

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF SYSTEM OF THREE DYNAMIC EQUATIONS WITH NEUTRAL TERM ON TIME SCALES

URSZULA OSTASZEWSKA — EWA SCHMEIDEL — MAŁGORZATA ZDANOWICZ

University of Białystok, Białystok, POLAND

ABSTRACT. In this paper the system of three dynamic equations with neutral term in the following form

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + p(t)x(u_1(t)))^\Delta = a(t)f(y(u_2(t))), \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)g(z(u_3(t))), \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)h(x(u_4(t))) \end{cases}$$

on time scales is considered. The aim of this paper is to present sufficient conditions for the existence of positive bounded solutions of the considered system for $0 < p(t) \leq \text{const} < 1$. The main tool of the proof of presented here result is Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem. Also, the useful generalization of the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem on time scales to the three-dimensional case is proved.

1. Introduction

Consider a nonlinear dynamic system of three equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + p(t)x(u_1(t)))^\Delta = a(t)f(y(u_2(t))), \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)g(z(u_3(t))), \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)h(x(u_4(t))) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

on a time scale \mathbb{T} .

Throughout this paper $x, y, z: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are unknown functions and $p, a, b, c: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f, g, h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $u_i: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Here \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers and \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary time scale.

The time scale theory was found promising because it demonstrates the interplay between the theories of continuous-time and discrete-time systems

© 2018 Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34N05, 39A10, 39A22.

Keywords: system of difference equation, three-dimensional, neutral type, boundedness, nonoscillatory solution, time scales.

(see [2], [3]), and from twenty years attracting attention of many researchers.

Particularly, the system of dynamic equations on time scales was studied by many authors. For example, Taousser, Defoort and Djemai in [10] deal with the stability analysis of a class of uncertain switched systems on non-uniform time domains. The classical results on stabilization of nonlinear continuous-time and discrete-time systems are extended to systems on arbitrary time scales with bounded graininess function in [1] by Bartosiewicz and Piotrowska. A necessary and sufficient condition for the exponential stability of time-invariant linear systems on time scales in terms of the eigenvalues of the system matrix is found by Pötzsche, Siegmund and Wirth in [8]. In [12], Zhu and Wang considered the existence of nonoscillatory solutions to neutral dynamic equations on time scales. In the discrete case, the existence of a bounded nonoscillatory solution of nonlinear neutral type difference systems has been studied in [9] and [11]. In this paper the authors improved and generalised for arbitrary time scales some results obtained by Migda, Schmeidel and Zdanowicz in [7] in discrete case.

Let us recall some basic definitions and facts related to time scales.

DEFINITION 1 ([2]). A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers.

The mapping $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, defined by $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T}: s > t\}$ with $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$ is called the forward jump operator. Similarly, we define the backward jump operator $\rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, given by $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T}: s < t\}$ with $\sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T}$. The following classification of points is used within the theory: a point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called right-dense, right-scattered, left-dense and left-scattered if $\sigma(t) = t$ (for $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$), $\sigma(t) > t$, $\rho(t) = t$ (for $t > \inf \mathbb{T}$) and $\rho(t) < t$, respectively. We say that t is isolated if $\rho(t) < t < \sigma(t)$, and that t is dense if $\rho(t) = t = \sigma(t)$. The function $\mu: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$ is called the graininess function. The delta (or Hilger) derivative of $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, where

$$\mathbb{T}^\kappa := \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \setminus (\rho(\sup \mathbb{T}), \sup \mathbb{T}] & \text{if } \sup \mathbb{T} < \infty, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } \sup \mathbb{T} = \infty \end{cases}$$

is defined in the following way.

DEFINITION 2 ([2]). The delta derivative of function f at a point t , denoted by $f^\Delta(t)$, is the number (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta; t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that

$$\left| \left(f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) \right) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s) \right| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \text{for all } s \in U.$$

We say that a function f is delta (or Hilger) differentiable on \mathbb{T}^κ provided $f^\Delta(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. The function $f^\Delta: \mathbb{T}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is then called the (delta) derivative of f on \mathbb{T}^κ .

