasing function, it is sufficient to show that if x_0 is a right-hand ψ -density point of a measurable set S, then $h(x_0)$ is a right-hand ψ -density point of a set h(S). The left-hand side case can be shown in the similar way. Let S be a measurable set and $\varepsilon > 0$. We assume that x_0 is a right-hand ψ -density point of S. The function ψ satisfies the condition (2), so there exist numbers M > 0 and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(\alpha t)} < M \tag{7}$$

179

for all $t < \delta_1$. The point x_0 is a right-hand ψ -density point of the set S, hence there exists a positive number $\delta_2 < \frac{1}{2}\delta_1$ such that for all $t \in (0, \delta_2)$

$$\frac{m([x_0, x_0 + t] \setminus S)}{2t\psi(2t)} < \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{\beta M}.$$
 (8)

The fact that h^{-1} is continuous at the point $h(x_0)$ results in the existence of $\delta_3 > 0$ such that $h^{-1}(y) < x_0 + \delta_2$ for any $y \in (h(x_0), h(x_0) + \delta_3)$. To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that for any interval of the form $J = [h(x_0), h(x_0) + y]$, where $y < \delta_3$, we have

$$\frac{m(h(S)\setminus J)}{2m(J)\psi(2m(J))}<\varepsilon.$$

The function h is a homeomorphism, so there exists an interval I which has the following properties: its length is less than δ_2 , so x_0 is the endpoint of the interval $I = h^{-1}(J)$. We have $m(I) < \delta_2$. From the inversibility of h we obtain

$$m(h(S) \setminus J) = m(h(S) \setminus h(I)) = m(h(S \setminus I)).$$

From the absolute continuity of h and (6) we have

$$\alpha m(I) \le m(h(I)) \le \beta \cdot m(I)$$

and

$$m(h(S \setminus I)) \le \beta m(S \setminus I).$$

From this, (7) and (8) it follows that

$$\frac{m\big(h(S)\setminus J\big)}{2m(J)\psi\big(2m(J)\big)} \leq \frac{\beta m(S\setminus I)}{2\alpha m(I)\psi\big(2\alpha m(I)\big)} = \frac{m(S\setminus I)}{2m(I)\psi\big(2m(I)\big)} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{\psi\big(2m(I)\big)}{\psi\big(2\alpha m(I)\big)} < \varepsilon.$$

COROLLARY 8. Suppose that $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous homeomorphism such that for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$1 \le \alpha \le h'(x) \le \beta < \infty. \tag{9}$$

Then h preserves ψ -density points for arbitrary function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$.

We follow the proof of Theorem 7. Notice that if $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$, then for each t > 0 we have

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(\alpha t)} \le 1.$$

Remark 9. Observe that if a function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$ does not satisfy the condition (2) then for any $\alpha > 1$

$$\limsup_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\psi(\alpha x)}{\psi(x)} = \infty.$$

It means that for any $\alpha > 1$ there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$ such that $\frac{1}{\alpha}A \notin \mathcal{T}_{\psi}$. Therefore any linear function f(x) = ax, with a > 1, is not ψ -continuous. By Corollary 8, such the functions preserve ψ -density points.

Corollary 10. Let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$. If there are numbers α, β such that

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} < \beta < \infty \tag{10}$$

for any $x, y \in I$, $x \neq y$, then f is ψ -continuous for each function $\psi \in C$ satisfying (2).

Moreover, if $\beta \leq 1$, then f is ψ -continuous for any function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. From (10) the function f is strictly monotonic and continuous, so $f: I \to f(I)$ has the inverse function $g = f^{-1}$. This function satisfies the condition

$$0<\frac{1}{\beta}<\frac{g(u)-g(v)}{u-v}<\frac{1}{\alpha}<\infty$$

for all $u, v \in f(I)$, $u \neq v$. As it fulfils the local Lipschitz conditition on f(I), so g is absolutely continuous. Its derivative g' is bounded from above and below by positive numbers, hence g preserves ψ -density points (Theorem 7). From Theorem 5 the function $g^{-1} = f$ is ψ -continuous.

If $\beta \leq 1$, then for each function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$, the function g preserves ψ -density points (we use Corollary 8), whence f is ψ -continuous.

COROLLARY 11. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$ fulfil the condition (2). If a function $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism and h, h^{-1} satisfy the local Lipschitz condition, then h, h^{-1} are ψ -continuous.

