

# THE INCLUSION-EXCLUSION PRINCIPLE WITHOUT DISTRIBUTIVITY

JANA KELEMENOVÁ

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the article of P. Grzegorzewski [*The inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-events*, Inform. Sci. **181** (2011), 536–546], who has worked two generalizations of the inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-events, a generalization of the inclusion-exclusion principle for mappings with values in semigroups is presented here. The main idea is in replacing the distributivity and idempotency laws, by one new axiom.

## 1. Introduction

P. Grzegorzewski gave two generalizations of the inclusion-exclusion principle in [3] for IF-events applying two definitions for the union of IF-events. As a reaction on this paper we have proved the principle for mappings from the set of IF-sets to the semigroup [4]. We have worked on generalizations of his theorem. First, we gave a theorem of the inclusion-exclusion principle on semigroups in [4]. The same we have made for the special case, the mapping from the set of IF-sets to the unit interval. Continuing with this topic, another method of the proof of the inclusion-exclusion principle for mappings with values in semigroups is presented in this paper. The conditions of distributivity and idempotency were replaced by the new axiom.

The classical inclusion-exclusion principle states

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^n \mathcal{P}(A_i \cap A_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j < k}^n \mathcal{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

---

© 2011 Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M99.

Keywords: semigroup, distributivity law, inclusion-exclusion principle, Gödel connectives.

This article was supported by VEGA 1/0621/11.

for any sequence  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  from the domain of  $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow R$  (where  $\mathcal{P}$  is non-negative, additive function in probability theory).

P. Grzegorzewski has defined the probability on IF-event  $A$  by the formula

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right],$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the probability measure through  $\Omega$ .

This inclusion-exclusion principle has the form [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{P}(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mathcal{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \dots \\ &\quad \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n); \\ \mathcal{P}(\oplus_{i=1}^n A_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{P}(A_i \odot A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mathcal{P}(A_i \odot A_j \odot A_k) - \dots \\ &\quad \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{P}(A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Other authors (Lavinia Ciungu, Beloslav Riečan and Mária Kuko v á) have worked this topic on IF-sets and IF-events by different methods and using different operators. We mention a brief summary of their results. The axiomatic definition of probability on IF-sets given in [6] is the following.

A mapping  $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an IF-state if the following properties are satisfied:

1.  $m(1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}) = 1, m(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}) = 0$ ;
2.  $m(A \oplus B) = m(A) + m(B) - m(A \odot B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
3.  $A_n \nearrow A$  implies  $m(A_n) \nearrow m(A)$ .

Let  $m: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an IF-state. Then there are probability measures

$$P, Q: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

such that

$$m(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha \left( 1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A + \nu_A) dQ \right) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The result of L. Ciungu [2] using Łukasiewicz operators is following. Let  $A_i$  be IF-events,  $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $m$  be an IF-state and

$$m(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha \left( 1 - \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A + \nu_A) dQ \right).$$

Then  $m$  satisfies the inclusion-exclusion principle

$$m\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) - \sum_{i<j}^n m(A_i \odot A_j) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} m\left(\bigodot_{i=1}^n A_i\right).$$

In the proof of L. Ciungu representation theorem from [1] was used.

Another representation theorem by Riečan and Ciungu [1], corresponding to axiomatic definition of probability from Riečan [7] was used in the proof of M. Kuková [5]:

Any probability  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  can be expressed by the formulas:

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}(A) = [\overline{\mathcal{P}^b}(A), \overline{\mathcal{P}^\sharp}(A)]$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}^b}(A) = \int_X \mu_A dP + \alpha \int_X (1 - \mu_A - \nu_A) dQ,$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}^\sharp}(A) = \int_X \mu_A dR + \beta \int_X (1 - \mu_A - \nu_A) dS.$$

Kuková [5] proved:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i) - \sum_{i<j} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i \cap A_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j<k} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) - \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Kuková used also the Łukasiewicz connectives:

$$\begin{aligned} A \oplus B &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \wedge 1, (\nu_A + \nu_B - 1) \vee 0), \\ A \odot B &= ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1) \vee 0, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1) \end{aligned}$$

to prove another form of the principle [5], that is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i) - \sum_{i<j} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i \odot A_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j<k} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_i \odot A_j \odot A_k) - \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} \overline{\mathcal{P}}(A_1 \odot A_2 \odot \dots \odot A_n). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. Inclusion-exclusion principle without distributivity for mapping with values in semigroups

As it is mentioned in the introduction, we skip the conditions of distributivity and idempotency in the algebraic system  $(\mathcal{G}, +, \cdot)$ , where “ $\cdot$ ” is commutative binary operation, and  $(\mathcal{G}, +)$  is a commutative semigroup.

The only required condition is the axiom

$$m((a + b) \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c) = m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c).$$

**Example 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $X$ . Let  $H$  be a linear vector Banach Riesz space. Then, any vector measure  $m : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow H$  satisfies the above condition.

**ASSUMPTIONS 2.2.**

- $(\mathcal{G}, +, \cdot)$  is an algebraic system, where  $(\mathcal{G}, +)$  is a commutative semigroup and “ $\cdot$ ” is commutative binary operation,
- $m : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H$  is a mapping from the algebraic system  $(\mathcal{G}, +, \cdot)$  to the commutative semigroup  $(H, +)$ , satisfying the valuation property

$$m(a + b) + m(a \cdot b) = m(a) + m(b), \quad (\text{I})$$

- there holds the axiom

$$m((a + b) \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c) = m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c). \quad (\text{II})$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** For  $n$  even

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{2k}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{2k-1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III})$$

For  $n$  odd there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{((n+1)/2)-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{2k}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{(n+1)/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{2k-1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV})$$

In the following examples on the sum of even and odd number of elements from  $(\mathcal{G}, +, \cdot)$  the process used in the proof for  $n$  general is presented. We add the same terms to both sides of the equation and use the valuation property.

