ASSESSMENT OF INSULIN SENSITIVITY/RESISTANCE IN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES

Zofia Radikova

Institute of Experimental Endocrinology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, 833 06 Bratislava, Slovakia e-mail: Zofia.Cervenakova@savba.sk

Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp is known to be the "gold standard" in measurement insulin sensitivity. However, its time and financially consuming realization led to a simplified approach in quantification of insulin sensitivity. Various indices of insulin sensitivity/resistance using the data from an oral glucose tolerance test were proposed in last 20 years. The aim of this review is to evaluate critically the use of some of the proposed indices in insulin sensitivity estimation.

There are two groups of insulin sensitivity indices: 1. indices calculated by using fasting plasma concentrations of insulin, glucose and triglycerides, 2. indices calculated by using plasma concentrations of insulin and glucose obtained during 120 min of a standard (75g glucose) oral glucose tolerance test. Some authors used demographic parameters (BMI, age, weight) in their formulas to achieve the best correlation with euglycemic clamp data.

These indices are conveniently used in epidemiological and clinical studies to predict diabetes development in a non-diabetic population. Their use in clinical practice is limited because of the absence of reference values for normal and impaired insulin sensitivity.

Key words: Insulin sensitivity index – Oral glucose tolerance test – Insulin – Glucose

Minireview

Insulin resistance is accepted to be a major risk factor in the etiology of diabetes mellitus Type 2 (LILLIOJA et al. 1993), hypertension, dyslipidemias, atherosclerotic vascular disease (Bloomgarden 1998, Gutt et al. 2000), and may be a risk factor for coronary heart disease (Abbasi et al. 2002) and stroke (Kernan et al. 2002).

Several risk factors (e.g. obesity, physical inactivity, body fat distribution, age and hyperinsulinemia) may be considered markers of insulin resistance (Harris 1995). Insulin resistance is a predictor of diabetes mellitus Type 2 development even in individuals with normal glucose tolerance (Lillioja et al. 1993, Sicree et al. 1987, Saad et al. 1994). Therefore it is important to recognize insulin resistance in the pre-disease stage when therapeutic intervention is likely to be more successful than in manifest disease.

Several authors proposed various indices of insulin sensitivity based on the interrelations between the concentration of insulin, glucose and other parameters obtained either in fasting state or during oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and correlated the indices with the data obtained during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (HEC) (MATTHEWS et al. 1985, CEDERHOLM and WIBELL 1990, BELFIORE et al. 1998, MATSUDA and DEFRONZO 1999, AVIGNON et al. 1999, KATZ et al. 2000, STUMVOLL et al. 2001). The HEC-derived index of insulin sensitivity (ISI_{HEC}, ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹.µIU⁻¹.ml) is obtained during a steady state period of HEC (DEFRONZO et al. 1979).

$$ISI_{HEC} = MCR/I_{mean}$$
, where

 $I_{\text{mean}} - average \ steady \ state \ plasma \ insulin \ response \\ (\mu IU/ml),$

MCR – metabolic clearance rate of glucose (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹).

$$MCR = M_{mean}/(G_{mean} \times 0.18)$$
, where

M_{mean} – metabolized glucose expressed as average steady state glucose infusion rate per kg of body weight (mg.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹)

G_{mean} – average steady state blood glucose concentration (mmol/l)

0.18 – conversion factor to transform blood glucose concentration from mmol/l into mg/ml

Insulin sensitivity could be assessed by estimation of these indices referring to impairment in insulin sensitivity in individuals with normal glucose tolerance. Correct application of the indices in their proposed form and with the proposed concentration units is of high importance. A modification of the indicated calculations may lead to incoherence of results and to their incomparability with results of other authors.

Therefore, the aim of this review is to introduce several insulin sensitivity indices, their formulas and units as proposed by their authors and to evaluate critically the use of some of the suggested indices in insulin sensitivity estimation.

IR_{HOMA}

Indices of insulin sensitivity/resistance derived from fasting glucose and insulin concentrations reflect hepatic insulin sensitivity and basal hepatic glucose production.

