

## ON A REPRESENTATION OF OBSERVABLES IN D-POSETS OF FUZZY SETS

FERDINAND CHOVANEC — FRANTIŠEK KÓPKA

### 1. Introduction

The functional calculus for observables in fuzzy quantum spaces is based on a representation of these observables by a suitable observable and by suitable Borel measurable functions [1].

F. Kóпка suggested how to build up the probability theory on the so-called D-poset of fuzzy sets [3].

Let  $F$  be a family of fuzzy sets (i.e. a family of real functions defined on a nonempty set  $X$  with their values in the interval  $[0, 1]$ ). We say that there is a difference defined on the family  $F$ , if there is just one element from  $F$  for any  $f, g \in F$ ,  $f \leq g$ , we denote it  $g \setminus f$ , such that the following conditions hold

- (1)  $g \setminus f \leq g$ ;
- (2)  $g \setminus (g \setminus f) = f$ ;
- (3) if  $f \leq g \leq h$ , then  $h \setminus g \leq h \setminus f$  and  $(h \setminus f) \setminus (h \setminus g) = g \setminus f$ .

A D-poset of fuzzy sets is a family  $F$  of fuzzy sets such that

- (4) there exists a difference on  $F$ ;
- (5) if  $1_x(t) = 1$  for every  $t \in X$ , then  $1_x \in F$ ;
- (6) if  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq F$ ,  $f_n \nearrow f$ , then  $f \in F$ .

An observable on a D-poset  $F$  is a mapping  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow F$  with the following properties:

- (7)  $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1_x$ ;
- (8) if  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A_n \nearrow A$ , then  $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$ ;
- (9) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $x(B \setminus A) = x(B) \setminus x(A)$ ,

where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular, for  $f \in F$ ,

the mapping  $x_f : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow F$  defined by

$$x_f(E) = \begin{cases} 1_x, & \text{if } \{0, 1\} \cap E = \{0, 1\}, \\ f, & \text{if } \{0, 1\} \cap E = \{1\}, \\ 1_x \setminus f, & \text{if } \{0, 1\} \cap E = \{0\}, \\ 0_x, & \text{if } \{0, 1\} \cap E = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

is an observable on  $F$  called the indicator of the element  $f$ .

A set  $\mathcal{R}(x) = \{x(E) : E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$  is said to be a range of an observable  $x$ . The range of an observable on a D-poset is not closed with respect to the difference of its elements, in general. Indeed, if  $f$  be the constant function identically equal to 0.8 (i.e.  $f = 0.8_x$ ), then the range  $\mathcal{R}(x_f) = \{1_x, 0.8_x, 0.2_x, 0_x\}$  does not contain the difference of  $0.8_x$  and  $0.2_x$ .

## 2. Representation of observables

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $x$  be an observable on  $F$ . Then the following assertions are true.*

- (i)  $x(A \cup B) \setminus x(B) = x(A) \setminus x(A \cap B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (ii) If  $x(A) = 1_x$ , then  $(x(A) \setminus x(B)) \in \mathcal{R}(x)$  and moreover  $x(A \cap B) = x(B)$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (iii) If  $x(B) = 0_x$ , then  $(x(A) \setminus x(B)) \in \mathcal{R}(x)$  and moreover  $x(A \cup B) = x(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (iv) If  $x(A) \leq x(B)$ , then  $x(B) \setminus x(A) \leq x(B \setminus A)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow F$  be an observable on  $F$  and let  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel measurable mapping. Then the mapping  $y : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow F$  defined by the formula  $y(E) = x(T^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , is also an observable (and we write  $y = x \circ T^{-1}$ ).*

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $x$  be an observable on  $F$  and let  $G$  be a subset of the range  $\mathcal{R}(x)$ . We say that the observable  $x$  has a *V-property* on  $G$ , if for every two Borel sets  $A, B$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , and for every element  $c \in G$  such that  $x(A) \leq c \leq x(B)$ , there exists a Borel set  $C$  such that  $x(C) = c$  and  $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $x, y$  be two observables on  $F$  defined via

$$x(E) = \begin{cases} 1_x, & \text{if } 1, 2, 3 \in E, \\ (3/4)_x, & \text{if } 1, 3 \in E \text{ and } 2 \notin E \text{ or } 2, 3 \in E \text{ and } 1 \notin E, \\ (1/2)_x, & \text{if } 1, 2 \in E \text{ and } 3 \notin E \text{ or } 3 \in E \text{ and } 1, 2 \notin E, \\ (1/4)_x, & \text{if } 1 \in E \text{ and } 2, 3 \notin E \text{ or } 2 \in E \text{ and } 1, 3 \notin E, \\ 0_x, & \text{if } 1, 2, 3 \notin E, \end{cases}$$

