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#### Abstract

In this paper, sufficient conditions to guarantee the square integrability of all solutions and the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of a non-autonomous third-order neutral delay differential equation are established. An example is given to illustrate the main results.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we discuss three classic questions on the behavior of solutions of differential equations, namely, their boundedness, stability, and square integrability. In particular, we examine the uniform asymptotic stability of solutions of the third order nonlinear neutral delay differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[x(t)+\beta x(t-\tau)]^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) }\left(Q(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+ \\
& b(t)\left(R(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)+c(t) f(x(t-\tau))=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the boundedness and square integrability of solutions of the corresponding forced equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[x(t)+\beta x(t-\tau)]^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) }\left(Q(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+ \\
& b(t)\left(R(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)+c(t) f(x(t-\tau))=h(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Here $\beta$ and $\tau$ are constants with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $\tau \geq 0$, the functions $a, b$, $c:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), Q, R: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty), h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, and $x f(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$.

For second order equations, determining the asymptotic stability and square integrability of solutions has been a very active area of research over the years; see, for example, the monographs [3] and [4]. These properties have received far less attention for third order equations; some early well-known results on special cases of equation (1.1) can be found in Ezeilo [12, H ar a [19], and the classic work of Reissig, Sansone, and Conti [25]. More recent results have appeared in the monograph of Padhi and Pati [23] and the papers Ademola and Arawomo [1], Baculíková and Džurina [2], Bartušek and Graef [5], [6], Došlá (9], Graef et al. [13]-17], Mihalí ková and Kostiková [20], Omeike [21], Oudjedi [22, Qian [24], Remili et al. [26]-38], Tian et al. 39], Tunç [40]-45], and Zhang and Yu u6.

By a solution of (1.1) or (1.2) we mean a continuous function $x:\left[t_{x}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $x(t)+\beta x(t-\tau) \in C^{3}\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and which satisfies the equation on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$.

## 2. Asymptotic stability

We shall make use of the following assumptions on the functions appearing in the equations. Assume that there are positive constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, c_{0}, b_{1}, q_{0}, q_{1}$, $r_{0}, r_{1}, L, \delta, d, M, \eta$, and $J$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad 0<a_{0} \leq a(t) \leq a_{1}$ and $0<c_{0} \leq c(t) \leq b(t) \leq b_{1}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad 0<q_{0} \leq Q(x) \leq q_{1}$ and $1 \leq r_{0} \leq R(x) \leq r_{1}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \delta\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right)<d<a_{0} q_{0}$ and $-L \leq b^{\prime}(t) \leq c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) f(0)=0, \frac{f(x)}{x} \geq M>0$ for $x \neq 0, f^{\prime}$ is continuous and $f^{\prime}(x) \leq \delta$ for all $x$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t) Q(x)-c_{0}\left(d-\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \delta\right)+\frac{b_{1} \beta}{2}\left(r_{1}+r_{1} \beta+\delta\right) \leq-\eta<0$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)-(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)<0$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|Q^{\prime}(u)\right|+\left|R^{\prime}(u)\right|\right) d u \leq J<+\infty$.
Our main result on the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of equation (1.1) is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold. Then, the zero solution of equation (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable if

$$
\tau<\min \left\{\frac{2 \eta}{b_{1} \delta(1+\beta+2 d)}, \frac{(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)-\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)-b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)}{b_{1} \delta(1+\beta)}\right\} .
$$

Proof. For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$
\theta_{1}(t)=(Q(x(t)))^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t), \quad \theta_{2}(t)=(R(x(t)))^{\prime}=R^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)
$$
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and

$$
X(t)=x(t)+\beta x(t-\tau) .
$$

Then,

$$
X^{\prime}(t)=Y(t)=y(t)+\beta y(t-\tau) \quad \text { and } \quad X^{\prime \prime}(t)=Z(t)=z(t)+\beta z(t-\tau)
$$

We will write equation (1.1) as the equivalent system:

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & y(t), \\
y^{\prime}(t)= & z(t) \\
Z^{\prime}(t)= & -a(t) Q(x) z(t)-a(t) Q^{\prime}(x) y^{2}(t)-b(t) R(x) y(t)  \tag{2.1}\\
& -c(t) f(x(t))+c(t) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

