

ON GENERALIZATIONS OF THE NOTION OF CONTINUITY OF MULTIFUNCTIONS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Andrzej Rychlewicz

ABSTRACT. The relations between inclusion quasi-continuity (upper inclusion quasi-continuity, lower inclusion quasi-continuity) and somewhat continuity (upper somewhat continuity, lower somewhat continuity) of the multifunction $F: X \to Y$, where X is a bitopological space are considered.

1. Introduction

The concept of bitopological spaces was introduced by J. C. Kelly in [KE]. Quasi-continuous multivalued functions in topological spaces have been studied by various authors, e.g., T. Neubrunn, J. Ewert, T. Lipski (see [EL], [EN], [NE1] and [NE2]). Quasi-continuous multivalued functions in bitopological spaces have been studied by T. Lipski (see [LI1], [LI2]).

The principal purpose of this article is to generalize the quasi-continuity notion of multifunctions in bitopological spaces in such a way that some properties of this notion can be transferred from topological spaces to bitopological spaces.

2. Preliminaries and basic considerations

Let $F: X \to Y$ first be a multifunction, where X and Y are topological spaces. We know that a multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be upper (lower) quasicontinuous multifunctions at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open set $V \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V$, $(F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset)$ and for any open neighborhood U of x_0 there exists a nonempty open subset W of U such that $F(x) \subset V$, $(F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset)$ for any $x \in W$.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 26E25, 54A10.

 $[\]label{lem:keywords:multifunctions} Keywords: \ quasi-continuous \ multifunctions, \ bit opological \ spaces.$

A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be quasi-continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open sets $V_1 \subset Y$, $V_2 \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V_1$, $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ and for any open neighborhood U of x_0 there exists a non-empty open subset W of U such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$ (see [EL], [NE1], [PO1], [PO2]).

A multifunction F is upper quasi-continuous (lower quasi-continuous, quasi-continuous) multifunction if it is upper quasi-continuous (lower quasi-continuous, quasi-continuous) multifunction at any point of its domain.

Let us consider a bitopological case.

The space X on which two (arbitrary) topologies τ_1 , τ_2 are defined is called a *bitopological space* and is denoted by (X, τ_1, τ_2) (see [KE]).

Let X be a bitopological space equipped with two topologies τ_1 , τ_2 , and Y be a topological space with topology τ . There are two ways of generalizing the notion of quasi-continuity of a multifunction.

I. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 upper intersection quasicontinuous with respect to τ_2 ($\cap uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open
set $V \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there exists a set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ such that $F(x) \subset V$ for any $x \in W$. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 lower intersection quasi-continuous with respect
to τ_2 ($\cap lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open set $V \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \cap V$ $\neq \emptyset$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there exists a set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ such that $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 intersection quasi-continuous with respect to τ_2 ($\cap QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open sets $V_1 \subset Y$, $V_2 \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V_1$, $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there exists a set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$.

A multifunction F is τ_1 upper intersection quasi-continuous, (lower intersection quasi-continuous, intersection quasi-continuous) with respect to τ_2 if it is τ_1 upper intersection quasi-continuous (lower intersection quasi-continuous, intersection quasi-continuous) multifunction with respect to τ_2 at any point of its domain.

A lot of results connected with intersection generalization are contained in Lipski's papers (see [LI1], [LI2]). This kind of generalization is interesting, however some results known for ordinary topological space are not valid in bitopological space. One of these is the following Neubrunn's result (see [NE3]).

A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is upper (lower) quasi-continuous if and only if its restriction $F|_B$ is upper (lower) somewhat continuous for any set B belonging to a base β of open sets in X.

So, it seems to be a good idea to consider the other way of generalizing the quasi-continuty.

II. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 upper inclusion quasicontinuous with respect to τ_2 ($\subset uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any
open set $V \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there
exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \subset V$ for any $x \in W$. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 lower inclusion quasicontinuous with respect to τ_2 ($\subset lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open
set $V \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there exists
a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$.
A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_1 inclusion quasi-continuous with
respect to τ_2 ($\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ for short) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open sets $V_1 \subset Y$, $V_2 \subset Y$, $F(x_0) \subset V_1$, $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ and for any set $U \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in U$ there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$.

A multifunction F is τ_1 upper inclusion quasi-continuous (lower inclusion quasi-continuous, inclusion quasi-continuous) with respect to τ_2 if it is τ_1 upper inclusion quasi-continuous (lower inclusion quasi-continuous, inclusion quasi-continuous) multifunction with respect to τ_2 at any point of its domain.