and

$$y(F) = \begin{cases} 1_x, & \text{if } a, b, c, d \in F, \\ (3/4)_x, & \text{if } a, b, c \in F \text{ and } d \notin F, \\ (1/2)_x, & \text{if } a, b \in F \text{ and } c, d \notin F, \\ (1/4)_x, & \text{if } a \in F \text{ and } b, c, d \notin F, \\ 0_x, & \text{if } a, b, c, d \notin F, \end{cases}$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are mutually different elements from the set  $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ . The observable  $x$  has not the  $V$ -property on the range  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  and the observable  $y$  has the  $V$ -property on the range  $\mathcal{R}(y)$ .

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $x$  be an observable such that the following implication holds: If  $x(A) \leq x(B)$ , then  $x(A \cup B) = x(B)$  and  $x(A \cap B) = x(A)$ . Then the observable  $x$  has the  $V$ -property on the range  $\mathcal{R}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  and  $c \in \mathcal{R}(x)$  such that  $x(A) \leq c \leq x(B)$ . Then there exists a set  $C_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $c = x(C_1)$ . Put  $C = A \cup (B \cap C_1)$ . Since  $x(B \cap C_1) = x(C_1) = c$ , we get  $x(C) = x(A \cup (B \cap C_1)) = x(B \cap C_1) = c$ . It is clear that  $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $x$  be an observable with the  $V$ -property on  $\mathcal{R}(x)$ . If  $x(A) \leq x(B)$ , then  $(x(B) \setminus x(A)) \in \mathcal{R}(x)$ .

*Proof.* We have  $x(A) \leq x(B) \leq x(A \cup B)$ . The  $V$ -property of the observable  $x$  implies the existence of a set  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A \subseteq B_1 \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $x(B) = x(B_1)$ , moreover,  $x(B) \setminus x(A) = x(B_1) \setminus x(A) = x(B_1 \setminus A) \in \mathcal{R}(x)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two observables such that the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\mathcal{R}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(y)$ ;
- (ii) the observable  $y$  has the  $V$ -property on  $\mathcal{R}(x)$ .

Then there exists a Borel measurable function  $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(E) = y(T^{-1}(E))$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**P r o o f.** The proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of the Theorem 4 in [4]. It is based on two steps:

1. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of all (mutually different) rational numbers. We construct (by mathematical induction) a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

- a)  $x((-\infty, r_i)) = y(A_i)$  for any  $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ ;
- b) if  $r_i, r_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $r_i < r_j$ , then  $A_i \subseteq A_j$ ;
- c)  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset$ .

2. We define the real function  $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n, \\ \inf\{r_i \in \mathbb{Q} : t \in A_i\}, & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

□

Let  $y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be observables on  $P$  such that

- (i)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{R}(x_i) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(y)$ ;
- (ii) the observable  $y$  has the  $V$ -property on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{R}(x_i)$ .

Then the observable  $z$  defined by the formula

$$z = y \circ (f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n)^{-1}, \quad \text{where } x_i = y \circ f_i^{-1},$$

is called the sum of observables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and we write  $z = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ .

We can define various other "functions" of observables in a similar manner, for example, the difference or the product. Besides, the representation theorem enables to introduce a so called joint observable and prove, for example, the weak law of large numbers [2].

#### REFERENCES

[1] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: *On representation of observables in fuzzy measurable spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl.

[2] CHOVAŇEC, F.—JUREČKOVÁ, M.: *Law of large numbers in D-posets of fuzzy sets*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. 1 (1992), 15–18.

ON A REPRESENTATION OF OBSERVABLES IN D-POSETS OF FUZZY SETS

- [3] KÓPKA, F.: *D-posets of fuzzy sets*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. 1 (1992), 85–89.
- [4] KÓPKA, F.—RIEČAN, B.: *On Representation of Observables by Borel Measurable Functions.*, In: Proc. of the First Winter School on Measure Theory, Liptovský Ján, Jan. 10–15, 1988, pp. 68–71.

*Technical University of Liptovský Mikuláš*  
*031 19 Liptovský Mikuláš*  
*CZECHO-SLOVAKIA*