Define a Lyapunov functional $U(t, x, y, Z)$ such that $U(t, 0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\left|\theta_{1}(s)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(s)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) V \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{0}+V_{1}+\mu \int_{t-\tau}^{t} z^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\sigma \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\lambda \int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} & =d c(t) F(x)+c(t) Y(t) f(x)+\frac{b(t) R(x)}{2} Y^{2}(t), \\
V_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} Z^{2}(t)+d y Z(t)+\frac{1}{2} d a(t) Q(x) y^{2}, \\
F(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} f(u) \mathrm{d} u,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\kappa, \mu, \sigma$ and $\lambda$ are constants to be suitably selected below.
First, we shall show that $V(t)$ defined by (2.3) is positive definite. From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(Z^{2}+2 d y Z+d a(t) Q(x) y^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left((Z+d y)^{2}+d y^{2}(a(t) Q(x)-d)\right)=V_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, it follows that

$$
V_{1}=\frac{d a(t) Q(x)}{2}\left(y+\frac{1}{a(t) Q(x)} Z\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(t) Q(x)-d}{a(t) Q(x)}\right) Z^{2}=V_{12}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}= & \frac{1}{2} V_{11}+\frac{1}{2} V_{12} \\
= & \frac{1}{4}(Z+d y)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} d a(t) Q(x)\left(y+\frac{1}{a(t) Q(x)} Z\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} d(a(t) Q(x)-d) y^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a(t) Q(x)}(a(t) Q(x)-d) Z^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{d\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)}{4} y^{2}+\frac{\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)}{4 a_{1} q_{1}} Z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this inequality we see that there is a positive constant $k_{0}$ such that

$$
V_{1} \geq k_{0}\left(y^{2}+Z^{2}\right)
$$

where $k_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{d}{4}\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right), \frac{1}{4 a_{1} q_{1}}\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)\right\}$. From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} & =d c(t) F(x)+\frac{b(t) R(x)}{2} Y^{2}+\frac{c(t)}{2}(Y+f(x))^{2}-\frac{c(t)}{2} Y^{2}-\frac{c(t)}{2} f^{2}(x) \\
& \geq d c(t) \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{f^{\prime}(u)}{d}\right) f(u) \mathrm{d} u+\frac{b(t)}{2}\left(R(x)-\frac{c(t)}{b(t)}\right) Y^{2} \\
& \geq d c(t) \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{d}\right) f(u) \mathrm{d} u+\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left(r_{0}-1\right) Y^{2} \\
& \geq \delta_{1} F(x)+\frac{c_{0}}{2}\left(r_{0}-1\right) Y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{1}=d c_{0}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{d}\right)$. Observe that by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{f^{2}(x)}{x^{2}} \geq M^{2}
$$

which implies that

$$
F(x) \geq \frac{1}{2 \delta} f^{2}(x) \geq \frac{M^{2}}{2 \delta} x^{2}(t)
$$

Since

$$
\sigma \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\mu \int_{t-\tau}^{t} z^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\lambda \int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} s>0
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq k_{1}\left(Z^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=\min \left\{k_{0}, \frac{M^{2} \delta_{1}}{2 \delta}, \frac{c_{0}}{2}\left(r_{0}-1\right)\right\}$. By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \geq k_{2}\left(Z^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $k_{2}>0$. It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, y, Z)=k_{2}\left(Z^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}+Y^{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x=y=Z=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \geq k_{2}\left(Z^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}+Y^{2}\right)=W(x, y, Z)>0 \quad \text { if } \quad(x, y, Z) \neq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of the functional V along the trajectories of the system (2.1) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}= & H(t, x, y)+\frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t) Q(x) y^{2}+\beta c(t) y y(t-\tau) f^{\prime}(x)+b(t) \beta R(x) y(t-\tau) z \\
& +b(t) \beta^{2} R(x) y(t-\tau) z(t-\tau)-\sigma y^{2}(t-\tau) \\
& -d b(t) R(x) y^{2}+c(t) y^{2} f^{\prime}(x)+\sigma y^{2}+\lambda \tau y^{2} \\
& +(d-a(t) Q(x)) z^{2}(t)+\mu z^{2}(t)+\beta(d-a(t) Q(x)) z z(t-\tau)-\mu z^{2}(t-\tau) \\
& -\lambda \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+c(t)(z+\beta z(t-\tau)+d y) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s+\psi(Y, Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\psi(Y, Z)=\frac{b(t)}{2} \theta_{2}(t) Y^{2}-\frac{1}{2} d a(t) \theta_{1}(t) y^{2}-a(t) \theta_{1}(t) y Z
$$