Note that the inclusion versions of quasi continuity have been introduced by M. Matejdes in more general setting in such a way that the condition "there exists a non-empty open set $W \in \mathcal{T}_1, W \subset U$ " is replaced by the condition "there exists a non-empty set $W \in \mathcal{E}, W \subset U$ where \mathcal{E} is not topology but a non-empty family of non-empty subset of X" ([MA1], [MA2]).

Let us mention that not any τ_1 intersection quasi-continuous with respect to τ_2 is τ_1 inclusion quasi-continuous with respect to τ_2 multifunction. Let us consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $F:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)\to \mathbf{R}$, where \mathbf{R} denotes a space of reals with natural topology, be a multifunction defined by the following formula

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [0, x] & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } x = 0, \\ [x, 0] & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

and let τ_1 denotes a natural topology of the real line $X = \mathbf{R}$, τ_2 denotes a discrete topology. It is easy to verify that F is τ_1 intersection upper quasicontinuous (lower quasi-continuous, quasi-continuous) multifunction with respect to τ_2 , however it is not τ_1 inclusion upper quasi-continuous (lower quasi-continuous, quasi-continuous) multifunction with respect to τ_2 .

We can observe that if X is an ordinary topological space then the above definitions and definitions of upper quasi-continuity, lower quasi-continuity and quasi-continuity are the same.

If $F: X \to Y$, then for $A \subset Y$ we denote

$$F^{+1}(A) = \{x : F(x) \subset A\} \text{ and } F^{-1}(A) = \{x : F(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let (X, τ_0) be a topological space. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_0 upper (lower) somewhat continuous if for any open subset V of topological space Y such that $F^{+1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ ($F^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$) we have $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0}(F^{+1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$ (int $_{\tau_0}(F^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$) (see [NE1]). A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is said to be τ_0 somewhat continuous if for any open subsets V_1, V_2 of topological space Y such that $F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2) \neq \emptyset$ we have $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0}(F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2)) \neq \emptyset$ (see [EN]).

Let A be a non-empty topological subspace of (X, τ_0) . It is clear that a restricted multifunction $F|_A:A\to Y$ is τ_0^A upper (lower) somewhat continuous (where τ_0^A denotes the induced topology) if for any open set $V \subset Y$ such that $(F|_A)^{+1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ $((F|_A)^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset)$ we have $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0^A}((F|_A)^{+1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$ $(\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0^A}((F|_A)^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset)$. In the case of a restricted multifunction $F|_A$ we can also consider upper (lower) somewhat continuity with respect to topology τ_0 . A restricted multifunction $F|_A$ is said to be τ_0 upper (lower) somewhat continuous if $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0}(F^{+1}(V)\cap A)\neq\emptyset$ ($\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0}(F^{-1}(V)\cap A)\neq\emptyset$) for any open set $V\subset Y$ such that $(F|_A)^{+1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ $((F|_A)^{+1}(V) \neq \emptyset)$. Of course, if $F|_A$ is τ_0 upper (lower) somewhat continuous multifunction then $F|_A$ is τ_0^A upper (lower) somewhat continuous multifunction. If $A \in \tau_0$ then the converse theorem is also true. Analogous considerations can be provided for the case of somewhat continuity (not upper or lower). A restricted multifunction $F|_A$ is said to be τ_0 somewhat continuous if $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_0}(F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2) \cap A) \neq \emptyset$ for any open sets $V_1, V_2 \subset Y$ such that $F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2) \neq \emptyset$. Note that in case of a single valued map the upper somewhat continuity, lower somewhat continuity and somewhat continuity become the somewhat continuity for a single valued map introduced in [GH]. It is easy to show the following two theorems which are generalizations of Neubrunn's results.

3. Main results

THEOREM 1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space. IF $F: X \to Y$ is a multifunction then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Multifunction F is $\subset uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ ($\subset lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$) multifunction.
- (b) Multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1 upper (lower) somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$.

ON GENERALIZATIONS OF CONTINUITY OF MULTIFUNCTIONS

(c) There exists a basis β_2 of topology τ_2 such that $F|_U$ is τ_1 upper (lower) somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \beta_2$.

We will prove only the "upper case" of this theorem.

Proof.