It is well-known fact that every function $f(x) = x^{\tau}$ on the interval $[0, \infty)$, where $\tau > 0$, is density continuous. We will examine, whether such functions are ψ -continuous.

THEOREM 12. Consider the function $f:[0,\infty)\ni x\mapsto x^{\tau}$, where $\tau>0$. If

$$\liminf_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(2f(x))} > 0,$$
(11)

then f is ψ -continuous at x = 0 for each $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. We will show that if 0 is not a right-hand ψ -dispersion point of a measurable set A, then f(0) = 0 is not a right-hand ψ -dispersion point of f(A). Suppose that there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ and a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to zero such that for all natural n

$$\frac{m(A \cap [0, y_n])}{2y_n\psi(2y_n)} > \alpha. \tag{12}$$

Consider the interval $[0, y_1]$. Let $x_k^{(1)} = \frac{y_1}{2^k}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Notice that there exists a number k_0 such that

$$m\left(A \cap \left[\frac{1}{2}x_{k_0}^{(1)}, x_{k_0}^{(1)}\right]\right) > x_{k_0}^{(1)} \alpha \psi(2y_1).$$
 (13)

Indeed, if for all k

$$m\left(A \cap \left[\frac{1}{2}x_k^{(1)}, x_k^{(1)}\right]\right) \le x_k^{(1)} \alpha \psi(2y_1),$$

then

$$\frac{m(A \cap [0, y_1])}{2y_1\psi(2y_1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m\left(A \cap \left[\frac{1}{2}x_k^{(1)}, x_k^{(1)}\right]\right)}{2y_1\psi(2y_1)} \le \frac{\alpha\psi(2y_1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k^{(1)}}{2y_1\psi(2y_1)} \le \alpha,$$

contrary to (12). We put $a_1 = x_{k_0}^{(1)}$ and obtain

$$\frac{m\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_1,a_1\right]\right)}{2a_1\psi(2a_1)} \ge \frac{m\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_1,a_1\right]\right)}{2a_1\psi(2y_1)} > \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

There exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_{n_2} < a_1$. Analogously, we can find $a_2 \in (0, y_{n_2}]$ such that

$$\frac{m\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_2,a_2\right]\right)}{2a_2\psi(2a_2)}>\frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

By induction we define the sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to zero such that for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{m\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_n,a_n\right]\right)}{2a_n\psi(2a_n)}>\frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Notice that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the derivative of the function f on the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2}a_n, a_n\right]$ fulfils the condition

$$f'(x) \ge \frac{\tau a_n^{\tau - 1}}{2^{\tau - 1}},$$

SO

$$m\left(f\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_n,a_n\right]\right)\right) \geq \frac{\tau a_n^{\tau-1}}{2^{\tau-1}}\cdot m\left(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_n,a_n\right]\right).$$

Let us estimate the following expression

$$\begin{split} \frac{m\Big(f(A)\cap\left[0,a_{n}^{\tau}\right]\Big)}{2a_{n}^{\tau}\psi\Big(2a_{n}^{\tau}\Big)} &= \frac{m\Big(f(A)\cap f\big([0,a_{n}]\big)\Big)}{2a_{n}^{\tau}\psi\Big(2a_{n}^{\tau}\Big)} \\ &= \frac{m\Big(f\big(A\cap\left[0,a_{n}\right]\big)\Big)}{2a_{n}^{\tau}\psi\Big(2a_{n}^{\tau}\Big)} \\ &\geq \frac{m\Big(f\big(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_{n},a_{n}\right]\big)\Big)}{2a_{n}^{\tau}\psi\Big(2f\big(a_{n}\big)\Big)} \\ &\geq \frac{\tau a_{n}^{\tau-1}}{2^{\tau-1}} \cdot \frac{m\Big(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_{n},a_{n}\right]\Big)}{2a_{n}^{\tau}\psi\Big(2f\big(a_{n}\big)\Big)} \\ &\geq \frac{\tau}{2^{\tau-1}} \cdot \frac{m\Big(A\cap\left[\frac{1}{2}a_{n},a_{n}\right]\Big)}{2a_{n}\psi\big(2a_{n}\big)} \cdot \frac{\psi\big(2a_{n}\big)}{\psi\big(2f\big(a_{n}\big)\Big)} \\ &> \frac{\tau}{2^{\tau-1}} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{\psi\big(2a_{n}\big)}{\psi\big(2f\big(a_{n}\big)\Big)} \,. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\psi(2a_n)}{\psi(2f(a_n))} > 0,$$