and

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) F(x)+c^{\prime}(t) Y f(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t) R(x)}{2} Y^{2}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(Y, Z) & \leq \frac{b_{1}}{2}\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right| Y^{2}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|(1+d)\left(y^{2}+Z^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \omega\left(\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right|\right)\left(y^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\omega=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{b_{1}, a_{1}(1+d)\right\}$.
If $c^{\prime}(t)=0$, then $H(t, x, y)=\frac{b^{\prime}(t) R(x)}{2} Y^{2} \leq 0$. If $c^{\prime}(t)<0$, then $H(t, x, y)$ can be written as

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) H_{1}(t, x, y)
$$

where

$$
H_{1}(t, x, y)=\left[F(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t) R(x)}{2 d c^{\prime}(t)}\left\{Y+\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{b^{\prime}(t) R(x)} f(x)\right\}^{2}-\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{2 d b^{\prime}(t) R(x)} f^{2}(x)\right]
$$

From $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we have $0<\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{b^{\prime}(t)} \leq 1$, so

$$
H_{1}(t, x, y) \geq F(x)-\frac{1}{2 d} f^{2}(x) \geq \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{\delta}{d}\right) f(u) \mathrm{d} u \geq \frac{\delta_{1}}{d c_{0}} \int_{0}^{x} f(u) \mathrm{d} u \geq 0 .
$$

It follows immediately that

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) H_{1}(t, x, y) \leq 0
$$

Hence, on combining the two cases for $c^{\prime}(t)$, we have $H(t, x, y) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x$, and $y$.

From condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and applying the fact that $2 u v \leq u^{2}+v^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
z \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{\delta \tau}{2} z^{2}+\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{2.8}\\
\beta z(t-\tau) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{\beta \delta \tau}{2} z^{2}(t-\tau)+\frac{\delta \beta}{2} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{\delta \tau}{2} d y^{2}+\frac{\delta d}{2} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and using (2.8) -(2.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime} \leq & \left(\frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t) Q(x)-b(t)\left(d R(x)-\delta\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \frac{c(t)}{b(t)}\right)+\sigma+\frac{d \delta \tau}{2} b_{1}+\lambda \tau\right) y^{2}(t) \\
& +\left(\mu-\frac{(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)-\beta b_{1} r_{1}}{2}+\frac{\delta \tau}{2} b_{1}\right) z^{2}(t) \\
& +\left(\frac{b_{1} \beta r_{1}}{2}(1+\beta)+\frac{\delta \beta b_{1}}{2}-\sigma\right) y^{2}(t-\tau) \\
& +\left(\frac{\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta^{2} r_{1}}{2}-\mu+\beta \frac{\delta \tau}{2} b_{1}\right) z^{2}(t-\tau) \\
& +\left(\frac{\delta}{2} b_{1}+\beta \frac{\delta}{2} b_{1}+\frac{d \delta}{2} b_{1}-\lambda\right) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\omega\left(\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right|\right)\left(y^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\mu=\frac{\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} r_{1} \beta^{2}+\beta \delta \tau b_{1}}{2}, \quad \lambda=\frac{\delta b_{1}}{2}(1+\beta+d)
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\frac{b_{1} \beta}{2}\left(r_{1}+\beta r_{1}+\delta\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime} \leq & \left(\frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t) Q(x)-c_{0}\left(d-\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \delta\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{b_{1} \beta}{2}\left(r_{1}+\beta r_{1}+\delta\right)+\frac{b_{1} \delta \tau}{2}(1+\beta+2 d)\right) y^{2}(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)-(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)+b_{1} \delta \tau(1+\beta)\right) z^{2}(t) \\
& +\omega\left(\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right|\right)\left(y^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)-(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)+b_{1} \delta \tau(1+\beta)\right) z^{2}(t) \\
& +\left(-\eta+\frac{b_{1} \delta \tau}{2}(1+\beta+2 d)\right) y^{2}(t)+\omega\left(\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right|\right)\left(y^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.4), (2.2), and taking $\frac{1}{\kappa}=\frac{\omega}{k_{1}}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} U= & \exp \left(-\frac{\omega}{k_{1}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\left|\theta_{1}(s)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(s)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s\right)\left(\frac{d}{d t} V-\frac{\omega\left(\left|\theta_{1}(t)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(t)\right|\right)}{k_{1}} V\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)-(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)+b_{1} \delta \tau(1+\beta)\right) z^{2}(t) \\
& +\left(-\eta+\frac{b_{1} \delta \tau}{2}(1+\beta+2 d)\right) y^{2}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime} \leq-N\left(y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\tau<\min \left\{\frac{2 \eta}{b_{1} \delta(1+\beta+2 d)}, \frac{(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right)-\beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)-b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)}{b_{1} \delta(1+\beta)}\right\}
$$