- (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let F be $\subset uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction and let $U \in \tau_2$. Let $V \subset Y$ be an open set such that $(F|_U)^{+1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that $(F|_U)(x_0) \subset V$. It follows that there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \subset V$ for any $x \in W$. It means that $W \subset F^{+1}(V)$. Therefore, $W \subset U \cap F^{+1}(V)$. It implies that $\inf_{\tau_1} (F^{+1}(V) \cap U) \neq \emptyset$. Since V was arbitrary chosen, the multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1 upper somewhat continuous multifunction.
 - (b) \Rightarrow (c). Obvious.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). Now, let $x_0 \in X$ and let G be an open subset of Y such that $F(x_0) \subset G$. Let $V \in \tau_2, x_0 \in V$. There exists $U \in \beta_2$ such that $x_0 \in U \subset V$. Because of $F(x_0) \subset G$ and $x_0 \in U$ then $F|_U(x_0) \subset G$ and, consequently, $(F|_U)^{+1}(G) \neq \emptyset$. By the assumptions $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_1}(F^{+1}(G) \cap U) \neq \emptyset$ and therefore there exists a nonempty set $W \in \tau_1$ such that $W \subset F^{+1}(G) \cap U$. So, there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1 W \subset V$ such that $F^{+1}(x) \subset G$ for any $x \in W$. We have shown that F is $\subset uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ multifunction at x_0 . Since x_0 was arbitrary chosen, the multifunction F is $\subset uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ multifunction.

THEOREM 2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space, $F: X \to Y$ —a multifunction. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Multifunction F is $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction.
- (b) Multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1 somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$.
- (c) There exists a basis β_2 of topology τ_2 such that $F|_U$ is τ_1 somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \beta_2$.

Proof.

- (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let F be $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction and let $U \in \tau_2$. Let V_1, V_2 be open subsets of the space Y such that $(F|_U)^{+1}(V_1) \cap (F|_U)^{-1}(V_2) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that $F(x_0) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. Since F is $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction, it follows that there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. It means that $W \subset F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2)$. As a result $\inf_{\tau_1} \left(F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2) \right) \neq \emptyset$. Since V_1 and V_2 were arbitrary chosen, the multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1 somewhat continuous multifunction.
 - (b) \Rightarrow (c). Obvious.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). Let β_2 be a basis of topology τ_2 such that $F|_U$ is τ_1 somewhat continuous multifunction for any $U \in \beta_2$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and let G_1 , G_2 be

open subsets of Y such that $F(x_0) \subset G_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $V \in \tau_2$, $x_0 \in V$. There exists $U \in \beta_2$ such that $x_0 \in U \subset V$. Therefore $(F|_U)^{+1}(G_1) \cap (F|_U)^{-1}(G_2) \neq \emptyset$. The assumptions imply $\inf_{\tau_1} ((F|_U)^{+1}(G_1) \cap (F|_U)^{-1}(G_2) \cap U) \neq \emptyset$ and that there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$ such that $W \subset F^{+1}(G_1) \cap F^{-1}(G_2) \cap U$. So, there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$ $W \subset V$ such that $F^{+1}(x) \subset G_1$ and $F^{-1}(x) \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. We have shown that F is $C QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction at x_0 . Since x_0 was arbitrary chosen, F is $C QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction.

Remark 1. If we replace the symbol τ_1 with the symbol τ_1^U in Theorem 1 (b), (c) (2 (b), (c)), then implication (c) \Rightarrow (a) does not hold.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $F: X \to \mathbf{R}$, where $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and \mathbf{R} denotes the space of reals with natural topology, and a multifunction F be defined by the following formula

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [-1,0] \cup \{1\} & \text{if } x \in \{1,2\}, \\ [-2,0] & \text{if } x = 3. \end{cases}$$

Let τ_1 , τ_2 be topologies in X defined as follows.

$$\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}, \\ \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

We can show that $F|_U$ is τ_1^U somewhat continuous (and lower and upper somewhat continuous at the same time) for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$. This multifunction is not τ_1 somewhat continuous and neither τ_1 lower nor τ_1 upper somewhat continuous multifunction. Indeed, let $U = \{2,3\}$ and $V_1 = (-\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2})$. Then the interior of the set $(F|_U)^{+1}(V_1) = \{2\}$ is not empty in induced topology τ_1^U but empty in topology τ_1 . Let now $V_2 = (\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2})$. Then $(F|_U)^{-1}(V_2) = \{2\}$ is again not empty in induced topology τ_1^U but empty in topology τ_1 . Let us observe that $(F|_U)^{+1}(V_1) \cap (F|_U)^{-1}(V_2) = \{2\}$. Now, let $x_0 = 2$, then $F(x_0) = [-1,0] \cup \{1\}$. It implies that $x_0 \in (F|_U)^{+1}(V_1)$, but there does not exist a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $W \subset (F|_U)^{+1}(V_1)$. A multifunction F is not $\subset uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ at the point x_0 . In a similar way we can show that F is not $\subset lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ and is not $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ at the point x_0 .