hence

$$\limsup_{x\to 0^+} \frac{m\big(f(A)\cap [0,x]\big)}{2x\psi(2x)}>0\,.$$

We obtain that 0 is not a ψ -dispersion point of the set f(A). We have shown that if 0 is a ψ -dispersion point of the set f(A), then 0 is a ψ -dispersion point of the set A. Hence f is ψ -continuous at 0.

COROLLARY 13. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the function $f: [0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto x^{\tau}$, where $\tau \geq 1$. Then f is ψ -continuous on $[0, \tau^{-1/(\tau-1)}]$.

In fact, for any $x \in (0, \tau^{-1/(\tau-1)}]$ for the derivative f'(x) we have $0 < f'(x) \le 1$, so, from Corollary 8, f is ψ -continuous at x. Continuity at 0 follows from the last theorem.

Remark 14. If ψ satisfies (2), then $f(x) = x^{\tau}$, where $\tau \geq 1$, is ψ -continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 15. Let $\tau \in (0,1)$ and $f(x) = x^{\tau}$ for $x \in [0,\infty)$. If

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(2f(x))} = 0, \tag{14}$$

then f is not ψ -continuous at x=0.

Proof. We will construct an interval set

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], \quad 0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n-1}, \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$$

such that 0 is a ψ -dispersion point of the set A and 0 is not a ψ -dispersion point of the set $f^{-1}(A)$.

Let c_1 be a point from (0,1) such that $\psi(2c_1) < \frac{1}{2}$. From the assumption of a function ψ , there exists $d_1 \in (0,c_1)$ such that for any $x \in (0,d_1]$

$$\frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(2f^{-1}(x))} > \frac{1}{c_1}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d_1}{2d_1\psi(2d_1)} \ge \frac{1}{2\psi(2c_1)} > 1 > c_1,$$

there exists a point a_1 such that

$$\frac{m([a_1, d_1])}{2d_1\psi(2d_1)} = c_1.$$

Let us consider the function

$$f_1 : [a_1, c_1] \ni x \mapsto \frac{m([a_1, x])}{2x \ \psi(2x)}.$$

It is a continuous function attaining the value c_1 . The set $f_1^{-1}(\{c_1\})$ is closed in natural topology and not empty. Let $b_1 = \min f_1^{-1}(\{c_1\})$. Notice that for any $x \in (a_1, b_1)$,

$$\frac{m\big([a_1,x]\big)}{2x\;\psi(2x)} < c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m\big([a_1,b_1]\big)}{2b_1\;\psi(2b_1)} = c_1.$$

Let c_2 be a point from $(0, a_1)$ such that

$$\frac{c_2}{2a_1 \ \psi(2a_1)} < c_1.$$

In an analogous way we can find $0 < a_2 < b_2 < d_2 < a_1$ such that

$$\frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(2f^{-1}(x))} > \frac{1}{c_2};$$
 for each $x \in (0, d_2],$

$$\frac{m([a_2, b_2])}{2b_2 \ \psi(2b_2)} = c_2$$
 and $\frac{m([a_2, x])}{2x \ \psi(2x)} < c_2$ for $x \in (a_2, b_2)$.

By induction we construct the sequence of intervals $([a_n, b_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the decreasing sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which have the following properties:

$$(1) 0 < a_n < b_n \le c_n,$$

(2)
$$\frac{m([a_n,b_n])}{2b_n \psi(2b_n)} = c_n,$$

ψ-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND FUNCTIONS PRESERVING ψ-DENSITY POINTS