DEFINITION 3 ([2]). A function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called regulated provided its right-sided limits exist (finite) at right-dense points in \mathbb{T} and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in \mathbb{T} . A function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points in \mathbb{T} and its left-side limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in \mathbb{T} .

DEFINITION 4 ([2]). Assume $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regulated function. We define the indefinite integral of a regulated function f by $\int f(t)\Delta t = F(t) + C$, where C is an arbitrary constant and F is a pre-derivative of f . We define the Cauchy integral by $\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = F(b) - F(a)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$.

We are interested in the nonoscillatory behaviour of system (1), that is why the general assumption on the time scale \mathbb{T} is the following $\inf \mathbb{T} = T_0$ and $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$.

By a solution of (1), we mean a sequence $(X(t)) = [x(t), y(t), z(t)]^T$ of delta differentiable functions which are defined on \mathbb{T} and satisfy (1) for $t \geq T_1 \geq T_0$. A function φ is called eventually positive (or eventually negative) if there exists $T \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\varphi(t) > 0$ (or $\varphi(t) < 0$) for all $t \geq T$ in \mathbb{T} . If the function φ is either eventually positive or eventually negative we call it nonoscillatory. A solution X of system (1) is called nonoscillatory if all its components, i.e., x, y, z are nonoscillatory.

2. Preliminaries

For $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, let

$$[T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : t \geq T_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}} = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : T_1 \leq t \leq T_2\}.$$

By $C(A, B)$, $C_{rd}(A, B)$ we denote the set of all continuous functions mapping A to B , the set of all rd-continuous functions mapping A to B , respectively.

For elements of \mathbb{R}^3 the symbol $|\cdot|$ stands for the maximum norm. By $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ we denote the Banach space of all triples of bounded and continuous functions with the supremum norm defined on time scale \mathbb{T} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ X : X \in C([T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}^3), \text{ and } \|X\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |X(t)| < \infty \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Let Ω be a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$.

DEFINITION 5. Ω is called uniformly Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ exists $T_1 \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that for any $X \in \Omega$

$$|X(t_1) - X(t_2)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t_1, t_2 \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

DEFINITION 6. Ω is called equi-continuous on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $X \in \Omega$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$,

$$|X(t_1) - X(t_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

The analogue of the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem on time scales was proved by Zhu and Wang in [12]. We need the generalization of this theorem to the three-dimensional case.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ is bounded and uniformly Cauchy. Moreover, assume that Ω is equi-continuous on $[T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for any $T_1 \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then Ω is relatively compact.*

Proof. Since Ω is uniformly Cauchy we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T_1 \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that for any $X \in \Omega$

$$|X(t_1) - X(t_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad t_1, t_2 \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (3)$$

By the assumption of boundness there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|X\| \leq \alpha$ for every $X \in \Omega$. We can choose the sequence of $N_1 + 1$ real numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N_1}$ such that $-\alpha = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{N_1} = \alpha$ and

$$|\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N_1 - 1. \quad (4)$$

The equi-continuity of Ω on $[T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ implies that for the chosen $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $X \in \Omega$

$$|X(t) - X(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{if } |t - s| \leq \delta, \quad s, t \in [T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, we can choose N_2 real numbers from the interval $[T_0, T_1]$ so that $T_0 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{N_2} = T_1$ and

$$|t_{i+1} - t_i| \leq \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_2 - 1. \quad (6)$$

Now, we construct a continuous mapping class $\mathcal{U} \subset C([T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}^3)$. For each $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_2 - 1\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_1 - 1\}$ we define a function u_{ij}^k on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ as follows

$$u_{ij}^{(k)}(t) = \beta_j + \frac{\beta_{j+1} - \beta_j}{t_{i+1} - t_i}(t - t_i), \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$$

or

$$u_{ij}^{(k)}(t) = \beta_{j+1} + \frac{\beta_j - \beta_{j+1}}{t_{i+1} - t_i}(t - t_i), \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}].$$