Matthews et al. (1985) proposed a computer model of insulin-glucose interactions called homeostasis model assessment (HOMA). They assumed that normal-weight healthy subjects aged <35 years have 100% β -cell function and insulin resistance of 1. An index of insulin resistance, IR_{HOMA}, is the mathematical equivalent of the computer model. Its correlations with estimates obtained by hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (HEC) were reported to be 0.88; p<0.0001 (Matthews et al. 1985) and -0.59; p<0.0005 (Stumyoll et al. 2000). Value of insulin resistance can be assessed from fasting insulin (mIU/l) and glucose (mmol/l) concentrations. Matsuda and DeFronzo (1999) correlated the inverse value of this index with HEC in subjects with normal glucose tolerance (0.65; p<0.0001), with impaired glucose tolerance

(0.56; p<0.0001) and in patients with diabetes Type 2 (0.51; p<0.0001).

The equation proposed by Matthews et al.: $IR_{HOMA} = I_0/(22.5 \text{ x e}^{-ln(G_0)} \text{ could be rewritten as:} \\ IR_{HOMA} = (I_0 \text{ x } G_0)/22.5 \text{ (mathematically: e}^{-lnx} = 1/x). \\ It is appropriate to apply this index in large epidemi-$

It is appropriate to apply this index in large epidemiological studies where only fasting insulin and glucose values are available (STUMVOLL and GERICH 2001).

QUICKI

The quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) can be determined from fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) and insulin (μ IU/ml) concentrations (KATZ et al. 2000).

$$QUICKI = 1/(logI_0 + logG_0)$$

The reported values of QUICKI were 0.382 ± 0.007 for non-obese, 0.331 ± 0.010 for obese and 0.304 ± 0.007 for diabetic individuals. The authors correlated QUICKI and insulin sensitivity index obtained from HEC with the correlation coefficient 0.78; p<2x10⁻¹². They, however, did not exclude the possibility of a slightly less significant correlation to be found in future studies.

McAuley index

The authors (McAuley et al. 2001) proposed a formula for predicting insulin resistance in normoglycemic individuals. Regression analysis was used to estimate the cut-off points and the importance of various data for insulin resistance (fasting concentrations of insulin, triglycerides, aspartate aminotransferase, BMI, waist circumference). A bootstrap procedure was used to find an index most strongly correlating with insulin sensitivity index, corrected for fat-free mass obtained by hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (Mffm/I). An insulin sensitivity obtained from HEC of ≤ 6.3 (expressed as glucose disposal rate in milligrams per kilogram per minute divided by average plasma insulin concentration in mIU/l) was seen as a cut-off point for individuals with insulin resistance. The combination of fasting insulin (mIU/l) and triglycerides (TAG, mmol/l) showed the best prediction of insulin resistance as follows:

$$Mffm/I = e^{(2,63 - 0,28 \ln (I_0) - 0,31 \ln (TAG_0)}$$

Matsuda index

The index of whole-body insulin sensitivity, proposed by Matsuda and DeFronzo (1999) combines both hepatic and peripheral tissue insulin sensitivity. This index is calculated from plasma glucose (mg/dl) and insulin (mIU/l) concentrations in fasting state and during OGTT. The correlation coefficients between ISI_{Matsuda} and HEC were 0.73 (p<0.0001) in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, 0.66 (p<0.0001) in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (Matsuda and DeFronzo 1999), and 0.60 (p<0.0005) in non-diabetic subjects generally (Stumvoll et al. 2000). However, in subjects with diabetes mellitus Type 2 the correlation proved to be weaker 0.54 (p<0.0001)

$$ISI_{(\text{Matsuda})} = \frac{10000}{\sqrt{G_0 \times I_0 \times G_{\text{mean}} \times I_{\text{mean}}}} \text{ , where}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} I_0 - & \text{fasting plasma insulin concentration (mIU/l),} \\ G_0 - & \text{fasting plasma glucose concentration (mg/dl),} \\ G_{\text{mean}} - & \text{mean plasma glucose concentration during} \\ & \text{OGTT (mg/dl),} \end{array}$

 I_{mean} - mean plasma insulin concentration during OGTT (mU/l),

10000 – simplifying constant to get numbers from 0 to 12

 $\sqrt{}$ – correction of the nonlinear values distribution.