**Example 2.4.** For  $n = 3$ . Let  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{G}$ . Using the valuation property (I) we can write

$$m((a+b)+c) + m((a+b) \cdot c) = m(a+b) + m(c),$$

from where we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & m(a+b+c) + m((a+b) \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c) \\ &= m(a+b) + m(c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c), \\ & m(a+b+c) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) \\ &= m(a+b) + m(c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c), \\ & m(a+b+c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) \\ &= m(a) + m(b) + m(c) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

A little bit complicated is the example for  $n$  even.

**Example 2.5.** For  $n = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} & m((a+b+c)+d) + m((a+b+c) \cdot d) \\ &= m(a+b+c) + m(d), \\ & m(a+b+c+d) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot d + b \cdot d + c \cdot d) \\ &= m(a+b+c) + m(d) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b), \\ & m(a+b+c+d) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot d + b \cdot d + c \cdot d) \\ &= m(a) + m(b) + m(c) + m(d) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c), \\ & m(a+b+c+d) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot d + b \cdot d + c \cdot d) \\ &\quad + m(a \cdot d \cdot b \cdot d) + m(a \cdot d \cdot c \cdot d) + m(b \cdot d \cdot c \cdot d) \\ &= m(a) + m(b) + m(c) + m(d) + m(a \cdot b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b \cdot d) \\ &\quad + m(a \cdot c \cdot d) + m(b \cdot c \cdot d), \\ & m(a+b+c+d) + m(a \cdot c) + m(b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b) + m(a \cdot d) + m(b \cdot d) + m(c \cdot d) \\ &\quad + m(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \\ &= m(a) + m(b) + m(c) + m(d) \\ &\quad + m(a \cdot b \cdot c) + m(a \cdot b \cdot d) + m(a \cdot c \cdot d) + m(b \cdot c \cdot d). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of the Theorem 2.3.** We use the method of mathematical induction here. The assumption is that the principle for  $n$  elements of  $(\mathcal{G}, +, \cdot)$  holds and we will prove, that it holds also for  $n + 1$  elements.

At first, we will prove the principle for  $n$  even. From the induction assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

The unique condition used here is the axiom (II) in its general form:

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \cdot a_{n+1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k-1}} \cdot a_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V})$$

By the help of (I) and by adding the same terms to both sides of the equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k \right) + m \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \cdot a_{n+1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k}^{(n)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}) \\ &= m \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) + m(a_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k}^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI})$$

We use the induction assumption (III) on the right side of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k}^{(n)} \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}) + m\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right) \cdot a_{n+1}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k-1}^{(n)} + m(a_{n+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{VII}$$

There can be used the result (V) for the left side of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k}^{(n)} \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k-1}} \cdot a_{n+1}) \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} S_{2k-1}^{(n)} + m(a_{n+1}) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}} \cdot a_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{VIII}$$

This yields the final formula of the inclusion-exclusion principle for  $n + 1$  odd number of elements from  $(G, +, \cdot)$ . Neither distributivity nor idempotency law were used.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{((n+2)/2)-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k} \leq n+1} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k}}) \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{(n+2)/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{2k-1} \leq n+1} m(a_{i_1} \cdot a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{2k-1}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof for  $n$  odd is analogical, and concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Conclusions

The further research could be focused on the relevance of this axiom for the family of IF-sets, and then to prove the inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-sets by the help of the axiom.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CIUNGU, L.—RIEČAN, B.: *General form of probabilities on IF-sets*, in: Proc. WILF '09, Palermo, Italy, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., Vol. 5571, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, pp. 101–107.
- [2] CIUNGU, L.: *The inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-states*, Inform. Sci., 2011 (to appear).
- [3] GRZEGORZEWSKI, P.: *The inclusion-exclusion principle for IF-events*, Inform. Sci. **181** (2011), 536–546.
- [4] KELEMENOVÁ, J.: *The inclusion-exclusion principle in semigroups*, in: Recent Advances in Fuzzy Sets, IF-Sets, Generalized Nets and Related Topics, Vol. I, IBS PAN–SRI PAS, Warsaw, 2011, pp. 87–94.
- [5] KUKOVÁ, M.: *The Inclusion-Exclusion Principle for IF-events*, Inform. Sci., 2011 (to appear).
- [6] RIEČAN, B.: *M-probability theory on IF-events*, in: New Dimensions in Fuzzy Logic and Related Technologies, Proc. of 5th EUSFLAT '07, Vol. I. (M. Štěpnička, et al., eds.), Universitas Ostraviensis, Ostrava, 2007, pp. 227–230.
- [7] RIEČAN, B.: *A descriptive definition of the probability on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, in: Proc. EUSFLAT '03 (M. Wagenecht and R. Hampet, eds.), Zittau-Goerlitz Univ. Appl. Sci., Dordrecht, 2003, pp. 263–266.

Received August 16, 2011

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Natural Sciences  
Matej Bel University  
Tajovského 40  
SK-974-01 Banská Bystrica  
SLOVAKIA  
E-mail: jana.kelemenova@umb.sk*