(3)
$$\frac{\psi(2b_n)}{\psi(2f^{-1}(b_n))} > \frac{1}{c_n}$$
,

(4)
$$\frac{m([a_n,x])}{2x \psi(2x)} < c_n \text{ for } x \in (a_n,b_n),$$

$$(5) \frac{c_{n+1}}{2a_n \psi(2a_n)} < c_n$$

The point 0 is a ψ -dispersion point of the set $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$. Indeed, let us take $x \in (0, b_1)$. There exists a natural number n such that $x \in (b_{n+1}, b_n]$. If $x \in (b_{n+1}, a_n]$, then

$$\frac{m(A \cap [0, x])}{2x \psi(2x)} = \frac{m(A \cap [0, b_{n+1}])}{2x \psi(2x)}$$

$$\leq \frac{m([a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}])}{2b_{n+1} \psi(2b_{n+1})} + \frac{b_{n+2}}{2b_{n+1} \psi(2b_{n+1})} \leq 2c_{n+1}.$$

If $x \in (a_n, b_n]$, then

$$\frac{m(A \cap [0, x])}{2x \psi(2x)} \le \frac{b_{n+1} + m([a_n, x])}{2x \psi(2x)}
\le \frac{b_{n+1}}{2a_n \psi(2a_n)} + \frac{m([a_n, x])}{2x \psi(2x)} < 2c_n.$$

For $n \to \infty$ the sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tends to zero, so $0 \in \Phi_{\psi}(A')$. For completeness of the proof it remains to show that 0 is not a ψ -dispersion point of the set $f^{-1}(A)$. Denote, for the simplicity, by α the number $\frac{1}{\tau}$ and observe that

$$f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a_n^{\alpha}, b_n^{\alpha} \right].$$

For any b > a > 0

$$b^{\alpha} - a^{\alpha} > b^{\alpha} - ab^{\alpha - 1} = (b - a)b^{\alpha - 1}$$

Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{m(f^{-1}(A)\cap[0,b_n^{\alpha}])}{2b_n^{\alpha}\psi(2b_n^{\alpha})} \ge \frac{b_n^{\alpha} - a_n^{\alpha}}{2b_n^{\alpha}\psi(2b_n^{\alpha})}
> \frac{b_n - a_n}{2b_n\psi(2b_n)} \cdot \frac{\psi(2b_n)}{\psi(2b_n^{\alpha})}
= c_n \cdot \frac{\psi(2b_n)}{\psi(2f^{-1}(b_n))} > 1.$$

COROLLARY 16. Functions $f(x) = x^{\tau}$ for $\tau \in (0,1)$ are not ψ -continuous at zero for $\psi = \text{id}$. In particular, the function $f(x) = x^2$ is ψ -continuous and its inverse function is not ψ -continuous for $\psi = \text{id}$.

Remark 17. From Theorem 12 it follows that the function $f(x) = x^{\tau}$ for $\tau \in (0,1)$ is ψ -continuous at zero if ψ satisfies (11). It is not ψ -continuous at zero if ψ satisfies (14). A natural question arises whether such a function is ψ -continuous at zero if ψ satisfies $\liminf_{x\to 0^+} \frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(2f(x))} = 0$. Another natural question is if $f(x) = x^{\tau}$, where $\tau \geq 1$, is not ψ -continuous on $[\tau^{-1/(\tau-1)}, \infty)$ for ψ which does not satisfy the condition (2).

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their thanks to the referee for his several helpful comments improving this paper.

REFERENCES

- [C] CIESIELSKI, K.: Density and I-density continuous homeomorphisms, Real Anal. Exchange 18 (1992-93), 367–384.
- [CL] CIESIELSKI, K.—LARSON, L.: The space of density continuous functions, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 58 (1991), 289–296.
- [F1] FILIPCZAK, M.: Families of ψ -approximate continuous functions, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **28** (2004), 219–225.
- [F2] FILIPCZAK, M.: σ -ideals, topologies and multiplication, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform. **LII** (2002), 11–16.
- [FT1] FILIPCZAK, M.—TEREPETA, M.: On continuity concerned with ψ-density topologies, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 34 (2006), 29–36.
- [FT2] FILIPCZAK, M.—TEREPETA, M.: ψ -continuous functions, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **58** (2009), 245–255.
- [TW-B] TEREPETA, M.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: ψ-density topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 48 (1999), 451–476.

Received November 30, 2006

Małgorzata Filipczak Institute of Mathematics Łódź University ul. Stefana Banacha 22 PL-90-238 Łódź POLAND

E-mail: malfil@math.uni.lodz.pl

Małgorzata Terepeta Center of Mathematics and Physics Łódź Technical University al. Politechniki 11 PL-90-924 Łódź POLAND

E-mail: ttrp@poczta.onet.pl