Belfiore index

The condition for calculation of the Belfiore formulas is the definition of the normal value for basal glucose and insulin concentrations and for mean normal value for glucose and insulin areas during OGTT (Belfiore et al. 1998). The main point of the Belfiore formulas is the comparison of insulin and glucose values measured (fasting, 0-1-2h areas or 0-2h areas) with the defined normal reference values.

$$ISI_{\text{Belfiore}} = \frac{\frac{2}{G_{\text{S}}} \times \frac{I_{\text{S}}}{I_{\text{N}}} + 1}, \text{ where}$$

G_s, G_n – plasma glucose concentrations expressed as fasting values or as areas obtained dur-

ing a standard OGTT at 0 and 2 h (0-2h areas are equal to $G_{\rm S,N}=G_{\rm 0}+G_{\rm 120}$) or at 0, 1 and 2 h (0-1-2h areas are equal to $G_{\rm S,N}$

$$=\frac{1}{2}G_0+G_{60}+G_{120});$$

 $I_{\rm S},\ I_{\rm N}$ — plasma insulin concentrations expressed as fasting values or as areas obtained during a standard OGTT at 0 and 2 h (0-2h areas are equal to $I_{\rm S,N}=I_0+I_{120}$) or at 0, 1 and 2 h (0-1-2h areas are equal to $I_{\rm S,N}=I_0+I_{60}+I_{120}$).

The subscripts S and N refer to "subjects" and "normal reference values", respectively. Insulin sensitivity calculated using these formulas can achieve only values between 0 and 2. In subjects with normal insulin sensitivity is it around 1; in overweight subjects, in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and with diabetes Type 2 this value is below 1. Correlation coefficients between ISI_{Belfiore} and HEC were in the original study reported to be 0.93-0.99; p<0.05-0.01 (Belfiore et al. 1998). Other authors (MATSUDA and Defronzo 1999) found lower correlation coefficients of these formulas with HEC: 0.65; p<0.01 in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, 0.54; p<0.01 in subjects with diabetes Type 2.

Cederholm index

The insulin sensitivity index proposed by Ceder-Holm and Wibell (1990) represents mainly peripheral insulin sensitivity and muscular glucose uptake, due to the dominant role of peripheral tissues in glucose disposal after an oral glucose load (Katz et al. 1983).

$$ISI_{Cederholm} = \frac{75000 + (G_{_0} - G_{_{120}}) \ x \ 1.15 \ x \ 180 \ x \ 0.19 \ x \ m}{120 \ x \ G_{_{mean}} \ x \ log(I_{_{mean}})} \ , \label{eq:cederholm}$$
 where

75000 – oral glucose load in an OGTT in mg,

 G_0 – fasting plasma glucose concentration (mmol/l),

 $G_{120} - \quad \text{plasma glucose concentration in the } 120^{\text{th}} \, \text{min} \\ \quad \text{of OGTT (mmol/l)},$

1.15 – factor transforming whole venous blood glucose to plasma values (not necessary, if glucose concentration is estimated in plasma), 180 – conversion factor to transform plasma glucose concentration from mmol/l into mg/l,

0.19 - glucose space in liter per kg of body weight,

m – body weight (kg),

120 – duration of OGTT (min),

I_{mean} – mean plasma insulin concentration during OGTT (mU/l),

G_{mean} - mean plasma glucose concentration during OGTT (mmol/l).

Values found in normal non-obese individuals were reported to be about 79 ± 14 mg.l².mmol¹.mU¹l.min¹l, lower in obese individuals, in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and in patients with diabetes Type 2 (Cederholm and Wibell 1990). Matsuda and DeFronzo (1999) determined correlation coefficients of this index with HEC: 0.52 (p<0.05) in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, 0.48 (p<0.05) in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, and 0.40 (p<0.05) in subjects with diabetes Type 2.

Other authors (STUMVOLL et al. 2000) determined correlation coefficients of this index with HEC and found it to be 0.60 (p<0.0005) in non-diabetic subjects.

