## EVALUATION OF TETRAHEDRAL AI IN TRIOCTAHEDRAL CHLORITES FROM b AND doon DATA

## BELLA B. SMOLIAR-ZVIAGINA and VICTOR A. DRITS

Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 7 Pyzhevsky per., 109017 Moscow, Russia

(Manuscript received April 26, 1993; accepted in May 24, 2993)

**Abstract:** Analysis of structural and chemical data on trioctahedral chlorites has yielded a relationship that allows estimating the amount of tetrahedral Al up to 0.14 at. per  $0_{10}(OH)_8$  from the data on b and  $d_{001}$  parameters.

Key words: trioctahedral chlorite, Al for Si substitution, XRD powder data.

In our recent paper (Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992) we analysed the interrelationships between structural parameters and chemical compositons of trioctahedral chlorites and concluded that the complicated pattern in the dependence of d<sub>001</sub> on cation composition hampers direct estimation of chlorite composition from d<sub>001</sub> data. The problem, however, can be simplified if we make use of a peculiarity characteristic of trioctahedral chlorite structures that was not taken into account in the previous paper. Careful analysis of high-precision chlorite structural data has shown that the mean interatomic cation-anion distance in the brucite-like layer (d<sub>br</sub>) is related by a constant factor to the b parameter:

$$b/d_{br} = 4.528 \pm 0.007 \tag{1}$$

Owing to greater distortions of the octahedra this rule is not as strictly obeyed as in the case of the 2: 1 layer (Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992):

$$b/d_{oct} = 4.440 \pm 0.004$$
 (2)

but allows, nevertheless, the amount of tetrahedral aluminum,  $Al_t$  (in atoms per  $O_{10}(OH)_8$ ), to be expressed in terms of b and  $d_{001}$  parameters. This is done as follows.

Equations (1) and (2) are combined with the formulae for the tetrahedral sheet thickness  $h_t$  the distance separating the 2:1 layer and the brucite-like layer  $h_{sep}$  the octahedral sheet thickness calculated from the non-hydroxyl oxygens,  $h_{oct,max}$ , and the brucite-like layer thickness,  $h_{br}$ :

$$h_t = (l_p^2 - l_b^2/3)^{1/2}$$
 (3)

where  $l_p$  and  $l_b$  are tetrahedral apical and basal edgelengths, respectively, given by

$$l_b = 1.623d_t$$

$$l_p = 3.266d_t - l_b$$

and  $d_t = 1.616 + 0.0375$  Alt is the mean tetrahedral bondlength (Eqs. 6 - 9, Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992);

$$h_{\text{sep}} = 2.847 - 0.042(Al_t)^3$$
 (4)

$$h_{\text{oct,max}} = 1.038d_{\text{oct}} + 0.034$$
 (5)

$$h_{br} = 0.978d_{br}$$
 (6)

(Eqs. 11-14, Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992). Combination of (1-6) with the formula

$$d_{001} = h_{\text{oct,max}} + h_{\text{br}} + 2(h_t + h_{\text{sep}})$$

gives

$$(Al_t)^3 - 1.214Al_t + 11.905d_{001} - 5.355b - 120.119 = 0(7)$$

It can be shown that (7) has a unique, crystal-chemically reasonable solution if

$$d_{001} - 0.4498b = 10.133$$
 or  $d_{001} - 0.4498b \le 10.090$ ;

which corresponds to Al<sub>t</sub>  $\geq$  1.102 at. per  $0_{10}$ (OH)8.

If  $10.090 < d_{001}$  - 0.4498b < 10.133, there are two positive roots, and basing on the supposition of Bailey (1988) that the layer charge in chlorites is most often close to unity, we suggest that the root which is closer to unity should be chosen. Application of (7) to 17 chlorite samples (Nos.1-10, Tab. 1 and 1 - 4, 7 - 9, Tab. 2, Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992) has shown that the standard deviation between the reported and calculated  $Al_t$  values is 0.14 at. per  $O_{10}(OH)_8$ . The experimental and calculated data are compared in Tab. 1.