Finally, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}(x, y, z)=0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x=y=z=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}(x, y, z)<0 \quad \text { for } \quad(x, y, z) \neq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of the Lyapunov function $U$, namely (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), and (2.13), we see that the zero solution of the system (2.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable (see [7] [18]), and this completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. Boundedness of solutions of (1.2)

To study the boundedness of solutions of the forced equation (1.2), we will write it as the system

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & y(t), \\
y^{\prime}(t)= & z(t), \\
Z^{\prime}(t)= & -a(t) Q(x) z(t)-a(t) Q^{\prime}(x) y^{2}(t)-b(t) R(x) y(t)  \tag{3.1}\\
& -c(t) f(x(t))+h(t)+c(t) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{align*}
$$

Our main theorem in this section is as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and there is a positive constant $D_{1}$ such that
( $\left.\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t}|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s<D_{1}$.
Then there exists a positive constant $D$ such that any solution $x(t)$ of (3.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)| \leq D, \quad|y(t)| \leq D, \quad \text { and } \quad|Z(t)| \leq D \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.3) along the solutions of system (3.1), we obtain

$$
V_{\sqrt{(3.1)}}^{\prime}=V_{(2.1)}^{\prime}+h(t)(d y+Z)
$$

Since $V_{[\underline{2.1]}}^{\prime} \leq 0$, it follows that

$$
V_{(3.1]}^{\prime} \leq K_{2}|h(t)|(|y|+|Z|),
$$

where $K_{2}=\max \{d, 1\}$. Now the inequality (2.4) and the fact that $|p| \leq p^{2}+1$ imply

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\sqrt[(3.1)]{\prime}} & \leq K_{2}|h(t)|\left(y^{2}+Z^{2}+2\right) \\
& \leq K_{2}|h(t)| V(t)+2 K_{2}|h(t)| \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating from $t_{1}=t_{0}+\tau$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
V(t)-V\left(t_{1}\right) \leq 2 K_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s+K_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} V(s)|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s
$$
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or

$$
V(t) \leq V\left(t_{1}\right)+2 K_{2} D_{1}+K_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} V(s)|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s
$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq\left(V\left(t_{1}\right)+2 K_{2} D_{1}\right) \exp \left(K_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s\right) \leq D_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $V(t)$ is bounded. In view of (2.4), this implies the conclusion of the theorem holds.

Corollary 3.2. Under the conditions of Theorem[2.1, the zero solution of equation (1.1) is globally uniformly asymptotically stable.

Proof. By Theorem [2.1] the zero solution of equation (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable, and by Theorem 3.1 all solutions are bounded. The conclusion then follows by the well-known LaSalle's invariance principle.