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

Observe that function $u_{ij}^{(k)}$ connects with the line points (t_i, β_j) and (t_{i+1}, β_{j+1}) or (t_i, β_{j+1}) and (t_{i+1}, β_j) being opposite vertices of the rectangle domain: $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$ and $\beta_j \leq \beta \leq \beta_{j+1}$. Let \mathcal{U}_k be the set of all continuous functions $u^{(k)}$ on $[T_0, T_1]$ connecting functions $u_{ij}^{(k)}$ as above from $[t_1, t_2]$ to $[t_{N_2-1}, t_{N_2}]$. Note that each \mathcal{U}_k is a finite set for any fixed numbers N_1 and N_2 . Every function $u^{(k)} \in \mathcal{U}_k$ we extend to the function $\bar{u}^{(k)}$ defined on the whole $[T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ in the following way

$$\bar{u}^{(k)}(t) = \begin{cases} u^{(k)}(t), & t \in [T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u^{(k)}(T_1), & t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all possible triples $U(t) = [\bar{u}^{(1)}(t), \bar{u}^{(2)}(t), \bar{u}^{(3)}(t)]^T$. Clearly, \mathcal{U} is finite since \mathcal{U}_k is a finite set for $k = 1, 2, 3$. We will show that \mathcal{U} is a finite ε -net for Ω . Since inequalities (4) and (5) and the definition of functions $\bar{u}^{(k)}$ for any $X = [x, y, z]^T \in \Omega$ we can choose $U = [\bar{u}^{(1)}, \bar{u}^{(2)}, \bar{u}^{(3)}]^T \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$|\bar{u}^{(1)}(t) - x(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad |\bar{u}^{(2)}(t) - y(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad |\bar{u}^{(3)}(t) - z(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (7)$$

for any $t \in [T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$, so on the interval $[T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ we have

$$|U(t) - X(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \quad (8)$$

In case when $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, from (3) and (7), we obtain

$$\left| \bar{u}^{(1)}(t) - x(t) \right| = \left| u^{(1)}(T_1) - x(t) \right| \leq |x(T_1) - x(t)| + \left| u^{(1)}(T_1) - x(T_1) \right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3},$$

and the same arguments give us

$$\left| \bar{u}^{(2)}(t) - y(t) \right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \bar{u}^{(3)}(t) - z(t) \right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

This means that for $t \in [T_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ we have

$$|U(t) - X(t)| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}. \quad (9)$$

Finally, since (8) and (9) we conclude that

$$\|U - X\| = \sup_{t \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}} |U(t) - X(t)| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Thus \mathcal{U} is a finite ε -net for Ω and this completes the proof of relative compactness of Ω . \square

We also recall Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem which will be crucial to establish the existence of nonoscillatory solutions for (1).

THEOREM 1 ([5]). *Let B be a Banach space, let Ω be a bounded, convex and closed subset of B and let F, G be maps of Ω into B such that*

- (i) $FX + GY \in \Omega$ for any $X, Y \in \Omega$,
- (ii) F is a contraction,
- (iii) G is completely continuous.

Then the equation $FX + GX = X$ has a solution in Ω .

3. Main results

We will assume in (1) that

(A1) $f, g, h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$,

(A2) $a, b, c \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\int_{T_0}^{\infty} |a(s)| \Delta s < \infty, \quad \int_{T_0}^{\infty} |b(s)| \Delta s < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{T_0}^{\infty} |c(s)| \Delta s < \infty,$$

(A3) $u_i \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T})$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_i(t) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

(A4) $p: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable.

Using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem we will prove the following

THEOREM 2. *Assume that conditions (A1)–(A4) hold. If there exists a positive real number c_p such that*

(A5) $0 < p(t) \leq c_p < 1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$,

then system (1) has a positive bounded solution.