Gutt index (Gutt et al. 2000)

This index (ISI_{0,120}) was adapted from the insulin sensitivity index proposed by CEDERHOLM and WIBELL (1990). ISI_{0,120} is expressed in mg.l².mmol⁻¹.mIU⁻¹. min⁻¹. Its calculation uses, in contrast to ISI Cederholm, only the fasting (0 min) and 120 min concentrations of glucose and insulin in OGTT. Insulin concentration is expressed in mIU/l and glucose concentration in mg/dl in the nominator and in mmol/l in the denominator.

$$ISI_{0,120} = \frac{75000 + (G_0 - G_{120}) \times 0,19 \times m}{120 \times G_{mean} \times log(I_{mean})}$$

The index correlated well with the insulin sensitivity index obtained from HEC (0.63; p<0.001). This index exhibited a good ability to predict diabetes mellitus Type 2 in a large prospective study (HANLEY et al. 2003).

Avignon index

The authors (AVIGNON et al. 1999) proposed 3 insulin sensitivity indices: Sib (derived from fasting plasma insulin and glucose concentrations), Si2h (derived from plasma insulin and glucose concentrations in the 120th min of OGTT) and SiM (derived by averaging Sib and Si2h after balancing Sib by a coefficient of 0.137 to give the same weight to both indices).

$$Sib = 10^{8}/(I_{0} \times G_{0} \times VD),$$

$$Si2h = 10^{8}/(I_{120} \times G_{120} \times VD),$$

$$SiM = [(0.137 \times Sib) + Si2h]/2, \text{ where}$$

I and G represent the plasma concentrations of insulin (mIU/l) and glucose (mmol/l) respectively, VD is the glucose distribution volume calculated using a monocompartmental model: VD = 150ml/kg of body weight (COBELLI et al. 1987).

The SiM index correlated well with insulin sensitivity obtained during insulin-modified frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test (FSIVGTT; BERGMAN et al. 1987) in individuals with normal glucose tolerance (0.89; $p \le 0.0001$), with impaired glucose tolerance (0.96; $p \le 0.0001$), and in patients with diabetes mellitus Type 2 (0.83; p≤0.05) (Avignon et al. 1999). It is important to note that other indices mentioned in this paper were correlated with the clamp method (DEFRONZO et al. 1979), seen as the "gold standard" in estimating insulin sensitivity. The correlation coefficients of FSIVGTT and the euglycemic clamp were reported to be 0.84 (p<0.002) (Beard et al. 1986), 0.89 (p<0.001) (Bergman et al. 1987), and 0.62 (p<0.05) (SAAD et al. 1994), diminishing the weight of the Avignon indices to the level of the other indices discussed.

Stumvoll index

Stumvoll et al. (2000, 2001) proposed a series of indices (approximately 10) calculated from plasma glucose (mmol/l) and insulin (pmol/l) concentrantions during OGTT. The equations were generated using the multiple linear regression analysis and adapted to the availabilities of sampling times during OGTT and of demographic parameters (BMI, age). Their cor-

relation coefficients with HEC were in the range between 0.62 and 0.79 (p<0.001).

An example equation could be the index of insulin sensitivity calculated from data obtained in 0, 60 and 120 min of OGTT either with or without demographic data:

$$\begin{split} \text{ISI}_{\text{Stumvoll}} &= 0.222 - 0.00333 \text{ x BMI} - 0.0000779 \\ &\text{x I}_{120} - 0.000422 \text{ x Age (r=0.79; p<0.001),} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{ISI}_{\mathrm{Stumvoll}} &= 0.156 - 0.0000459 \ \mathrm{x} \ \mathrm{I}_{120} - 0.000321 \\ & \mathrm{x} \ \mathrm{I}_{0} - 0.00541 \ \mathrm{x} \ \mathrm{G}_{120} \ (\mathrm{r}{=}0.69; \ \mathrm{p}{<}0.001). \end{split}$$

Discussion

Estimation of impaired insulin sensitivity should be of high importance mainly in individuals with risk factors present. Approximately 20% of the whole non-diabetic population has impaired insulin sensitivity (Matsuda and DeFronzo 1999). This number is higher in obese non-diabetic subjects (Ferrannini et al. 1997).