In the case of "intersection" we can prove the two following theorems.

THEOREM 3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space. If a multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is $\cap uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ ($\cap lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$) multifunction then $F|_U$ is τ_1^U upper (lower) somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$.

We will only prove the "lower case" of this theorem.

ON GENERALIZATIONS OF CONTINUITY OF MULTIFUNCTIONS

Proof. Let F be $\cap lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ multifunction and let $U \in \tau_2$. Let $V \subset Y$ be an open set such that $(F|_U)^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exists a set $W \in \tau_1, W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ such that $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. It means that $W \subset F^{-1}(V)$. Therefore, $W \cap U \subset (F|_U)^{-1}(V)$. It implies that $\inf_{\tau_1^U} ((F|_U)^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$. Since V was arbitrary chosen, the multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1^U upper somewhat continuous multifunction.

THEOREM 4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space. If a multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is $\bigcap QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ multifunction then $F|_U$ is τ_1^U somewhat continuous multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$.

Proof. Let F be $\cap QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction and $U \in \tau_2$. Let $V_1, V_2 \subset Y$ be open sets such that $(F|_U)^{+1} \cap (F|_U)^{-1}(V_2) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that $F(x_0) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exists a set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. It means that $W \subset F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2)$. Therefore $W \cap U \subset F^{+1}(V_1) \cap F^{-1}(V_2) \cap U$. It implies that $\operatorname{int}_{\tau_1^U} \left((F|U)^{+1}(V_1) \right) \cap \left((F|U)^{-1}(V_2) \right) \neq \emptyset$. Since V_1, V_2 were arbitrary chosen, the multifunction $F|_U$ is τ_1^U somewhat continuous multifunction. \square

We cannot replace the symbol τ_1^U with the symbol τ_1 in Theorems 3 and 4. Indeed, a multifunction F from Example 2 is $\bigcap uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$, $\bigcap lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ and $\bigcap QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ but neither τ_1 upper somewhat continuous nor lower somewhat continuous, and is not somewhat continuous multifunction.

If $F: X \to Y$ is a multifunction such that $F|_U$ is τ_1 somewhat continuous (upper somewhat continuous, lower somewhat continuous) multifunction for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$, then by Theorem 1 (Theorem 2) a multifunction F is $\subset QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}(\subset uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}, \subset lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2})$ multifunction. It implies that F is $\cap QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}(\cap uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}, \cap lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2})$ multifunction. It is wondering whether τ_1^U somewhat continuity (upper somewhat continuity, lower somewhat continuity) of $F|_U$ for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$ implies $\cap QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ continuity ($\cap uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ continuity, $\cap lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ continuity) of F. An example below gives an negative answer. Consequently, the converse theorems of Theorems 3 and 4 are not valid.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $F: X \to \mathbf{R}$, where $X = \{1, 2\}$ and \mathbf{R} denotes the space of reals with natural topology and a multifunction F be defined by the following formula

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} [-2,0] & \text{if } x = 1, \\ [-1,1] & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let τ_1 , τ_2 be topologies in X defined as follows.

$$\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}\}\$$
and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$

We can show that $F|_U$ is τ_1^U somewhat continuous (and lower and upper somewhat continuous at the same time) for any non-empty $U \in \tau_2$ but neither $\cap uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ nor $\cap lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ (and, of course, not $\cap QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$). Indeed, let $x_0 = 2$ and $U = \{2\}$. Then $F(x_0) = [-1, 1]$. Let $V_1 = \left(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$. We can see that there does not exist a set $W \in \tau_1$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ for any $x \in W$. Now, let $V_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$. Then there does not exist a set $W \in \tau_1$ such that $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$.

Let us recall the notions of u-density, l-density and density of a collection of subsets.

A collection α of non-empty subsets of Y is said to be u-dense (l-dense) in collection β of non-empty subsets of Y if for any $U \in \beta$ and any open set $V \subset Y$ such that $U \subset V$ ($U \cap V \neq \emptyset$) there exists a set $W \in \alpha$ such that $W \subset V$ ($W \cap V \neq \emptyset$) (see [NE2]).