P r o o f. For the fixed positive real number r we define set

$$\Omega = \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) : x(t), y(t), z(t) \in I, t \in \mathbb{T}\},$$

where $I = [\frac{1}{3}(1 - c_p)r, r]$. Ω is bounded closed convex subset of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$. Since condition (A1) is satisfied, we can set

$$M = \max \{|f(x)|, |g(x)|, |h(x)| : x \in I\}.$$

From (A2), there exists $T_1 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\int_{T_1}^{\infty} |a(s)| \Delta s \leq \frac{(1 - c_p)r}{3M}, \quad \int_{T_1}^{\infty} |b(s)| \Delta s \leq \frac{(1 - c_p)r}{3M}, \quad \int_{T_1}^{\infty} |c(s)| \Delta s \leq \frac{(1 - c_p)r}{3M}.$$

Next, we define the maps $F, G: \Omega \rightarrow B(\mathbb{T})$ where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \\ G_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

$$(FX)(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -p(t)x(u_1(t)) + \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} \\ \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} \\ \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1,$$

$$(FX)(t) = (FX)(T_1) \quad \text{for } T_0 \leq t < T_1,$$

$$(GX)(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\int_t^\infty a(s) f(y(u_2(s))) \Delta s \\ -\int_t^\infty b(s) g(z(u_3(s))) \Delta s \\ -\int_t^\infty c(s) h(x(u_4(s))) \Delta s \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1 \quad (10)$$

and

$$(GX)(t) = (GX)(T_1) \quad \text{for } T_0 \leq t < T_1.$$

We will show that F and G satisfy the conditions of the Theorem 1. First we show that for any $X, \bar{X} \in \Omega$ we have that $FX + G\bar{X} \in \Omega$. For $t \geq T_1$ we get the following upper and lower estimations

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1X)(t) + (G_1\bar{X})(t) &= -p(t)x(u_1(t)) + \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty a(s) f(\bar{y}(u_2(s))) \Delta s \\ &\leq \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} + \int_t^\infty |a(s)| \left| f(\bar{y}(u_2(s))) \right| \Delta s \\ &\leq \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} + M \int_t^\infty |a(s)| \Delta s \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3}r + \frac{1}{3}c_p r + M \cdot \frac{(1-c_p)r}{3M} = r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_1 X)(t) + (G_1 \bar{X})(t) &= -p(t)x(u_1(t)) + \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty a(s) f(\bar{y}(u_2(s))) \Delta s \\
 &\geq \frac{(2+c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty |a(s)| \left| f(\bar{y}(u_2(s))) \right| \Delta s - p(t)x(u_1(t)) \\
 &\geq \frac{2}{3}r + \frac{1}{3}c_p r - M \cdot \frac{(1-c_p)r}{3M} - c_p r \\
 &= \frac{2}{3}r + \frac{1}{3}c_p r - \frac{1}{3}r + \frac{1}{3}c_p r - c_p r \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}(1-c_p)r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(F_1 X)(t) + (G_1 \bar{X})(t) \in I$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and any $X, \bar{X} \in \Omega$.

Below we present reasoning for maps F_2 and G_2 , but the same conclusions can be drawn for F_3 and G_3 .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_2 X)(t) + (G_2 \bar{X})(t) &= \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty b(s) g(\bar{z}(u_3(s))) \Delta s \\
 &\leq \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} + \int_t^\infty |b(s)| \left| g(\bar{z}(u_3(s))) \right| \Delta s \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{3}r - \frac{2}{3}c_p r + M \cdot \frac{(1-c_p)r}{3M} = (1-c_p)r \leq r,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_2 X)(n) + (T_2 \bar{X})(n) &= \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty b(s) g(\bar{z}(u_3(s))) \Delta s \\
 &\geq \frac{2(1-c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty |b(s)| \left| g(\bar{z}(u_3(s))) \right| \Delta s \\
 &\geq \frac{2}{3}r - \frac{2}{3}c_p r - M \cdot \frac{(1-c_p)r}{3M} = \frac{1}{3}(1-c_p)r.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $X, \bar{X} \in \Omega$ we have that $F X + G \bar{X} \in \Omega$.

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

The next step is to prove that F is a contraction mapping. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(F_1 X)(t) - (F_1 \bar{X})(t)| &\leq p(t) |x(u_1(t)) - \bar{x}(u_1(t))| \\
 &\leq c_p |x(u_1(t)) - \bar{x}(u_1(t))| \\
 &\leq c_p \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |x(u_1(t)) - \bar{x}(u_1(t))| \\
 &\leq c_p \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|, \\
 |(F_2 X)(t) - (F_2 \bar{X})(t)| &= 0, \\
 |(F_3 X)(t) - (F_3 \bar{X})(t)| &= 0, \quad \text{for } X, \bar{X} \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq T_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|FX - F\bar{X}\| \leq c_p \|X - \bar{X}\|,$$

where, by (A5), there is $0 < c_p < 1$.