Thus application of structural considerations has allowed us not only to investigate the factors affecting the dependence of  $d_{001}$  on chlorite composition but also to obtain, for the first time, a relatively simple equation for estimating the contents of tetrahedral Al from XRD powder data. Table 2 compares the observed and calculated Alt and  $\Sigma_{tr}$  (sum of transition-metal octahedral

Table 1: Experimental and calculated Alt values for trioctahedral chlorites.

No.	Sample	(Alt)exp	(Alt)calc	
1	Penninite IIb-4	0.84	0.65	
2	Cr-clinochlore IIb-4 (Day Book Body)	1.01	1.05	
3	Cr-clinochlore IIb-4 (Siskiyou Cty)	0.98	1.11	
4	Fe-clinochlore IIb-2 (Washington)	1.38	1.37	
5	Clinochlore IIb-4 (Kenya)	0.94	1.04	
6	Clinochlore IIb-2(Kenya)	0.94	0.96	
7	Clinochlore IIb-4(Urals)	1.15	1.11	
8	Clinochlore IIb-2(Urals)	1.15	1.16	
9	Cr-chlorite Ia-4	0.85	0.68	
10	Baileychlore	0.45	0.82	
11	Chlorite IIb (N. Hampshire)	1.54	1.61	
12	Corundophyllite	0.98	0.93	
13	Sayama mine	1.45	1.63	
14	22	0.90	0.70	
15	257	1.39	1.38	
16	271	0.99	1.03	
17	296	1.40	1.39	

<sup>\*</sup> For references, see Tabs. 1, 4 in Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992.

**Table 2:** Experimental and calculated  $Al_t$  and  $\Sigma_{tr}$  values for chlorites of Prieto et al. (1991).

Sample	b	d <sub>001</sub>	Alt		$\Sigma_{tr}$	
			exp	calc	exp	calc
P1	9.234	14.33	0.69	0.63	0.25	0.50
P2	9.240	14.17	1.00	1.37	0.44	0.70
Р3	9.240	14.15	1.20	1.41	0.43	0.70
P4	9.300	14.15	1.27	1.48	2.25	2.70
B5	9.288	14.10	1.46	1.57	2.08	2.30
P6	9.342	14.12	1.40	1.58	4.19	4.10
В7	9.342	14.11	1.29	1.60	4.33	4.10
В8	9.328	14.12	1.50	1.57	3.48	3.63

cations) values for several chlorites (Prieto et al. 1991) that have not been part of the initial data set ( $\Sigma_{tr}$  values have been calculated from the equation  $b = 9.219 + 0.030 \Sigma_{tr}$ , Drits & Smoliar-Zviagina 1992).

In the case of  $d_{001}$  - 0.4498b > 10.133 Eq. (7) has no positive solutions. The relationship between b and  $d_{001}$  may therefore lead to inferences on crystal-chemical factors controlling the existence of a chlorite structure of a certain composition. Thus the relationships obtained allow estimating, at least to the first approximation, the compositions of both tetrahedral and octahedral sheets in chlorite layers from powder XRD data.

## References

Bailey S. W., 1988: Chlorites: structures and crystal chemistry. In: Bailey S.W. (Ed.): Reviews in Mineralogy, 19: Hydrous phyllosilicates (exclusive of micas). Mineral. Soc. Amer., 347 - 403.

Drits V. A. & Smoliar-Zviagina B. B., 1992: Relationships between unit-cell parameters and cation composition of sheet silicates
II: Trioctahedral chlorites. *Geol. Carpath., Ser. Clays*, 1, 35 - 40.
Prieto A. C., Dubessy J. & Cathelineau M., 1991: Structure - com-

position relationships in trioctahedral chlorites: a vibrational spectroscopy study. *Clays and Clay Miner.*, 39, 531 - 539.