## 4. Square integrability of solutions

In this section, we are concerned with the square integrability of solutions of equation (1.2). Our main result in this direction is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, assume that
( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) $c_{0} M-\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2}>0$;
( $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ) $\int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty}\left|a^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s<A$.
Then all solutions of equation (1.2) and their derivatives belong to $L^{2}\left[t_{1},+\infty\right)$.
Proof. Define W(t) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)=U(t)+\varepsilon \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(z^{2}(s)+y^{2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a constant to be specified later. By differentiating $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})$ and using (2.11), (3.3), and the fact that

$$
\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\left|\theta_{1}(s)\right|+\left|\theta_{2}(s)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \leq 1
$$

we obtain

$$
W^{\prime}(t) \leq(\varepsilon-N)\left(z^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)\right)+\left(K_{2} V(t)+2 K_{2}\right)|h(t)|
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon<N$, from (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}(t) \leq K_{4}|h(t)| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{4}=K_{2} D_{2}+2 K_{2}$. Integrating (4.2) from $t_{1}=t_{0}+\tau$ to $t$ and using condition ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) of Theorem 3.1] we have

$$
W(t)-W\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} W^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq K_{4} D_{1}
$$

Now,

$$
U\left(t_{1}\right)=W\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

so

$$
W(t) \leq K_{4} D_{1}+U\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, by (4.1),

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(y^{2}(s)+z^{2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s<\frac{K_{4} D_{1}+U\left(t_{1}\right)}{\varepsilon}
$$

which implies the existence of positive constants $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime \prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} z^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \sigma_{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \sigma_{1}
$$

To prove that $\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s<\infty$, multiply (1.2) by $x(t-\tau)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t-\tau) x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & +\beta x(t-\tau) x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t-\tau) \\
& +a(t) Q(x) x(t-\tau) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+a(t) Q^{\prime}(x) x(t-\tau) x^{\prime 2}(t) \\
& +b(t) R(x) x(t-\tau) x^{\prime}(t)+c(t) x(t-\tau) f(x(t-\tau)) \\
& =x(t-\tau) h(t) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## STABILITY, BOUNDEDNESS AND SQUARE INTEGRABILITY

Integrating (4.3) from $t_{1}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} c(s) x(s-\tau) f(x(s-\tau)) \mathrm{d} s=L_{1}(t)+L_{2}(t)+L_{3}(t) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1}(t)= & -\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(x(s-\tau) x^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)+\beta x(s-\tau) x^{\prime \prime \prime}(s-\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
L_{2}(t)= & -\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(a(s) Q(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime \prime}(s)+a(s) Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x^{\prime 2}(s) x(s-\tau)\right. \\
& \left.+b(s) R(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
L_{3}(t)= & \int_{t_{1}}^{t} h(s) x(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1}(t) & =M_{1}(t)-M_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s-\tau) x^{\prime \prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq\left|M_{1}(t)-M_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{\prime 2}(s-\tau)+x^{\prime \prime 2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
M_{1}(t)=-x(t-\tau) X^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\beta}{2} x^{\prime 2}(t-\tau)
$$

Now,

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime 2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t-\tau} x^{\prime 2}(u) \mathrm{d} u \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} x^{\prime 2}(u) \mathrm{d} u+\sigma_{1} \leq \sigma_{3}+\sigma_{1} \quad \text { for some } \sigma_{3}>0
$$

In view of (3.2), we see that

$$
\left|M_{1}(t)-M_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \leq D^{2}\left(\frac{3 \beta}{2}+1\right)+\left|M_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \quad \text { for all } t \geq t_{1}
$$

Thus,

$$
L_{1}(t) \leq D^{2}\left(\frac{3 \beta}{2}+1\right)+\left|M_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left(n+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)=l_{1}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2}(t)= & -\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(a(s) Q(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime \prime}(s)+a(s) Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x^{\prime 2}(s) x(s-\tau)\right. \\
& \left.+b(s) R(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
= & -a(t) Q(x(t)) x(t-\tau) x^{\prime}(t)+a(t) Q(x(t)) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) x^{\prime}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} a^{\prime}(s) Q(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\int_{t_{1}}^{t} a^{\prime}(s) Q(x(s))\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{s} x^{\prime}(u) x^{\prime}(u-\tau) \mathrm{d} u\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} a(s) Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\int_{t_{1}}^{t} a(s) Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s)\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{s} x^{\prime}(u) x^{\prime}(u-\tau) d u\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& -\int_{t_{1}}^{t} b(s) R(x(s)) x(s-\tau) x^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s+M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=a\left(t_{1}\right) Q\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right) x\left(t_{1}-\tau\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2}(t) \leq & q_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\left|a^{\prime}(s)\right|\left|x^{\prime}(s)\right||x(s-\tau)|+\left|a^{\prime}(s)\right|\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{s} x^{\prime}(u) x^{\prime}(u-\tau) \mathrm{d} u\right]\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +a_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\left|Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s) \| x^{\prime}(s)\right||x(s-\tau)|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|Q^{\prime}(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s)\right|\left[\int_{t_{1}}^{s} x^{\prime}(u) x^{\prime}(u-\tau) \mathrm{d} u\right]\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s+\left|M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+a_{1} q_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & a_{1} q_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)+\left|M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+q_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|a^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
& +a_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right) \int_{x\left(t_{1}\right)}^{x(t)}\left|Q^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \sigma_{1} \\
\leq & a_{1} q_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)+\left|M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+q_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right) A \\
& +a_{1}\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right) J+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \sigma_{1}+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
L_{3}(t) \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t}|x(s-\tau)||h(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq D \int_{t_{1}}^{t} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq D_{1} D
$$