It remains to show that G is a completely continuous mapping. We start it showing that G is continuous. Consider sequence $X_n = [x_n, y_n, z_n]^T \in \Omega$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|X_n - X\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $X \in \Omega$ and for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have that $|x_n(t) - x(t)| \rightarrow 0$, $|y_n(t) - y(t)| \rightarrow 0$, $|z_n(t) - z(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because f is continuous, then for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$|a(t)| \left| f\left(y_n(u_2(t))\right) - f\left(y(u_2(t))\right) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, for $y_n(t), y(t) \in I$ we get that

$$|a(t)| \left| f\left(y_n(u_2(t))\right) - f\left(y(u_2(t))\right) \right| \leq 2M|a(t)|. \quad (12)$$

From (10) we obtain

$$|(G_1 X_n)(t) - (G_1 X)(t)| \leq \int_t^\infty |a(s)| \left| f\left(y_n(u_2(s))\right) - f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \right| \Delta s$$

for any $t \geq T_1$, and

$$|(G_1 X_n)(t) - (G_1 X)(t)| \leq \int_{T_1}^\infty |a(s)| \left| f\left(y_n(u_2(s))\right) - f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \right| \Delta s$$

for $T_0 \leq t < T_1$. Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |(G_1 X_n)(t) - (G_1 X)(t)| \leq \int_{T_1}^\infty |a(s)| \left| f\left(y_n(u_2(s))\right) - f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \right| \Delta s. \quad (13)$$

Since (11), (12) and (13) applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem on time scales for the integral on time scales [4], [6] we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |(G_1 X_n)(t) - (G_1 X)(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Analogously, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |(G_2 X_n)(t) - (G_2 X)(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |(G_3 X_n)(t) - (G_3 X)(t)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$\|GX_n - GX\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It means that G is a continuous mapping on Ω .

To prove that $G\Omega$ is relatively compact it is sufficient to verify that $G\Omega$ satisfies all conditions in the Lemma 1. Obviously $G\Omega$ is a bounded set. Now we will show that it is uniformly Cauchy. Let $X \in \Omega$. Observe that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ by assumptions (A1) and (A2) there exists $T_2 > T_1$ such that for all $t \geq T_2$ the following inequality holds

$$\int_t^\infty |a(s)| \left| f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \right| \Delta s < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence by definition of G ,

$$|(G_1 X)(t_1) - (G_1 X)(t_2)| = \left| \int_{t_1}^\infty a(s) f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \Delta s - \int_{t_2}^\infty a(s) f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \Delta s \right| < \varepsilon$$

for arbitrary $t_1, t_2 \in [T_2, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Since similar arguments can be apply to G_2 and G_3 we conclude that $G\Omega$ is uniformly Cauchy.

Finally, it remains to prove the equi-continuity on $[T_0, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$ for any $T_2 \in [T_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. Observe that for any $X \in \Omega$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [T_0, T_1]_{\mathbb{T}}$

$$|(GX)(t_1) - (GX)(t_2)| \equiv 0,$$

that is why we can assume that $T_2 > T_1$. Taking now $t_1, t_2 \in [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$ we obtain the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} |(G_1 X)(t_1) - (G_1 X)(t_2)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^\infty a(s) f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \Delta s - \int_{t_2}^\infty a(s) f\left(y(u_2(s))\right) \Delta s \right| \\ &\leq M \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a(s) \Delta s \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{M \cdot \max_{t \in [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} |a(t)|}$$

such that, when $t_1, t_2 \in [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_1$, we get that

$$|(G_1 X)(t_1) - (G_1 X)(t_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$|(GX)(t_1) - (GX)(t_2)| \leq \max \left\{ M \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a(s) \Delta s \right|, M \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} b(s) \Delta s \right|, M \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} c(s) \Delta s \right| \right\},$$

we obtain the equi-continuity of $G\Omega$ with $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$, where $\delta_2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{M \cdot \max_{t \in [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} |b(t)|}$ and $\delta_3 = \frac{\varepsilon}{M \cdot \max_{t \in [T_1, T_2]_{\mathbb{T}}} |c(t)|}$. Lemma 1 implies that $G\Omega$ is relatively Cauchy. From the above we obtain that G is a completely continuous mapping.