Only a part of the amount on insulin sensitivity indices proposed in the literature was mentioned in this paper. An insulin resistance index (FIRI= I_0 xG $_0$ /25) (Duncan et al. 1995) is similar to the IR $_{\rm HOMA}$ index. G $_0$ / I_0 ratio was also used as insulin sensitivity index (Legro et al 1998). However, the use of this index is not recommended because of confusing results (Matsuda and DeFronzo 1999). The choice of an index depends on sampling times during OGTT. The optimal sampling constellation based on the data reviewed

seems to be 0, 30, 60 and 120 min of the OGTT in those equations where mean values are required.

All results of the aforementioned equations should be handled from a critical point of view because none of the OGTT indices reveal the exact same information as the insulin sensitivity obtained during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp. The reviewed indices of insulin sensitivity have to be seen as a pre-disease predictive parameter for the development of diabetes mellitus based on insulin resistance. Their use is not appropriate in the diabetic population. In diabetic individuals the correlation coefficients of the indices and HEC were much lower than in the non-diabetic population (Matsuda and DeFronzo 1999, Avignon et al. 1999). The importance of the indices lies in their use in large epidemiological studies for assessment of relations between selected variables (Cervenakova et al. 2002). For fasting values, insulin resistance is defined by WHO as the highest quartile of the $\ensuremath{\text{IR}_{\text{HOMA}}}$ index in non-diabetic subjects (ALBERTI and ZIMMET 1998). Insulin resistance is also defined as the lowest decile of insulin sensitivity in the lean subgroup of nondiabetic population (Ferrannini et al. 1997). In clinical practice, however, their application is limited due to the lack of exact reference values.

The major role of the insulin sensitivity indices seems to be a) to predict the development of diabetes mellitus Type 2 in healthy population and in individuals with impaired glucose metabolism and b) to assess the degree of insulin sensitivity in non-diabetic population with risk factors present.

References

ABBASI F, Brown BW Jr, LAMENDOLA C, McLaughlin T, Reaven GM: Relationship between obesity, insulin resistance, and coronary heart disease risk. J Am Coll Cardiol 40, 937-943, 2002

Alberti KGMM, Zimmet PZ, for the WHO Consultation: Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus, provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med 15, 539-553, 1998

AVIGNON A, BOEGNER C, MARIANO-GOULART D, COLETTE C, MONNIER L: Assessment of insulin sensitivity from plasma insulin and glucose in the fasting or post oral glucose-load state. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 23, 512-517, 1999

BEARD JC, BERGMAN RN, WARD WK, PORTE D, JR: The insulin sensitivity index in nondiabetic man. Correlation between clamp-derived and IVGTT-derived values. Diabetes **35**, 362-369, 1986

Belfiore F, Iannello S, Volpicelli G: Insulin sensitivity indices calculated from basal and OGTT-induced insulin, glucose, and FFA levels. Mol Gen Metab 63, 134-141, 1998

Bergman RN, Prager R, Volund A, Olefsky JM: Equivalence of the insulin sensitivity index in man derived by the minimal model method and the euglycemic glucose clamp. J Clin Invest **79**, 790-800, 1987

BLOOMGARDEN ZT: Insulin resistance: current concepts. Clin Ther 20, 216-231, 1998

CEDERHOLM J, WIBELL L: Insulin release and peripheral sensitivity at the oral glucose tolerance test. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 10, 167-175, 1990