From (4.4) and condition $\left(I_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0} M \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s & \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} c(s) x(s-\tau) f(x(s-\tau)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq K+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K= & l_{1}+\left(D^{2}+\sigma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(a_{1} q_{1}+q_{1} A+a_{1} J\right) \\
& +\left|M_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2} \sigma_{1}+D_{1} D
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left(c_{0} M-\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s \leq K
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{2}(s-\tau) \mathrm{d} s<\infty \quad \text { for all } \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

Hence, $\int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty} x^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s<\infty$, and this completes the proof of theorem.

## 5. Example

As an example of our results, consider the forced third order non-autonomous delay neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x(t)+\frac{1}{10} x(t-\tau)\right]^{\prime \prime \prime} } & +\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan t+\frac{13}{2}\right)\left(\left(2-\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}\right) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2+t^{2}}+1\right)\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{3+x^{2}}\right) x^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4+t^{2}}+1\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} x(t-\tau)+\frac{x(t-\tau)}{1+x^{2}(t-\tau)}\right)=\frac{\sin t}{1+t^{2}} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that for all $t \geq t_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6=a_{0} \leq a(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan t+\frac{13}{2} \leq 7=a_{1}, \\
& a^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi}, \\
& 1=c_{0} \leq c(t)=\frac{1}{4+t^{2}}+1 \leq b(t)=\frac{1}{2+t^{2}}+1 \leq \frac{3}{2}=b_{1}, \\
& 1=q_{0} \leq Q(x)=2-\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \leq 2=q_{1}, \\
& 1 \leq R(x)=1+\frac{1}{3+x^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{3}=r_{1}, \\
& \frac{3}{2}=M \leq \frac{f(x)}{x}=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \quad \text { with } \quad x \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{5}{2}=\delta, \\
& \delta\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right)=\frac{105}{40}<d<6=a_{0} q_{0} \quad \text { for } \quad \beta=\frac{1}{10} \quad \text { and } \quad d=5, \\
& c_{0} M-\frac{b_{1} r_{1}}{2}=\frac{3}{2}-1>0, \\
& \frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t) Q(x)-c_{0}\left(d-\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2}\right) \delta\right)+\frac{b_{1} \beta}{2}\left(r_{1}+r_{1} \beta+\delta\right) \leq-\frac{48}{100}<0 \quad \text { for } \quad d=5 \text {, } \\
& \beta\left(a_{1} q_{1}-d\right)+b_{1} \beta r_{1}(1+\beta)-(2-\beta)\left(a_{0} q_{0}-d\right) \leq-\frac{39}{50}<0 \quad \text { for } \quad d=5, \\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty}\left|a^{\prime}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+s^{2}}<+\infty, \\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty}|h(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+s^{2}}<+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

## STABILITY, BOUNDEDNESS AND SQUARE INTEGRABILITY

and

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|Q^{\prime}(u)\right|+\left|R^{\prime}(u)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} u \leq J<+\infty
$$

All the conditions of Theorem4.1 are satisfied, so every solution of (5.1) and their derivatives are bounded and belong to $L^{2}\left[t_{1},+\infty\right)$. In addition, if $h(t) \equiv 0$, then the zero solution of (5.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.
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