By the Theorem 1, there exists X^* such that $FX^* + GX^* = X^*$. We will verify that $X^*(t)$ satisfies system (1) for $t \geq T_1$. Since $(F_1X^*)(t) + (G_1X^*)(t) = x^*(t)$ we have

$$-p(t)x^*(u_1(t)) + \frac{(2 + c_p)r}{3} - \int_t^\infty a(s)f(y^*(u_2(s)))\Delta s = x^*(t). \quad (14)$$

After moving the term $-p(t)x^*(u_1(t))$ to the right-hand side of the equation and then applying to its both sides delta differentiation we get

$$\left(x^*(t) + p(t)x^*(u_1(t))\right)^\Delta = \left[-\int_t^\infty a(s)f(y^*(u_2(s)))\Delta s\right]^\Delta.$$

Thus

$$\left(x^*(t) + p(t)x^*(u_1(t))\right)^\Delta = a(t)f(y^*(u_2(t))), \quad (15)$$

since $a(t)f(y^*(u_2(t)))$, by assumption (A3), as rd-continuous function has its antiderivative.

Let us notice that the Theorem 1 guaranties the equality (14) and by the assumptions (A1)–(A5) we arrive to (15). Hence, we see that $x^*(t)$ is not only rd-continuous but, moreover, rd-continuously delta differentiable. Similarly, from equation $(F_2X^*)(t) + (G_2X^*)(t) = y^*(t)$ we get that

$$(y^*)^\Delta(t) = \left[\int_t^\infty b(s)g(z^*(u_3(s)))\Delta s\right]^\Delta.$$

Again, by assumption (A3), we know that $b(t)g(z^*(u_3(t)))$ is rd-continuous function and in consequence

$$(y^*)^\Delta(t) = b(t)g(z^*(u_3(t))).$$

In the same manner we verify that $(F_3X^*)(t) + (G_3X^*)(t) = z^*(t)$ implies the third equation of (1). Hence X^* is the solution of system (1). The proof is complete. \square

Finally, the above theorem is illustrated with examples in which four different time scales are employed.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\mathbb{T} = [5, \infty)$. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + \frac{1}{2t}x(t-1))^\Delta = a(t)y(t-2), \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)(z(t-1))^2, \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)x(t) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= -\frac{(4t^2 - 6t + 3)(t-2)}{2t^2(t-1)^2(3t-5)}, \\ b(t) &= -\frac{(t-1)^4}{t^2(3t^2 - 6t + 4)^2}, \\ c(t) &= -\frac{2}{t^2(2t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that all the conditions (A1)–(A5) are satisfied. Here $c_p = \frac{1}{10}$. One of the bounded solutions of the above system is

$$X(t) = \left[2 + \frac{1}{t}, 3 + \frac{1}{t}, 3 + \frac{1}{t^2} \right]^T.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{n : n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + \frac{1}{3t}x(t-2))^\Delta = a(t)[(y(t))^2 + 2], \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)(z(t-1))^3, \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)(x(t-2))^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= -\frac{(7t^2 - 17t + 12)t}{3(t+1)(t-1)(t-2)(6t^2 + 4t + 1)}, \\ b(t) &= -\frac{(t-1)^6}{t^4(t+1)(t-2)^3}, \\ c(t) &= \frac{(2t+1)(t-2)^2}{t^4(t+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again it is easy to see that all the conditions (A1)–(A4) are satisfied. Also the condition (A5) of the Theorem 2 is satisfied with $c_p = \frac{1}{9}$. One of the bounded solutions of the above system is