- CERVENAKOVA Z, KSINANTOVA L, KOSKA J: Effect of body composition on indices of insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in healthy men. Endocr Regul 36, 73-77, 2002
- COBELLI C, MARI A, FERRANNINI E: Non-steady state: error analysis of Steele's model and developments for glucose kinetics. Am J Physiol 252, E679-E689, 1987
- DEFRONZO RA, TOBIN JD, ANDRES R: Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. Am J Physiol 237, E214-E223, 1979
- DUNCAN MH, SINGH BM, WISE PH, CARTER G, ALAGHBAND-ZADEH J: A simple measure of insulin resistance. Lancet 346, 120-121, 1995
- FERRANNINI E, NATALI A, BELL P, CAVALLO-PERIN P, LALIC N, MINGRONE G, on behalf of the European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (EGIR): Insulin resistance and hypersecretion in obesity. J Clin Invest 100, 1166-1173, 1997
- GUTT M, DAVIS CL, SPITZER SB, LLABRE MM, KUMAR M, CZARNECKI EM, SCHNEIDERMAN N, SKYLER JS, MARKS JB: Validation of
- the insulin sensitivity index ($ISI_{0,120}$): comparison with other measures. Diabetes Res Clin Pract **47**, 177-184, 2000 Hanley AJG, Williams K, Gonzalez C, D'Agostino RB, Jr, Wagenknecht LE, Stern MP, Haffner SM: Prediction of Type 2 diabetes using simple measures of insulin resistance. Combined results from the San Antonio Heart Study, the Mexico City Diabetes Study, and the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Diabetes 52, 463-469, 2003
- HARRIS MI: Epidemiologic studies on the pathogenesis of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). Clin Invest Med 18, 231-239, 1995
- Katz LD, GLICKMAN MG, RAPOPORT S, FERRANNINI E, DEFONZO RA: Splanchnic and peripheral disposal of oral glucose in man. Diabetes 32, 675-679, 1983
- KATZ A, NAMBI SS, MATHER K, BARON AD, FOLLMANN DA, SULLIVAN G, QUON MJ: Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85, 2402-2410, 2000
- KERNAN WN, INZUCCHI SE, VISCOLI CM, BRASS LM, BRAVATA DM, HORWITZ RI: Insulin resistance and risk for stroke. Neurology 59, 809-815, 2002
- LEGRO RS, FINEGOOD D, DUNAIF A: A fasting glucose to insulin ratio is a useful measure of insulin sensitivity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 83, 2694-2698, 1998
- LILLIOJA S, MOTT DM, SPRAUL M, FERRARO R, FOLEY JE, RAVUSSIN E, KNOWLER WC, BENNETT PH, BOGARDUS C: Insulin resistance and insulin secretory dysfunction as precursors of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Prospective studies of Pima Indians. N Engl J Med 329, 1988-1992, 1993
- MATSUDA M, DEFRONZO RA: Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care 22, 1462-1470, 1999
- MATTHEWS DR, HOSKER JP, RUDENSKI AS, NAYLOR BA, TREACHER DF, TURNER RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 28, 412-419, 1985
- McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Walker RJ, Lewis-Barned NJ, Temple LA, Duncan AW: Diagnosing insulin resistance in the general population. Diabetes Care 24, 460-464, 2001
- SAAD MF, ANDERSON RL, LAWS A: A comparison between the minimal model and the glucose clamp in the assessment of insulin sensitivity across the spectrum of glucose tolerance. Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Diabetes 43, 1114-1121, 1994
- SICREE RA, ZIMMET PZ, KING HO, COVENTRY JS: Plasma insulin response among Nauruans. Prediction of deterioration in glucose tolerance over 6 yr. Diabetes 36, 179-186, 1987
- STUMVOLL M, MITRAKOU A, PIMENTA W, JENSSEN T, YKI-JÄRVINEN H, VAN HAEFTEN T, RENN W, GERICH J: Use of the oral glucose tolerance test to assess insulin release and insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care 23, 295-301, 2000
- STUMVOLL M, VAN HAEFTEN T, FRITSCHE A, GERICH J: Oral glucose tolerance test indexes for insulin sensitivity and secretion based on various availabilities of sampling times. Diabetes Care 24, 796-797, 2001
- STUMVOLL M, GERICH J: Clinical features of insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction and the relationship to type 2 diabetes. Clin Lab Med 21, 31-51, 2001

Corresponding author: Žofia Rádiková MD

Institute of Experimental Endocrinology

Slovak Academy of Sciences

Vlarska 3

833 06 Bratislava, Slovakia

e-mail: Zofia.Cervenakova@savba.sk

phone: 00421-2-5477 4942 fax: 00421-2-5477 4247