A collection α of non-empty subsets of Y is said to be dense in collection β of non-empty subsets of Y if for any $U \in \beta$ and any open sets $V_1, V_2 \subset Y$ such that $U \subset V_1$ and $U \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ there exists a set $W \in \alpha$ such that $W \subset V_1$ and $W \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ (see [EN]).

We know that a multivalued map $F: X \to Y$ is upper (lower) somewhat continuous if and only if for any dense set $S \subset X$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in S\}$ is u-dense (l-dense) in the collection $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ (see [NE3]). We also know that a multivalued map $F: X \to Y$ is somewhat continuous if and only if for any dense set $S \subset X$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in S\}$ is dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ (see [EN]). We can generalize these results.

THEOREM 5. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space. A multifunction $F: X \to Y$ is $\subset uQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2} \left(\subset lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2} \right)$ multifunction if and only if for any dense set S in topology τ_1 and for any non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is u-dense $\{I$ -dense $\{I$ -dense $\{I\}$ in the collection $\{I$ -dense $\{I\}$.

We will only prove the "lower case" of this theorem.

Proof.

 \Rightarrow : Let F be $\subset lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction and let S be a dense subset of X, V an open subset of Y, and let $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau_2$. Let $F(x_0)$ be a set such that $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, where $x_0 \in U$. By the assumptions that there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_1$, $W \subset U$ such that $W \subset F^{-1}(V)$. Because of τ_1 density of the set S and relation $W \in \tau_1$, we have $S \cap W = S \cap W \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in S \cap W \cap U$. It implies that $F(x_1) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $x_1 \in S \cap U$, then $F(x_1) \in \{F(x) : x \in S \cap U\}$. The l-density of the family $\{F(x) : x \in S \cap U\}$ in the family $\{F(x) : x \in U\}$ was shown.

 \Leftarrow : Let us assume that for any dense set S in topology τ_1 and for any non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is 1-dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$. Suppose that F is not $\subset lQC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ at some point x_0 . Then there exists an open set $V \subset Y$ such that $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ such that for any non-empty subset $W \in \tau_1$ of U we have $F(x_W) \cap V = \emptyset$ for some element $x_W \in W$. Let $A = (X \setminus U) \cup \{x_W \in W : \emptyset \neq W \in \tau_1 \land W \subset U\}$. We will show τ_1 -density of the set A. Let $G \in \tau_1$. If $G \cap (X \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$ then, of course, $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $G \subset U$. Then there exists $x_G \in G$ such that $F(x_G) \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows that $x_G \in A$ and $x_G \in G$, and again, $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Let us observe that $F(x_0) \in \{F(x): x \in U\}$ and $F(x_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ but $F(x) \cap V = \emptyset$ for any $x \in A \cap U$.

THEOREM 6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space, and Y—a topological space. Then $F: X \to Y$ is $\subset QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ multifunction if and only if for any dense set S in topology τ_1 and for any non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$.

Proof.

 \Rightarrow : Let F be $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ multifunction and let S be a dense subset of X, let V_1, V_2 be an open subsets of Y and let $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau_2$. Let $F(x_0)$ be such that $F(x_0) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, where $x_0 \in U$. By the assumptions that there exists a non-empty set $W \in \tau_2, W \subset U$ such that $F(x) \subset V_1$ and $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ for any $x \in W$. Because of τ_1 density of the set S and relation $W \in \tau_1$, we have $S \cap W = S \cap W \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in S \cap W \cap U$. It implies that $F(x_1) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_1) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $x_1 \in S \cap U$ then $F(x_1) \in \{F(x) : x \in S \cap U\}$. The density of the family $\{F(x) : x \in S \cap U\}$ was shown.

 \Leftarrow : Let us assume that for any dense set S in topology τ_1 and for any non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$. Suppose that F is not $\subset QC^{\tau_1}_{\tau_2}$ at some point x_0 . Then there exist open subsets V_1, V_2 of the space Y such that $F(x_0) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a non-empty set $U \in \tau_2$ such that for any non-empty subset $W \in \tau_1$ of U we have $\neg F(x_W) \subset V_1$ or $F(x_W) \cap V = \emptyset$ for some element $x_W \in W$. Let $A = (X \setminus U) \cup \{x_W \in W : \emptyset \neq W \in \tau_1 \land W \subset U\}$. We will show τ_1 -density of the set A. Let $G \in \tau_1$. If $G \cap (X \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$ then, of course, $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $G \subset U$. Then there exists $x_G \in G$ such that $\neg F(x_G) \subset V_1$ or $F(x_G) \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. It follows that $x_G \in A$ and $x_G \in G$ and again $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Let us observe that $F(x_0) \in \{F(x): x \in U\}$ and $F(x_0) \subset V_1$ and $F(x_0) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ but $\neg F(x) \subset V_1$ or $F(x) \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ for any $x \in A \cap U$.