$$X(t) = \left[1 + \frac{2}{t}, 2 + \frac{1}{t}, 1 - \frac{1}{t^2} \right]^T.$$

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

EXAMPLE 3. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, where \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of nonnegative integers. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(\rho(t)))^\Delta = a(t)y(\rho(t)), \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)z(t), \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)(x(t))^3 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \frac{t}{2}, \\ a(t) &= -\frac{1}{2t(t+1)}, \\ b(t) &= -\frac{1}{2t(t+1)}, \\ c(t) &= -\frac{t}{2(t+1)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that all the conditions (A1)–(A5) are satisfied (condition (A5) with constant $c_p = \frac{1}{2}$). One of the bounded solutions of this system is

$$X(t) = \left[1 + \frac{1}{t}, 2 + \frac{1}{t}, 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right]^T.$$

EXAMPLE 4. Let $\mathbb{T} = P_{1,1} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty [2k, 2k+1]$. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} (x(t) + \frac{1}{3}x(t-2))^\Delta = a(t)y(t-2), \\ y^\Delta(t) = b(t)(z(t-2))^2, \\ z^\Delta(t) = c(t)(x(t))^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= -\frac{4(t^2 + 7t + 13)}{3(2t+7)(t+3)(t+5)^2}, \\ b(t) &= -\frac{(t+1)^2}{(t+5)^2(2t+3)^2}, \\ c(t) &= -\frac{(t+5)^2}{(t+3)^2(4t+21)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty [2k, 2k+1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(t) &= -\frac{2(2t^2 + 16t + 33)}{3(2t + 7)(t + 6)(t + 5)(t + 4)}, \\
 b(t) &= -\frac{(t + 1)^2}{(t + 5)(t + 6)(2t + 3)^2}, \\
 c(t) &= -\frac{(t + 5)^2}{(t + 3)(t + 4)(4t + 21)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2k + 1\}$. One of the bounded solutions of this system is

$$X(t) = \left[4 + \frac{1}{t + 5}, 2 + \frac{1}{t + 5}, 2 + \frac{1}{t + 3} \right]^T.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BARTOSIEWICZ, Z.—PIOTROWSKA, E.: *On stabilisability of nonlinear systems on time scales*, Internat. J. Control **86** (2013), 139–145.
- [2] BOHNER, M.—PETERSON, A.: *Dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [3] ———. *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [4] DENIZ, A.: *Measurable theory on time scales*. PhD Thesis, The Graduate School of Engineering and sciences of Izmir Institute of Technology, 2007.
- [5] ERBE, L. H.—KONG, Q.—ZHANG, B. G.: *Oscillation theory for functional differential equations*. CRC Press, New York, 1995.
- [6] GUSEINOV, G. SH.: *Integration on time scales*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **285** (2003), 107–127.
- [7] MIGDA, M.—SCHMEIDEL, E.—ZDANOWICZ, M.: *Existence of nonoscillatory solutions for system of neutral difference equations*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **9** (2015), 271–284.
- [8] PÖTZSCHE, C.—SIEGMUND, S.—WIRTH, F.: *A spectral characterization of exponential stability for linear time-invariant systems on time scales*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2003), 1223–1241.
- [9] SCHMEIDEL, E.: *Properties of solutions of system of difference equations with neutral term*, Funct. Differ. Equ. **18** (2011), 287–296.
- [10] TAOUSSER, F. Z.—DEFOORT, M.—DJEMAI, M.: *Stability analysis of a class of uncertain switched systems on time scale using Lyapunov functions*, Nonlinear Anal.: Hybrid Systems **16** (2015), 13–23.
- [11] THANDAPANI, E.—KARUNAKARAN, R.—AROCKIASAMY, I. M.: *Bounded non-oscillatory solutions of neutral type difference systems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ Equ., Spec. Ed. I, **25** (2009), 1–8.

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

- [12] ZHU, Z. Q.—WANG, Q. R.: *Existence of nonoscillatory solutions to neutral dynamic equations on time scales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007), 751–762.

Received October 19, 2017

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Białystok
K. Ciołkowskiego 1M
PL-15-245 Białystok
POLAND*

E-mail: uostasze@math.uwb.edu.pl
eschmeidel@math.uwb.edu.pl
mzdan@math.uwb.edu.pl