Let us consider "intersection" case.

Let $F: X \to Y$ be a multifunction. By Theorem 6 (Theorem 5) the condition "the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is dense (u-dense, l-dense) in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$ " implies that "F is $\subset QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ ($\subset uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$, $\subset lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$) multifunction" and, consequently, it implies that F is $\bigcap QC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}$ $\left(\bigcap uQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}, \bigcap lQC_{\tau_2}^{\tau_1}\right)$ multifunction. But the last condition does not imply that the collection $\{F(s):$ $s \in U \cap S$ is dense (u-dense, l-dense) in the collection $\{F(x) : x \in U\}$. Let us consider the multifunction F from Example 2. We can see that $2^X \setminus \tau_1 =$ $\{\{1,2,3\},\{2,3\},\{1,3\},\{2\},\emptyset\}\}$. Let $S=\{1,2\}$. It is obvious that $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau_1}(\{1,2\})=$ $\{1,2,3\} = X$. Therefore S is a τ_1 -dense subset of X. Let $U = \{2,3\}$. Then $\{F(x): x \in U\} = \{F(2), F(3)\} = \{[-1, 0] \cup \{1\}, [-2, 0]\} \text{ and } \{F(s): s \in \{1\}, [-2, 0]\}$ $U \cap S$ = $\{F(2)\}$ = $\{[-1,0] \cup \{1\}\}$. Let $V_1 = (-\frac{5}{2},\frac{1}{2})$. Then $F(3) \subset V_1$ but $\neg F(2) \subset V_1$. It was shown that the collection $\{F(s) : s \in U \cap S\}$ is not u-dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$. Let $V_2 = (-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$. Then $F(3) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ but $F(2) \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. It was shown that the collection $\{F(s) : s \in U \cap S\}$ is not l-dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$. Of course, the collection $\{F(s): s \in U \cap S\}$ is not dense in the collection $\{F(x): x \in U\}$.

REFERENCES

- [EL] EWERT, J.—LIPSKI, T.: Quasi-continuous multivalued mappings. Math. Slovaca 33 (1983), 69–74.
- [EN] EWERT, J.—NEUBRUNN, T.: On quasi-continuous multivalued maps. Demonstratio Math. 21 (1988), 697–711.
- [GH] GENTRY, K. R.—HOYLE, H. B.: Somewhat continuous functions. Czechoslovak Math. J. 21 (1971), 5–12.
- [KE] KELLY, J. C.: Bitopological spaces. Proc. London. Math. Soc. 13 (1963), 71–89.
- [LI1] LIPSKI, T.: Quasi-ciągłe odwzorowania wielowartościowe określone w przestrzeniach bitopologicznych. Doctoral Thesis, Słupsk, 1985.
- [LI2] LIPSKI, T.: Quasi continuous multivalued maps on bitopological spaces. Słupskie Prace Matematyczno-Przyrodnicze 7, Słupsk, 1988.
- [MA1] MATEJDES, M.: Minimal multifunctions and the cluster sets. Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **34** (2006), 71–76.
- [MA2] MATEJDES, M.: Continuity points of functions on product spaces. Real Anal. Exchange 23 (1997), 275–280.
- [NE1] NEUBRUNN, T.: On quasi-continuity of multifunctions. Math. Slovaca 32 (1982), 147–154.
- [NE2] NEUBRUNN, T.: On Blumberg sets for multifunctions. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. 15 (1982), 109–113.
- [NE3] NEUBRUNN, T.: On generalized Blumberg sets. Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II. 14 (1987), 399–405.

ON GENERALIZATIONS OF CONTINUITY OF MULTIFUNCTIIONS

[PO1] POPA, V.: Asupra unei descompuneri a cvasicontiniutatii multifunctiilor. Stud. Cercet. Mat. 27 (1975), 323–328.

[PO2] POPA, V.: Certaines caracterisations des fonctions multivoques et faiblement continues. Stud. Cerc. Math. 37 (1985), 77–82.

Received October 16, 2006

The Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science of Łódź University Ul. Stefana Banacha 22 PL-90-238 Łódź POLAND

E-mail: anrychle@math.uni.lodz.pl